

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
TAMPA DIVISION

CASE NO.: 8:17-cv-1979-T-33MAP

RUGGERO SANTILLI and  
CARLA SANTILLI,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

PEPIJN VAN ERP, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_  
CONTINUED  
VIDEOTAPED

DEPOSITION OF: RUGGERO MARIA SANTILLI

DATE: April 18, 2018

TIME: 9:44 a.m. to 11:45 a.m.  
12:43 p.m. to 5:44 p.m.

PLACE: Riesdorff Reporting Group, Inc.  
c/o Barrett Court Reporting  
3411 Alternate 19 North  
Suite A  
Palm Harbor, FL 34683

PURSUANT TO: Notice by counsel for Defendants,  
for purposes of discovery, use at  
trial or such other purposes as are  
permitted under the Federal Rules  
of Civil Procedure

REPORTED BY: ANN S. BEILSTEIN, RPR  
Notary Public  
State of Florida at Large

Volume 2  
Pages 130 - 474

## Page 131

1	APPEARANCES:
2	JOSEPH E. PARRISH, ESQUIRE
3	The Parrish Law Firm, P.A.
	1206 Millennium Parkway
4	Suite 2009
	Brandon, FL 33511
	Attorney for Plaintiffs
5	
	JAMES B. LAKE, ESQUIRE
6	Thomas & LoCicero PL
	601 South Boulevard
7	Tampa, FL 33606
	Attorney for Defendants
8	
	ALSO PRESENT:
9	
	CARLA SANTILLI, PLAINTIFF
10	EDDIE GOMEZ, VIDEOGRAPHER
11	I N D E X
12	PAGE
13	CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LAKE..... 136
	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PARRISH..... 408
14	CERTIFICATE OF OATH..... 472
	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER..... 473
15	ERRATA SHEET..... 474
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## Page 133

1	E X H I B I T S
2	DESCRIPTION
3	MARKED FOR ID PAGE
4	V108 - Erp's Serious cience or blabing?? 8:45 PM... 277
5	V109 - Erp's Serious cience or blabing?? 9:44 PM... 284
6	V110 - Erp's Serious cience or blabing?? 9:55 PM... 288
7	V111 - Erp's Serious cience or blabing?? 12:11 AM.. 291
8	V112 - The Returned Defecations 5/1/12 at 1:19 PM... 295
9	V113 - Erp's Serious cience or blabing?? 11:53 PM.. 299
10	V114 - Whois Record for Santilli-Foundation.org..... 301
11	V115 - Primary Scientific Works in 2018 Home Page... 305
12	V116 - Galileo Principia Posts..... 310
13	V117 - Archived article - Lying and Cheating of
	Pepijn van Erp and Frank Israel..... 330
14	
	V118 - Blog of archived article - Lying and Cheating
15	of Pepijn van Erp and Frank Israel..... 334
16	V119 - Polar Bear's palaver..... 338
17	V120 - Open Denunciation of the Nobel Foundation
	for Heading an Organized Scientific
18	Obscurantism..... 340
19	V121 - Documentation of Organized Scientific Crimes
	in the U.S.A., England, Italy and Other
20	Countries..... 344
21	V122 - Ethical Notes written by Prof. Santilli..... 349
22	V123 - Documentation of Jewish Dishonesty and
	Corruption on Prof. Santilli's Article at
23	Wikipedia..... 358
24	V124 - Nomination and Selection of Physics Laureates 364
25	

## Page 132

1	E X H I B I T S
2	DESCRIPTION
3	MARKED FOR ID PAGE
4	V89 - Life Threats to Prof. R. M. Santilli by
	Fanatic Jews..... 161
5	
	V90 - USDC Santilli vs. Conte, et al..... 168
6	
	V91 - USDC Santilli vs. Mallove, et al..... 170
7	
	V92 - Order - Santilli vs. Mallove, et al..... 171
8	
	V93 - Certification - Santilli Refractor..... 181
9	
	V94 - Thunder Energies TEC Division of Optical..... 192
10	
	V95 - Thunder Energies President's Letter..... 194
11	
	V96 - Amazon - Galileo-Santilli Telescope..... 199
12	
	V97 - Third Request for Production of Documents..... 206
13	
	V98 - Dec. 2013 List of Scientific Publications..... 221
14	
	V99 - A New Gaseous and Combustible Form of Water
15	by Prof. Santilli..... 232
16	V100- Comments on "A New Gaseous," etc. by J.M. Calo 233
17	V101- Rebuttal of J.M. Calo's Comments on HHO paper 233
18	V102- Request for Information about
	Prof. Kadeisvili - 4/1/12..... 245
19	
	V103- Request for Information about
20	Prof. Kadeisvili - 4/2/12..... 249
21	V104- Text version of two e-mails..... 253
22	V105- Request for Information about
	Prof. Kadeisvili - 4/2/12..... 260
23	
	V106- Request for Information about
24	Prof. Kadeisvili - 4/6/12..... 269
25	V107- Text message 4/1/12..... 273

## Page 134

1	E X H I B I T S
2	DESCRIPTION
3	MARKED FOR ID PAGE
4	V125 - Nomination and Selection of Chemistry
	Laureates..... 368
5	
	V126 - Letter to Professor Nagel Bengt, Chairman,
6	Nobel Committee for Physics by G. Weiss..... 369
7	V127 - Nomination for the 2007 Nobel Prize in
	Chemistry of Professor Santilli..... 373
8	
	V128 - Fourth Amended Complaint..... 389
9	
	V129 - Order..... 397
10	
	V130 - Technical Achievement Award certificate..... 413
11	
	V131 - Thunder Energies website references on ITE... 422
12	
	V132 - Apparent Detection via New Telescopes with
13	Concave Lenses of Otherwise Invisible
	Terrestrial Entities (ITE)..... 422
14	
	V133 - Color photograph of Dr. Santilli with award.. 428
15	
	V134 - ICNAAM 2014 22-28 September 2014..... 428
16	
	V135 - Color photograph of Dr. Santilli - Flogen
17	with attached emails, 2016 SIPS printout.... 432
18	V136 - Google screen - Ruggero Santilli..... 443
19	V137 - United States Patent - 7/11/17..... 453
20	V138 - Associative Algebras, etc..... 455
21	V139 - Color photograph of Professor Santilli and
	Mikhail Gorbachev..... 457
22	
	V140 - Color photograph of Directional Neutron
23	Source..... 458
24	V141 - Experimental Confirmation of the Synthesis of
	Neutrons and Neutroids from a Hydrogen Gas... 461
25	

Page 135

1 EXHIBITS  
2 DESCRIPTION  
3 MARKED FOR ID PAGE  
4 V142 - Synthesis of the Neutron and Its Benefit to  
the Warfighter in Identifying Weapons, etc... 463  
5  
6 V143 - Workspace Form..... 463  
7  
8 V144 - Thunder Energies Proposal to Develop the  
New "Neutron Gun"..... 466  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Page 137

1 A You can -- you can be sure that I will follow  
2 those rules. If not, you just remind me. I will say  
3 thank you.  
4 Q Thank you. I appreciate it.  
5 A It would be my pleasure. I always let  
6 everybody speak first.  
7 I have -- I need a couple of minutes -- a few  
8 minutes of an introductory statement because some of the  
9 comments are suggestions for you, Attorney Fine (sic).  
10 There are so -- because it's important to identify why  
11 we are here.  
12 Q Okay.  
13 A And I think in the interest of everybody.  
14 Q Sure. That's fine. I did want to tell you, I  
15 think I'm hearing a different name when you're referring  
16 to me. My name is Lake, L-A-K-E.  
17 A I'm sorry.  
18 Q That's all right.  
19 A Typically, it's not intended to be offensive.  
20 Q It's no -- no offense taken.  
21 A Because my mind is -- I am a scientist with  
22 equations. Typical I'm absent mind. Mr. Lake, I  
23 apologize.  
24 Q No problem at all.  
25 A Okay. So I am -- first, I have three very

Page 136

1 PROCEEDINGS  
2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today's date is April 18th  
3 of 2018. The time is 9:44 a.m. This is the  
4 continuation deposition of Dr. Ruggero M. Santilli,  
5 in the case of Ruggero Santilli vs. Pepijn van Erp.  
6 Swear in the witness, please.  
7 RUGGERO MARIA SANTILLI,  
8 the witness herein, being first duly sworn on oath, was  
9 examined and deposed as follows:  
10 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION  
11 BY MR. LAKE:  
12 Q Dr. Santilli, good morning. As you know from  
13 our previous meetings, my name is James Lake and  
14 we're -- please feel free to call me Jim Lake. I'm a  
15 lawyer for -- in this case for Pepijn van Erp and  
16 Frank Israel. And, as you know, this is a continuation  
17 of your deposition from two days ago and the requests I  
18 made at that deposition apply here as well.  
19 If a statement I -- or sorry. If a question I  
20 ask is unclear or confusing, please let me know and I  
21 will be happy to try to clarify it. And if you would,  
22 as I can see you're doing, wait until I finish asking a  
23 question before you answer, so that Annie is able to  
24 take down a complete record of the question and answer.  
25 Okay?

Page 138

1 short suggestions. It's not requests. The suggestions  
2 are, essentially, intended in your interest, believe it  
3 or not, because I believe that the interest of all  
4 attorneys is in the interest of the truth.  
5 And, now, the suggestion number one is the  
6 following: That I have to report to you that in the  
7 view of a number of -- so remember, we are two  
8 publicly-traded companies that have been damaged by  
9 this -- by a number of slanderous statements by the  
10 defendant.  
11 According to the -- according to a number of  
12 stockholders, they do not -- they do not recognize you  
13 as the attorney of Mr. Israel and Mr. van Erp, the  
14 reason being because you are not paid -- apparently, you  
15 are not paid -- their view, you are not paid by them,  
16 but you are paid by organized American interests.  
17 There are also additional -- additional rumors  
18 around, so additional rumors around that -- that I don't  
19 want to make -- I cannot -- I am not in a position to  
20 disclose everything. But what I would like to suggest  
21 in your interest is that you should disclose the origin  
22 of your funds. You will see this very soon to become  
23 very much in your interest to avoid that you, as an  
24 attorney, being implicated on something very, very  
25 serious going on against America.

Page 139

1 Suggestion number two -- suggestion number  
2 two: You have personally, personally used and adopted  
3 and allow, in any case, and therefore, made yourself an  
4 accusation that the Thunder Energies Corporation first  
5 perpetrated fraud in selling prototypes, optical  
6 prototypes of a basically new instrument at the same  
7 cost of -- of -- because those prototypes, brand-new  
8 instruments, are not sold at the same cost -- at the  
9 same cost of -- at the same cost of Galileo telescope  
10 that are producing tens of thousands of dollars.

11 You should know the following: That just my  
12 salary alone working on those prototype, we are talking  
13 about over the years about half a million dollars for my  
14 salary alone, let alone the design, construction, test  
15 of a prototype.

16 So to make a long story short, this is -- as  
17 an opening statement, I was -- I say I want to offer you  
18 an olive branch. My suggestion, it's not a request, and  
19 my suggestion is that you should withdraw immediately  
20 the statement of fraud in that -- in that -- in that  
21 notice by the lady from University of South Florida  
22 because people will consider you an associate, no  
23 longer -- that's why they don't recognize you as an  
24 attorney of the defendants, but an associate of the  
25 defendants, because --

Page 141

1 as CEO, chief executive officer, of Thunder  
2 Energies Corporation. I've been requested on --  
3 I'm acting on the board as a chief executive to  
4 maintain that notice as is, because as is, then in  
5 their view they can attack personally the attorney.  
6 But that's exactly what I like to avoid because I  
7 don't want attorney to be -- to be -- to enter into  
8 those twists.

9 So you have my full cooperation to avoid the  
10 side aspect that I consider total nonsense, a waste  
11 of time, and this should be completely -- you are  
12 paid to do a service that I expect, and I don't  
13 want people to attack you. But you have to  
14 understand that what those people have done is too  
15 bad. And so if there is any way they can attack  
16 any where -- not me. No, no, I am a scientist. I  
17 don't have the time for all this.

18 Okay. Let's go to the substance at this -- my  
19 duty and my recommendation to review why this  
20 lawsuit. And in fact, this implication that,  
21 Attorney Parrish, perhaps not even you, perhaps  
22 during this deposition you will be -- you will be  
23 aware for this time.

24 And incidentally, Attorney Parrish, I need  
25 your assistance to -- to number those -- not -- I

Page 140

1 Also, you should know this is not my words.  
2 But again, this is to offer you an olive branch. I  
3 heard -- I received -- you have no idea what's going on  
4 out there because lots of people have lost lots of  
5 money. They have blood in their mouth. Those are  
6 thousands of stockholders of two publicly-traded  
7 companies.

8 So I received more than one call from  
9 stockholders claiming -- it's their claim that you have  
10 violated gross -- question -- quote, grossly violated  
11 the ethical rule of the -- of the Bar Association. I  
12 tried to defend you to my best. I said this is not --  
13 not an official statement, an official notice as yet. I  
14 tried to do my best to moderate. I don't want to  
15 side-fight because there's only damage to the answer.  
16 But again, this is a suggestion, not a request. You  
17 should remove it immediately.

18 THE WITNESS: And Attorney Parrish, we will  
19 agree to the removal of -- removal of the fraud at  
20 the end, leaving everything else because topic  
21 sufficient -- very easily -- very honest under --  
22 under -- with the jury, but -- but on one condition  
23 however, on one condition that -- that I reference.  
24 We have to see the change. In other words, if the  
25 people don't like the change, then I -- I'm acting

Page 142

1 had a marker, but then I lost it.

2 BY MR. LAKE:

3 Q Dr. Santilli, let me suggest this.

4 Mr. Parrish will have the opportunity to work with you  
5 to mark any exhibits you would like and ask you any  
6 questions you would like. But the way depositions work,  
7 and as you've seen in your experience in litigation, the  
8 lawyer who requested the deposition asks questions first  
9 and then the lawyer for the witness asks questions.

10 So I would request -- I, obviously, allowed  
11 and appreciate your opening statement. I will take into  
12 account everything you said. But I would like to go  
13 ahead and move into the questions I have for today and  
14 then as --

15 A We have -- we have --

16 Q Excuse me, Dr. Santilli. Let me finish.

17 A I'm sorry. I apologize.

18 Q I would like to move into the questions that I  
19 have prepared, and then as the court rules provide,  
20 Mr. Parrish and you will have the right for him to ask  
21 questions and to mark any exhibits that you would like.  
22 I will have no objection to that. But I do have some  
23 questions that under the rules I believe I'm entitled to  
24 ask first and to get answers to.

25 A Well, I understood this fully, but it is my

Page 143

1 opinion, I want to be on record that this implies  
2 distortion of what the main objectives of these lawsuits  
3 are. And therefore, they have implication as far as  
4 the -- as far as the applicability and the value of the  
5 questions that -- that -- that Attorney Lake will rely.

6 So while I do not have the authority, but I  
7 think you are damaging yourself. That's all. We have  
8 seven hours, so we have plenty of time. So if there was  
9 no time, your -- your -- your -- your abuse of your  
10 authority will be -- will be respected. But since  
11 there's plenty of time, so this will imply distortion.  
12 But lack of a couple of minutes of presentation of those  
13 documents imply a total lack of perspective of the  
14 entire -- of the entire -- of the entire -- so I want to  
15 be on record for that. You make a decision.

16 Q Okay.

17 A You want to go ahead, or you want to give me a  
18 couple of minutes to -- to present documents? It's your  
19 call.

20 Q I would prefer to proceed --

21 A Then I was wrong -- then I was wrong in  
22 being -- in offering you the olive branch. I was wrong.

23 Q Excuse me. If I could finish. I would prefer  
24 to proceed with the questions that I have --

25 A Go ahead. Go ahead.

Page 145

1 Q All right.

2 A -- did not have time to talk to him.

3 Q All right. Well, fair enough.

4 A I heard some -- some of the -- that my wife  
5 reported to me, but --

6 Q Okay. Fair enough. In any event, what I  
7 would like to ask you about is something he brought up  
8 last week. He actually brought an excerpt of a  
9 St. Petersburg Times article, and then I provided him  
10 and have provided Mr. Parrish a complete copy of the  
11 article, and I just would like to ask you a couple of  
12 questions about that.

13 I have -- although it's been marked -- because  
14 it's been marked, I'm not going to ask Annie to mark it  
15 again, but it's Exhibit V11.

16 MR. LAKE: And Joe, I do have a copy handy if  
17 that would be helpful.

18 MR. PARRISH: I think I have it.

19 MR. LAKE: Okay. Either way, I've got it  
20 right here.

21 MR. PARRISH: All right. Yeah, if you have  
22 it, that's fine.

23 MR. LAKE: Sure.

24 MR. PARRISH: It will make it easier than  
25 search for it.

Page 144

1 Q -- and then --

2 A Please go ahead. Let's not waste the time.

3 Q And then you will have as much time as you and  
4 Mr. Parrish would like to put on additional testimony or  
5 evidence.

6 A I have plenty --

7 Q I have no objection.

8 A -- plenty of time at the jury trial, plenty of  
9 time. We intend to go to the jury trial. All right.

10 Q Very good. Dr. Santilli, when we spoke last,  
11 you mentioned Mr. Tadsen. And you know Scott Tadsen,  
12 correct?

13 A Yes, I know.

14 Q All right. And I think you have spoken to him  
15 a little bit about his deposition, correct?

16 A I don't have the time. I never met him after  
17 the --

18 Q Oh, okay.

19 A No.

20 Q But I thought you indicated you had heard  
21 something about that he did a great job with his  
22 testimony.

23 A I heard, but --

24 Q Okay.

25 A I didn't have time. I've been so busy, I --

Page 146

1 MR. LAKE: It's not marked V11, but it's V11.

2 MR. PARRISH: Okay. Perfect.

3 MR. LAKE: Sure.

4 BY MR. LAKE:

5 Q Dr. Santilli, do you recall the St. Petersburg  
6 Times writing a story about you in 2007?

7 A As I said, I have problems in reading and that  
8 I have lost some only to read really good.

9 MR. PARRISH: Do you want me to just -- I'll  
10 read you the heading. This is a St. Pete Times --

11 THE WITNESS: The author -- yeah, the  
12 author -- I have many, many articles --

13 MR. PARRISH: Sure.

14 THE WITNESS: -- all over the world, so --

15 MR. PARRISH: It says Carrie Weimar --

16 THE WITNESS: Yes.

17 MR. PARRISH: -- and it was May 2007.

18 THE WITNESS: I remember Weimar. I understand  
19 you.

20 MR. PARRISH: Okay. So you remember this  
21 article?

22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I remember this article.

23 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

24 THE WITNESS: And you can hold it.

25

Page 147

1 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

2 BY MR. LAKE:

3 Q Dr. Santilli, two things. Let's make sure  
4 that as the three of us are talking, we pause so that  
5 Annie can get it down. She was having a little trouble,  
6 I think, shifting among speakers.

7 A At your disposal, please, signal.

8 Q And then I don't know if this will be any  
9 help, but I did bring a magnifying glass. If that would  
10 be any help to you --

11 A No, no.

12 Q -- you're welcome to it.

13 A It would not, no.

14 Q Okay. Thank you very much.

15 All right. The headline in the St. Petersburg  
16 Times article that Mr. Tadsen told us about is, "Snubbed  
17 by Mainstream Scrappy Scientist Sues."

18 Would you agree that you've been snubbed by  
19 some mainstream scientists?

20 A The word "snub" is not -- is a misname. It's  
21 not -- no, you don't treat a senior scientist with my  
22 qualification with -- with this type of terminology.  
23 Those are terminology used by gangsters, typical  
24 gangsters --

25 Q Okay.

Page 148

1 A -- who originates certain objective in  
2 science. It's a way -- sorry, I apologize. That's the  
3 way nowadays, unfortunately, science is conducted by  
4 this -- by -- by slander and defamation.

5 Q Okay. And would you agree that you've been  
6 disrespected by mainstream scientists?

7 A Not by all of them. Some of my best  
8 supporters are in Israel at Tel Aviv University. I  
9 could mention names of some of the top doctors. I've  
10 been invited -- my last speech was in China, at an  
11 international conference in China invited by three Nobel  
12 Prize to deliver -- to deliver an opening statement --  
13 to deliver the keynote talk jointly with them. I could  
14 make a long, long list of --

15 Those are a minority, an extremely small  
16 minority of cheaters and dishonest -- dishonest -- they  
17 are gangsters, of which of them Israel is one of -- is a  
18 representative, but is much -- That's why you did not  
19 allow me to make this introduction.

20 THE WITNESS: Attorney Parrish, I want to be  
21 on record that this is the first evidence that  
22 Mr. Lake has manipulated by preventing me to make a  
23 couple of minutes of statement, has manipulated the  
24 very, very essence of this lawsuit.

25

Page 149

1 BY MR. LAKE:

2 Q Dr. Santilli, if you could, please, I have  
3 asked you a yes-or-no question and you've gone on for  
4 quite some time. If you could try to answer yes-or-no  
5 questions. And again, anything you want to say about  
6 that document or anything else, you and Mr. Parrish will  
7 have the opportunity to present. Okay?

8 So some -- some mainstream scientists have  
9 disrespected you, correct?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Okay.

12 A Minority, small minority.

13 Q Okay. The St. Petersburg Times article  
14 begins -- the article itself begins with the words,  
15 "Ruggero Santilli gets frustrated."

16 Is it frustrating to you that you're  
17 disrespected by some mainstream scientists?

18 A Not at all.

19 Q All right.

20 A Napoleon said many -- many enemies, many  
21 honorable.

22 Q The next paragraph says, "After a long career  
23 as an academic, the Palm Harbor physicist says he isn't  
24 getting the respect he deserves."

25 Is that true that you aren't getting the

Page 150

1 respect you deserve?

2 A Not true. As I just mentioned, it's totally  
3 false because I just mentioned that at this moment, I  
4 have a big honor in Europe that I have to receive.  
5 Similarly, first of all, I've been knighted by the --  
6 with the title of Sir by the Public Assembly, membership  
7 of the Millinery -- Millinery Order of Santiago. I have  
8 another senior, very high prize in Italy. I have two  
9 invitations to be the keynote speaker, one keynote  
10 speaker, one with five -- five Nobel Prizes, et cetera,  
11 et cetera. I cannot mention those because -- because  
12 those, what I call gangster that -- that you are paying,  
13 I'm referring to the American gangsters.

14 Q Okay.

15 A Not --

16 Q So you are --

17 A Not the Netherlands gangster. The -- I should  
18 say -- correction -- scientific gangster. Those are  
19 called scientific gangster. Gangster is not -- it's a  
20 misname. They're not, no. They are talking about  
21 misconducting science, so --

22 Q So there are this -- you say it's a minority  
23 of scientists who don't give you the respect you  
24 deserve, correct?

25 A They are called also Einstein fanatics

Page 151

1 because --

2 Q Yes.

3 A -- I surpassed Einstein theory at the  
4 mathematical, physical and experimental level, not under  
5 the conditions stated by Einstein that I respect, in  
6 which Einstein has been verified ten thousand times, but  
7 for new conditions needed for American technology.

8 Q So the Einstein --

9 MR. PARRISH: I'm going to object to the  
10 last form -- the form of the last question.

11 BY MR. LAKE:

12 Q So the Einstein fanatics aren't giving you the  
13 respect you deserve, correct?

14 A No.

15 MR. PARRISH: Object to form.

16 BY MR. LAKE:

17 Q Okay. Let me ask you just one other question  
18 about the article and then we'll move on. This is --

19 MR. LAKE: And, Joe, in case you want to look  
20 at it, I'm referring to the third paragraph from  
21 the bottom. So this is on the back of V11.

22 BY MR. LAKE:

23 Q It's a quotation that the reporter is quoting  
24 you, Dr. Santilli, and she reports that you said: You  
25 call the famous professor and the famous professor will

Page 153

1 are re-entering to the -- into your situation

2 personally, Attorney Fine (sic). There are rumors that  
3 you are paid by the -- by -- by people affiliated to  
4 Arthur Rubin, David Epstein, Mark Bernstein. Those are  
5 called the editors.

6 In reality, they are the controllers of my --  
7 the controllers of my article, so-called article at  
8 Wikipedia. It's an article that is very slanderous,  
9 only listed things against me. For instance, they  
10 accept an illiterate person, such as Pepijn van Erp.  
11 Yes, it's quoted. The Nobel Prize, they invited me.  
12 Everything in my throat is suppressed.

13 So my stockholder -- stockholders have  
14 collected evidence that will eventually appear in court  
15 that -- that this lady here was commissioned by  
16 Arthur Rubin, David Epstein and Mark Bernstein in  
17 support, in support of their -- in support of their  
18 derogatory article on me. And the evidence which,  
19 apparently, I'm told is being collected by investigative  
20 agencies that you are paid by Arthur Rubin,  
21 David Epstein and Mark Bernstein, apparently, through --  
22 through a manipulation of public -- public record.

23 And that's what I'm told. I cannot -- I will  
24 be able to make a final statement after I see the report  
25 of the investigative agencies and because we are talking

Page 152

1 say, Oh, Professor Santilli is a weirdo. His science is  
2 not accepted by the establishment, end quotation,  
3 Santilli said.

4 Quotation continues: The news media is  
5 subservient to the scientific authority, closed  
6 quotation.

7 You don't disagree that you said those words  
8 to the St. Petersburg Times reporter?

9 A I confirm I am the author of those words.  
10 Yes. Einstein was called -- Einstein was called a  
11 crackpot, was called the most unbelievable names.  
12 That's typically the case for any, basically, new  
13 discovery. At the beginning, that's the way the  
14 establishment responds. So it's a perfectly normal  
15 response of the --

16 But the point is that the difference when it  
17 becomes -- that's why we are in court, we are in court,  
18 because one thing is to decide technically. That's a  
19 necessary part of the process. And the other thing is  
20 use slander, slander and defamation to oppose undesired  
21 research.

22 Q Okay. Thank you. Those are the only  
23 questions I had about that article.

24 A I have a comment to add on this article, which  
25 is necessary. This article has been mandated, but they

Page 154

1 about a very, very serious organization, anti-American  
2 organization controlling the -- controlling the  
3 advancement of the scientific knowledge in the  
4 United States of America.

5 Q Dr. Santilli, I'd like to ask you about  
6 another document now.

7 A This -- sorry.

8 Q Oh, thank you.

9 A Do you want it back?

10 Q It can be removed now. Thank you.

11 Do you recall on Tuesday we discussed some  
12 life threats that had been made against you, I believe,  
13 when you were at Harvard? Do you recall that  
14 discussion?

15 A Well, I don't -- I don't recall we discussed  
16 whether -- the other day.

17 Q Okay.

18 A But whether I confirmed that I have received  
19 life threats, they have been documented.

20 Q Yes. Okay. Yes. And I just want to ask you  
21 some questions --

22 A Yes, of course.

23 Q -- about an article about those life threats.

24 A Yes. They've been done -- they've been  
25 documented. One of them, there is even -- I'm told

Page 155

1 that -- I'm told that even in the website on the lawsuit  
2 there is one -- one of them reports -- reports --  
3 reports documents on this life threat. One of them was  
4 an eyewitness, an eyewitness when I was at Institute for  
5 Basic Research at Harvard. His deposition is in the  
6 hands of an attorney in -- an attorney in -- in Europe  
7 in the event everything is reading -- in the event I am  
8 assassinated.

9 I should say that this is -- looks -- to you  
10 people looks, like, far-fetched. Ladies and gentlemen,  
11 you have no idea what's going on in science. Let me  
12 mention the case of -- the case of -- of my former  
13 colleague, Halton Arp, who discovered -- he's an  
14 astrophysicist, discovered a condition that could not be  
15 represented by Einstein theory in the sky, the so-called  
16 up there with an associated galaxy.

17 I was there and he was approached by Coleman,  
18 Sidney Coleman. Shapiro and others had requested  
19 strongly to denounce his paper to publish in a  
20 scientific journal. He refused so and the rest of his  
21 life has been a misery. He had to run away. Let me  
22 make a theory that he survived because he left -- he  
23 survived because he left America. And they are  
24 apparently renouncing the American citizenship because  
25 the collapse of ethics in the United States. He was a

Page 156

1 recipient of a large grant, but he was unable to get a  
2 job in America. He was prohibited access to n  
3 astrophysics laboratory all over the world.

4 There is a book by Professor --

5 Q Dr. Santilli, could we move on, please?  
6 Again, you've answered the question and --

7 A No, no. There's one -- another follow-up of  
8 the assassin -- because I am on the threat of  
9 assassination.

10 There's another case -- another -- another --  
11 yes, this thing have to be on record. Otherwise, I may  
12 leave, Attorney -- Attorney --

13 Q Dr. Santilli --

14 A Just a few seconds.

15 Q -- let me respond on that point. You -- you  
16 stood up at least twice on Tuesday and acted as if you  
17 were going to leave. You understand that a deposition  
18 is a court proceeding, and that as defendants you have  
19 sued, Mr. van Erp and Mr. -- Dr. Israel have the right  
20 to take your testimony. This is not a courtesy we are  
21 extending. This is a court proceeding. So I would  
22 appreciate it if you would refrain from these threats to  
23 leave. This is a court proceeding and we need to follow  
24 the court rules.

25 If you don't want to pursue this case, that's

Page 157

1 your decision. But having elected to do so, you need to  
2 participate in the deposition and comply with the court  
3 rules. Okay?

4 A Yes, but however -- however, I have the right  
5 to complete my answer.

6 Q And you will have the opportunity to put on  
7 any evidence you'd like at the appropriate time.

8 A No, but you asked me a question. If you --  
9 now you cut me. I do not know. I need an attorney.

10 THE WITNESS: Attorney Parrish, is it  
11 according to the rules that I -- that the attorney  
12 taking my deposition prohibits me -- that's the  
13 case. He has prohibited me to complete my answer.  
14 Is this appropriate, or let's go to the court and  
15 the judge and let the judge decide, not you.

16 BY MR. LAKE:

17 Q I believe you have answered my question and  
18 are now talking --

19 A Can I --

20 Q -- about other incidents involving other  
21 people.

22 A Can I please complete my statement?

23 Q I will allow you to answer my questions. I  
24 cannot allow you to continue to talk about other issues.

25 A I have to complete -- to complete -- you

Page 158

1 made -- you made a request. You see, I already  
2 completed. In all this time that you have wasted, you  
3 have an intention, you want to manipulate my answer.

4 Q No. I want to --

5 A Yes.

6 Q -- get your answer --

7 A By --

8 Q -- to my questions.

9 A By prohibit -- I want this on record.

10 Attorney -- Attorney Lake, by prohibiting me to  
11 complete -- to complete my -- my answer, he intends to  
12 manipulate my answer in favor of what is known as an  
13 organized scientific crime that he represents against  
14 the interests of United States of America, which is the  
15 very reason for this lawsuit.

16 Would you allow me to complete my -- my  
17 clarification or not?

18 Q I will allow you to complete your  
19 clarification, but again, please try to confine your  
20 answers to the question --

21 A Yes.

22 Q -- that's asked and not talk about other  
23 subjects.

24 A I'm talking about an assassination. I was  
25 talking about -- I made one case, one argument to say



Page 159

1 my -- another colleague -- because I have to insist --

2 THE WITNESS: This is a crucial point,  
3 Attorney Parrish.

4 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

5 THE WITNESS: Because -- because what they  
6 say, Oh, Santilli's crazy, things about  
7 assassination, he is on the threat of life. But  
8 people don't know the evidence because this  
9 information has been suppressed. Those people  
10 control the news media.

11 My other colleague, Eugene Mallove, a  
12 scientist, magnificent scientist, he left MIT when  
13 this was -- my colleague and I was at MIT. He left  
14 MIT in disgust because he saw MIT, a  
15 counter-experiment, other experiments that  
16 disprove -- that show the limitation of Einstein  
17 theory. Physicists at MIT manipulated the expert  
18 and tried to -- for the intent of disproving it.

19 He left MIT in disgust and he organizes his  
20 own magazine. I think the name of the magazine was  
21 Infinite Energy, and he used this magazine to  
22 attack this extreme -- what appears to be an  
23 extreme organized dishonesty at the Massachusetts  
24 Institute of Technology.

25 Ladies and gentlemen, Eugene Mallove was

Page 161

1 Mr. Lake, thank you for allowing me to make  
2 this statement. We are talking about the interests  
3 of the United States of America. That's what you  
4 are facing.

5 (Exhibit V89 was marked for identification.)

6 BY MR. LAKE:

7 Q Dr. Santilli, thank you. And my question was  
8 simply about an article that I'm going to show you that  
9 I believe contains much of what we've -- what you've  
10 just said. So if you would just allow me to ask  
11 questions and then answer the question that's asked,  
12 we'll be able to move through this much more quickly.  
13 Okay?

14 Let me show you and Mr. Parrish a document  
15 we've marked Exhibit 89, but let me ask you a question  
16 first. This article is from the Institute for Basic  
17 Research. That's one of your organizations, correct?

18 A I am the president, yes.

19 Q The president. Okay. Thank you.

20 MR. LAKE: Exhibit 89. I do have a copy as  
21 well, Joe.

22 MR. PARRISH: Thank you.

23 THE WITNESS: Can I see it, please?

24 MR. PARRISH: You have this one?

25 MRS. SANTILLI: Yes.

Page 160

1 assassinated and murdered in very mysterious  
2 circumstances after receiving many life threats.  
3 He was eventually assassinated, one reason he  
4 wanted to reside somewhere else. And after years,  
5 four or five years, the FBI caught one person that  
6 was prosecuted and eventually convicted. The guy  
7 is in jail for a murder. He has been claiming  
8 always -- always to be -- always to be -- to be --  
9 to be innocent.

10 But the point is this: That what is very,  
11 very un-reassuring is that all -- all this  
12 extremely violent fight, verbal -- verbal fight  
13 between Eugene Mallove proving the dishonesty of  
14 the physicist of MIT never appeared at the trial  
15 and -- and -- but the judge and the prosecutor were  
16 said to be apparent -- apparent -- apparent members  
17 of this organization controlling virtually all of  
18 our science, all -- if not all of our America.

19 So conclusion, conclusion: The threat to  
20 three of them so far, have been documented. Others  
21 there is no point in mentioning because I don't  
22 have documentation. Then there is a precedent.  
23 Other scientists have been assassinated. Besides,  
24 all other scientists have been mistreated in a  
25 horrendous way.

Page 162

1 MR. PARRISH: Do you need me to read the  
2 title, Dr. Santilli?

3 THE WITNESS: The name of the title I need.

4 MR. PARRISH: Okay. The title is: Life  
5 threats of Professor R.M. Santilli by fanatic Jews.  
6 And then the date is August 18th, 2014.

7 THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm familiar about this.  
8 I -- I have not read all of it because I have  
9 problems so long, but I have know the gist and the  
10 essence of this article, yes, indeed.

11 BY MR. LAKE:

12 Q Okay. You can keep it there for a moment. I  
13 just have a couple of questions about it.

14 Did you write this article?

15 A No.

16 Q Okay. Who wrote the article?

17 A I have thousands of supporters, thousands.

18 Q So you don't recall?

19 A No, I don't recall. I do not know.

20 Q That's fine.

21 A No.

22 Q Okay.

23 A But you are -- you are insidious when you say  
24 "you don't recall," no.

25 Q Okay. I didn't mean anything insidious.

Page 163

1 A Well, it is. It was insidious.  
 2 Q Okay.  
 3 A You don't let me --  
 4 Q I apologize if you thought that was insidious.  
 5 A Well, I accept the apology. Let's move on.  
 6 Q Did you edit the article?  
 7 A I'm sorry?  
 8 Q Did you edit the article?  
 9 A I don't have the time. I cannot -- I don't  
 10 have the time to do these things.  
 11 Q Okay.  
 12 A I am a scientist. I am the CEO of a  
 13 publicly-traded company. I have duties and chores up to  
 14 here.  
 15 Q Dr. Santilli, you don't need to explain why.  
 16 I was just asking, yes or no, if you edited it.  
 17 A I want to explain --  
 18 Q Okay.  
 19 A -- why to show the possibilities, not enough  
 20 to say yes or no.  
 21 Q Okay. The article has some headings in bold.  
 22 I'm not going to go through all the paragraphs and  
 23 details in here. I just want to ask you about some of  
 24 it.  
 25 The heading number 2 at the bottom of the page

Page 165

1 congress from many -- at the last moment I could not  
 2 attend, but they -- look at the way the Jewish  
 3 colleagues at the -- at the institute in Jerusalem, they  
 4 not only -- they -- they had the chairman of the session  
 5 reading my transparency. Not enough. They published  
 6 the article. They published the article.  
 7 This will give you an illustration that --  
 8 that, indeed, there is --  
 9 Now, after that same -- in Italy we have -- in  
 10 Italy we have the Mafia. It doesn't mean that all  
 11 Italian are Mafioso that somebody may say. That's  
 12 exactly the same for the Jewish community. There are  
 13 also a number of Jewish community that it is in my  
 14 opinion that they are, indeed, enemy of -- there are  
 15 some fanatics that they are called antisemitic Jews, but  
 16 it is an extremely small minority, and exactly the same  
 17 way as it happens to -- as it happens in Italy, in which  
 18 there is an extremely small minority that are Mafioso.  
 19 Otherwise, the idea that all the Jews are saint is so  
 20 fake that certainly not people with a minimum of decency  
 21 can tolerate.  
 22 So, now, what I referred before -- referred  
 23 before to organize academic, financial and other  
 24 interests, which as I indicated in my book, Grande  
 25 Grido, this includes all sorts of ethnic groups, but

Page 164

1 talks about, I think, events you just described in your  
 2 answer a moment ago: Organized Jewish scientific crimes  
 3 at Harvard University and MIT.  
 4 Is that what you were talking about a moment  
 5 ago with the assassination of Dr. Mallove and others?  
 6 A No.  
 7 Q Okay. Tell me what that is about, then.  
 8 A No. Attorney, we have to make a distinction.  
 9 First of all, regarding the Jewish -- because these are  
 10 talking about the Jewish issue. We have to clarify  
 11 the -- we have to clarify that the great, great majority  
 12 of the Jewish community is made up of very decent  
 13 people. My son-in-law is Jewish, just to make an  
 14 example. Some of my best supporters are Jewish and  
 15 happens to be in Israel.  
 16 To begin again, there are things that have to  
 17 be said to -- to qualify my statement. For instance,  
 18 there was a congress in Jerusalem on gravitation. It  
 19 was I don't remember when, ten years ago, and here it  
 20 is, here it is. Here you can see the organizer from  
 21 Jerusalem from -- they are from Jerusalem, and they  
 22 invited me to present my view on -- my view on  
 23 gravitation. They are beyond Einstein, notice. They  
 24 are not along Einstein but they are beyond.  
 25 I could not attend -- I could not attend the

Page 166

1 some of the most fanatics are Einstein. They are a  
 2 group. So -- so the -- so the organization on so-called  
 3 organized scientific crime, not Jewish, the organized  
 4 crime on Einstein includes virtually many, many  
 5 different --  
 6 To give you an example, Einstein theory is  
 7 based on the Poincare group. The Poincare group is  
 8 French. So the interest in France to maintain the  
 9 Einstein theory is enormous. Those are not necessarily  
 10 Jews.  
 11 So while in this case, no. This document  
 12 instead refers specifically only to Jewish -- to  
 13 Jewish -- to the misconduct of a very small minority of  
 14 fully-identified Jewish people identified by names, the  
 15 mis -- scientific and misconduct under public support.  
 16 Q And you believe Frank Israel is one of them,  
 17 correct?  
 18 A I don't -- you asked me this question. I do  
 19 not know whether Frank Israel is Jewish or not. I do  
 20 not know. I never asked. I don't care. I never asked.  
 21 However, however, frankly, the evidence we have -- we  
 22 have apparently collected until now, the fees is paid by  
 23 this American organization of academic interests at  
 24 large, not necessarily by Jewish. No, I have no  
 25 evidence of it being paid by Jews, no, none.

Page 167

1 Q Okay. Mr. -- or I'm sorry. Professor Mallove  
2 who you mentioned in your --  
3 A Eugene Mallove.  
4 Q Eugene Mallove. Thank you for the help on the  
5 pronunciation. Eugene Mallove you mentioned, you sued  
6 him at one point, correct?  
7 A Well, because the -- because a historical  
8 point that -- that is correct, because the --  
9 Q If I might just ask you a question about that.  
10 You sued him and said he was complicit in  
11 scientific plagiarisms, frauds and deceptions against  
12 plaintiff in the articles at Infinite Energy you talked  
13 about, correct?  
14 A Yeah, but this has nothing to do with -- with  
15 Eugene Mallove attack to misconduct and -- misconduct at  
16 MIT.  
17 Q Okay.  
18 A It is true that I sue him --  
19 Q Okay.  
20 A -- because of question of ethics in science.  
21 Q Okay.  
22 A He -- he -- he published something wrong by  
23 ignoring historical paternity. I asked him repeatedly  
24 to make correction in his magazine, and since he denied  
25 to make correction, I sue him.

Page 168

1 Q Okay. And you lost that lawsuit, didn't you?  
2 A No, no. As a matter of fact, the lawsuit was  
3 also against Cornell University for plagiarism and --  
4 and -- and -- for plagiarism. And then I withdrew -- I  
5 withdrew the lawsuit because I had achieved my primary  
6 objective.  
7 I sue primarily to have on record my case, not  
8 because I want to sue to win. You don't -- Attorney --  
9 Attorney Lake, you know, when you sue, you don't sue  
10 because you -- you want to win. Forget about it. Maybe  
11 yes, maybe no.  
12 (Exhibit V90 was marked for identification.)  
13 BY MR. LAKE:  
14 Q Let me show you a document that we've marked  
15 Exhibit 90. I believe this is one of the -- the  
16 Complaint in the case we're talking about.  
17 MR. LAKE: I have a copy, Mr. Parrish. We  
18 marked it V90.  
19 THE WITNESS: Carla, could you please --  
20 MRS. SANTILLI: Give it to him.  
21 THE WITNESS: She can recognize it right away.  
22 What is this?  
23 MR. PARRISH: Yes. This -- Dr. Santilli, this  
24 is you as the plaintiff and then there are some  
25 defendants, Elio Conte, Maria Peralice.

Page 169

1 THE WITNESS: Yes.  
2 MR. PARRISH: Do you recognize this as --  
3 THE WITNESS: Yes.  
4 MR. PARRISH: -- part of the lawsuit? So  
5 that's the Complaint for that lawsuit.  
6 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is a historical  
7 scientific case that -- that those people,  
8 unfortunately including Mallove, they -- they  
9 refuse to recognize the paternity of a priest, an  
10 Italian priest and scientist, Don Carlo Borghi.  
11 And since it was studied of the originator of the  
12 studies on the synthesis of the neutron, they  
13 refused to call this work and I sued them, period.  
14 I am committed to science.  
15 BY MR. LAKE:  
16 Q Sure. And as I think you mentioned there, you  
17 asked the Court to compel the defendants in that case to  
18 publish a corrective statement, correct?  
19 A That is correct. Precisely why I sued,  
20 because Eugene Mallove, as editor, refused repeatedly to  
21 find that this -- even on -- even on my language and --  
22 and that was it.  
23 Q Okay.  
24 A And I did not intend to compromise, no, not on  
25 sure scientific ethics.

Page 170

1 (Exhibit V91 was marked for identification.)  
2 BY MR. LAKE:  
3 Q I think I have a copy of the motion asking for  
4 that corrective statement, V91.  
5 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, this is again  
6 your -- it shows in the Middle District of Florida,  
7 Ruggero Maria Santilli --  
8 THE WITNESS: It's my motion certifying that  
9 you are an attorney. It is my motion, yes, my  
10 motion. I recognize. So can we move ahead if you  
11 are apparently pressed? Because I see you have  
12 many, many questions.  
13 BY MR. LAKE:  
14 Q Yes.  
15 A Yes. How can I possibly deny it?  
16 Q You can keep the exhibits in front of you.  
17 Thank you.  
18 A Okay. All right. Incidentally, after the  
19 lawsuit, Eugene Mallove did publish a correction, and  
20 that's why I stopped the prosecution.  
21 MRS. SANTILLI: And Cornell.  
22 THE WITNESS: And then there was Cornell  
23 University. That was another reason. When Cornell  
24 University made correction, I stopped the lawsuit  
25 against them.

Page 171

1 (Exhibit V92 was marked for identification.)

2 BY MR. LAKE:

3 Q Okay. And here is the Court's Order denying  
4 the motion, correct?

5 A That's okay. This is no point in --  
6 Attorney Lake, you are wasting time.

7 Q Is that the Court's Order denying the motion?

8 A What -- why you -- why you asking me the  
9 document that is -- is an official document in the  
10 court? This is why we are wasting time.

11 THE WITNESS: Attorney Parrish, I don't like  
12 what's going on. This is, obviously, something on  
13 record. Why do I have to say yes or no?

14 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, he's just trying  
15 to establish at this point whether or not --

16 THE WITNESS: Incontrovertible truth. It's a  
17 motion of a federal court. This is a question --  
18 what, he wants to trick me saying that, no, it's  
19 not a motion? He wants to trick me on something?  
20 I don't see the situation clear to my -- to my --  
21 to the satisfaction of my -- my -- my standard of  
22 ethics.

23 MR. PARRISH: I understand, Dr. Santilli.  
24 Remember that before the deposition, I explained to  
25 you that he has some latitude --

Page 173

1 Q Okay.

2 A The rest is okay.

3 MR. PARRISH: Jim, just before you start  
4 that --

5 MR. LAKE: Sure.

6 MR. PARRISH: -- could I get a copy of V92,  
7 Exhibit V92?

8 MR. LAKE: Yes.

9 THE WITNESS: Incidentally, I see no -- no  
10 connection whatsoever with the lawsuit I filed  
11 against Eugene Mallove and the suit -- the lawsuit  
12 against -- against the slander or vulgar slander by  
13 Israel and Pepijn. There's no connection  
14 whatsoever.

15 BY MR. LAKE:

16 Q Okay. I'm going to press play on this, and  
17 then again, if I need to move it --

18 A No.

19 Q -- so that you can see it better, just let me  
20 know.

21 A Tell me what -- tell me what the video is, I  
22 will recognize.

23 Q This is a video from Thunder Energies.

24 A Yes.

25 Q And it may take me a moment to get it started,

Page 172

1 THE WITNESS: Yeah, he wants -- he wants to  
2 indulge in all those things which are obvious and  
3 he has prohibited me to make an introductory  
4 statement.

5 MR. PARRISH: We'll get to that statement.

6 THE WITNESS: In a way that we lose the  
7 perspective. Let's not waste time. Let's keep  
8 going. I have accepted. I have no reason. I will  
9 make my judgment later on.

10 BY MR. LAKE:

11 Q Okay.

12 A Am I going -- please signal if I'm going  
13 too -- to slow down. I apologize. But the emotions are  
14 big, so --

15 Q Dr. Santilli, I'd like to play a video for  
16 you. I'm going to play it on my monitor. So if you  
17 could tell me what's the best -- what position to place  
18 the monitor, I want to make sure it's -- you can see it  
19 as well as possible. Would you like this --

20 A I said --

21 Q -- very close to you?

22 A No, that's okay. No, no, the far away -- the  
23 far away, the better.

24 Q The far away, the better? Okay.

25 A Yes. Macular degeneration, just macular.

Page 174

1 but if you have trouble seeing it, just let me know and  
2 I'll rewind it.

3 A If it is from Thunder Energies, I am the CEO,  
4 I authorized it. Play it.

5 Q Okay. Very good.

6 MR. PARRISH: And Mr. Lake, just for the  
7 record, is there a Bates number associated with  
8 this video?

9 MR. LAKE: Yes. The first one is going to be  
10 419, V419.

11 BY MR. LAKE:

12 Q And I'm going to enlarge it and rewind it. I  
13 know that's too small. Here we go. Can you see that  
14 now?

15 VIDEO: -- TV was captured on camera April  
16 6th, 2006.

17 A Yes, I remember. Yes, I do.

18 BY MR. LAKE:

19 Q Let's go ahead and watch it, please.

20 A Well, I remember. You want to waste time?  
21 No, I object that we're watching it because I know.

22 VIDEO: This photo was taken from a balcony  
23 off the Marriott Hotel --

24 THE WITNESS: What do you want to --

25 VIDEO: -- in Tallahassee, Florida. Here is

Page 175

1 the view of that same building taken with the --  
 2 THE WITNESS: Why do we watch it?  
 3 MR. PARRISH: He wants to ask you questions  
 4 and make sure that --  
 5 THE WITNESS: Go ahead, but I recognize it. I  
 6 authorize it as the CEO. I authorize it indeed.  
 7 VIDEO: -- because they were only present in  
 8 the Santilli telescope and they produce their own  
 9 light as can be seen by adjusting the contrast of  
 10 the photo. This is a picture from the same  
 11 location at approximately 2:00 a.m. in the morning  
 12 showing that the ITE had gone away. For more  
 13 information on invisible terrestrial entities,  
 14 please follow us on Facebook and subscribe to our  
 15 YouTube channel.  
 16 (End of video.)  
 17 BY MR. LAKE:  
 18 Q Thank you for going ahead and telling me that  
 19 you authorized the video.  
 20 Were you present when the video was recorded  
 21 or when the images that we see there were recorded in  
 22 Tallahassee?  
 23 A No, this I don't remember --  
 24 Q Okay.  
 25 A -- because we have so many things that,

Page 177

1 only see the -- only see the -- see the word, you know,  
 2 the Internal Revenue Service, while on the Santilli,  
 3 they see nothing, they saw dust. The word did not  
 4 appear and that's what they see. See this? Since this  
 5 is a question of national security, I approve --  
 6 Q Okay. And once --  
 7 A I have to finish the sentence, please.  
 8 Q Okay. I thought you had finished.  
 9 A If you don't want me to interrupt you, don't  
 10 interrupt me, please, for a second.  
 11 Q Okay.  
 12 A So since we are talking about the question of  
 13 national security, the surveillance of a federal  
 14 building, it was my duty as -- as -- as the -- as the  
 15 CEO of Thunder Energies to release it so that others can  
 16 inspect.  
 17 Q Okay.  
 18 A I indicated -- to complete the sentence, I  
 19 should indicate that by contrast, Israel -- Israel  
 20 and -- Israel and -- and van Erp are strongly in support  
 21 of the search of dark energy and dark matter because  
 22 they are compatible with Einstein, but it costs hundreds  
 23 of millions of dollars and they are known to be fake  
 24 theory.  
 25 Q So when you received the images that were

Page 176

1 honestly, I don't remember. But I know that we have  
 2 many of those -- of those views from -- from -- many of  
 3 those views from customers. Not customers because we  
 4 have very few customers. The telescopes are not for  
 5 sale. But we have a number of -- a number of telescope  
 6 out there that have been -- that have been -- that have  
 7 been authorized for use and comments. And I have  
 8 another very authoritative --  
 9 Q Dr. Santilli, before you turn to that, let me  
 10 ask you some questions about the video.  
 11 A Please, go ahead, go ahead.  
 12 Q The particular location that was shown there  
 13 in Tallahassee, who -- who recorded those images?  
 14 A To my understanding, I think it's stated  
 15 there. It is -- also, jointly to this, there is a news  
 16 release. Jointly, it's stated that it's from a motel,  
 17 from inside the room facing -- facing --  
 18 So they had -- the way I remember was reported  
 19 to me, they had rented this room and they had the  
 20 telescope inside the room and the window was open, and  
 21 what they see, they see the Tallahassee -- the  
 22 Tallahassee -- the Tallahassee IRS office, and there --  
 23 those are the telescopes, the Galileo and Santilli,  
 24 identical, parallel.  
 25 And the Galileo, they will only see -- they

Page 178

1 captured in Tallahassee, you concluded that they showed  
 2 invisible terrestrial entities; is that correct?  
 3 A According to the definition, yes, they are  
 4 entities. We don't know what they are.  
 5 Q Okay.  
 6 A They are only visible in our telescope and not  
 7 visible in the Galileo telescope. And therefore, since  
 8 we are talking governmental building, it have to be  
 9 investigated.  
 10 THE WITNESS: Attorney Parrish, I insist on  
 11 this to put it on -- back on this. This is a  
 12 certification by an extremely qualified independent  
 13 eyewitness. His name is Kyle Workman.  
 14 Kyle Workman is the president of the St. Petersburg  
 15 Astro -- St. Petersburg Astronomical Society who  
 16 had our telescope in consignment, because our  
 17 telescopes are not for sale except for very --  
 18 astrophysicists. The laboratory wants to keep it  
 19 forever, so -- and he did extensive investigation  
 20 on -- extensive investigation on -- on our  
 21 telescope, and particularly for the search of the  
 22 ITE, invisible terrestrial entity, ITE.  
 23 And this -- and this is his presentation, not  
 24 mine, his presentation, and he concluded, indeed,  
 25 he reports everything. He concluded, indeed, that

Page 179

1 he had detected objects -- objects with something,  
2 at least they were moving, that they were only  
3 visible. Look at this. It's moving in -- moving  
4 in direction and those are his picture, not mine,  
5 moving in all directions and -- and even through  
6 the rest, you see the rest of the -- those dots are  
7 impurity of the lens.

8 So this clearly show that this object is  
9 moving with respect to the important impurities --  
10 BY MR. LAKE:

11 Q And the --

12 A And let me finish. Attorney Lake, if you want  
13 me to respect, I have to finish my statement.

14 Q I thought -- you've been speaking for quite a  
15 while. I thought you were finished. Please continue.

16 A Please. Otherwise, I will interrupt you and  
17 then we will end up in a mess because I have a mirror  
18 personality. I treat people the way they treat me. You  
19 have seen it in this lawsuit.

20 Q You do not turn the other cheek, correct?

21 A Never. So I want this --

22 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form of the last  
23 question.

24 THE WITNESS: Attorney Parrish, one copy to  
25 you and one copy to him. I am --

Page 181

1 THE WITNESS: I have two copies. No, no, I  
2 made two copies of everything.

3 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

4 THE WITNESS: This is for them, Attorney Lake.

5 MR. PARRISH: Okay. So this is being marked  
6 as Exhibit V93, if I'm correct?

7 MR. LAKE: Correct.

8 (Exhibit V93 was marked for identification.)

9 THE WITNESS: Please qualify the expert  
10 because he is a real -- he is an astronomer. He  
11 knows -- I want to -- look at -- look at his --  
12 those are our pair of telescopes. Those are ours,  
13 but the observatory is his. He has his own  
14 observatory. That's how qualified the guy is. He  
15 is a real professional. He knows how to focus. He  
16 describes the entire process of focusing.

17 Extremely professional. He shows the picture,  
18 Galileo and Santilli, and then he shows how he  
19 did -- how he did it. Extremely professional  
20 qualification.

21 BY MR. LAKE:

22 Q Dr. Santilli, may I ask you a question about  
23 the last --

24 A Is this your copy?

25 Q No.

Page 180

1 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, hold on just a  
2 second. I object to the form of the last question  
3 by Mr. Lake.

4 Okay. So, Dr. Santilli, let me just let you  
5 know how the procedure goes. We can't introduce  
6 this into evidence right now unless Mr. Lake wants  
7 to introduce it into evidence, but we can go  
8 back -- when it's time for me to ask you questions,  
9 we can go back and introduce it into evidence at  
10 that point.

11 THE WITNESS: Please do so because in that  
12 respect, I have another smashing -- this is  
13 extremely disqualifying.

14 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

15 THE WITNESS: So I will wait for your  
16 question.

17 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

18 THE WITNESS: Very well. Very well.

19 MR. LAKE: Actually, you know what, Joe? I  
20 don't object to marking that now because I would  
21 like to ask you a question about it.

22 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

23 THE WITNESS: Then one copy to you first.

24 MR. PARRISH: Do you have extra copies of this  
25 or just do you have just one?

Page 182

1 MR. PARRISH: That's our copy he has, or  
2 either way.

3 BY MR. LAKE:

4 Q Yes. If you would just look at the last page,  
5 the photos you were describing, I just have a question  
6 about those.

7 A Sure.

8 Q I know you were not present when these photos  
9 were taken by Mr. Brinkman -- or is it Dr. Brinkman?

10 A I am not sure, honestly.

11 Q Okay. I'll call him Mr. Brinkman. No  
12 disrespect intended.

13 A It's perfectly okay.

14 Q These photos, you were not present when these  
15 were taken, but you believe these show invisible  
16 terrestrial entities, correct?

17 A Well, it shows what invisible terrestrial  
18 entity are, the definition, namely, the object that we  
19 don't know what they are, that they are visible, and  
20 therefore, should be investigated --

21 Q Okay.

22 A -- because they are on the top of governmental  
23 military, military installation.

24 Q We asked for --

25 A And so they have to be investigated what they

Page 183

1 are and -- and here is the point. The lawsuit that has  
2 been filed and you are defending foreigners, foreign  
3 people to interfere an issue of direct national  
4 relevance. This is one of them. I want to know what  
5 they are and they prevent to know that. Foreigners are  
6 preventing us Americans to see what these things are.  
7 How? By vulgar, vulgar slander, vulgar defamation.  
8 This --

9 Q Dr. Santilli, we -- in this case, you know  
10 we've requested documents from you and Thunder Energies,  
11 and --

12 A This is yours?

13 MR. PARRISH: We'll keep that here.

14 BY MR. LAKE:

15 Q Yeah. Is there a reason why this report  
16 wasn't produced until today?

17 A I forgot about -- I completely -- I have so  
18 much of evidence.

19 Q Okay.

20 A This is only one of so many all over the  
21 world, China, right? One in China, then I have to have  
22 it translated. ITE in -- in Kazakhstan and it have to  
23 be translated. It's all over the world. The Santilli  
24 telescope is known all over the world and we have now  
25 observatory in three continents.

Page 185

1 this breaking news, we human believe that  
2 everything that exists up there is only what we can  
3 see with our eyes and with our optical instrument.

4 Well, things have changed now because we have  
5 established the existence of entities existing in  
6 our terrestrial environment, and these entities are  
7 completely invisible to our eyes as well as to our  
8 optical instruments and are fully visible with new  
9 instruments, therefore, dramatically enlarging our  
10 conception of the perceivable universe within the  
11 far-reaching possibility of future development and  
12 discoveries that perhaps are beyond our imagination  
13 at this time.

14 The revolutionary Santilli telescope is  
15 designed to detect antimatter in deep space. By  
16 antimatter, we mean an entity with characteristics  
17 that are the exact opposite of ordinary matter,  
18 including the index of refraction of light, which  
19 is opposite.

20 As you can see in the diagram, we have two  
21 telescopes, the Santilli and the Galileo. Now, if  
22 you look closely, you'll see that the only real  
23 difference between the two is the lens. Notice  
24 that the Galileo uses a convex lens whereas the  
25 Santilli telescope uses a concave lens.

Page 184

1 Q And you've sold three of them, correct?

2 A No. Well, I don't know how many we sold. I  
3 have to look at the account. I am not an accountant.

4 MRS. SANTILLI: He has -- you have that there.

5 A He has a laboratory, astrophysics laboratory.

6 BY MR. LAKE:

7 Q Okay.

8 A Astrophysics. Not individual because they  
9 want to keep it permanently. They want to keep it. You  
10 want to --

11 Q No, no. I want to keep that with the other  
12 exhibits, so that's fine.

13 Let me -- I'm going to play another video for  
14 you, Dr. Santilli.

15 A Sure.

16 Q I suspect you will recognize it immediately.

17 A Sure.

18 Q But so that Annie can take down a complete  
19 record, would you let it play, please, before you  
20 comment on it?

21 A If that's what you ask, I have no objection.

22 Q Okay. Thank you very much. This is, I think,  
23 VAN 418.

24 VIDEO: This is the dawn of all of  
25 civilization. All of -- all the way to the time of

Page 186

1 Now, when ordinary light passes through the  
2 Galileo telescope with the convex lens, that lens  
3 focuses the light and can be recorded or the image  
4 recorded by a digital camera. Should antimatter  
5 light pass through the convex lens, it would merely  
6 be dispersed along the walls of the telescope and  
7 not be focused at all.

8 Now, the exact opposite happens with the  
9 Santilli telescope. When antimatter light passes  
10 through the concave lens, it is then focused and  
11 provides an image that can be recorded by a digital  
12 camera. Ordinary light would be dispersed among  
13 the walls of the telescope.

14 Galileo originally conceived and constructed  
15 his telescope for discovery in the space, but as we  
16 all know, the Galileo telescope is to be used for  
17 all sorts of terrestrial view. Our telescope has,  
18 essentially, the same fate because it was  
19 originally conceived for the detection of  
20 antimatter galaxy way deep into space. However, to  
21 our great surprise, we discovered that our  
22 telescope can equally detect entities in our  
23 terrestrial environment that are completely  
24 invisible to our eyes, to our binoculars or to the  
25 Galileo telescope, but they're fully visible in

Page 187

1 cameras attached to our telescope, for which reason  
2 we call them invisible terrestrial entities, ITE.

3 We have detected at least two types of ITE.  
4 The first type, also called a dark ITE, essentially  
5 consists of entities leaving a dark image in the  
6 background of digital cameras attached to our new  
7 telescope. And the second type called bright ITE  
8 essentially consists of entities leaving this time  
9 a bright image in the background of a digital  
10 camera attached to our telescope often visible  
11 without any enlargement.

12 Our discoveries of invisible terrestrial  
13 entities has been independently verified by  
14 American astronomers also causing --

15 THE WITNESS: This is what I quoted before.

16 VIDEO: -- available on the Internet.

17 I am a scientist formerly from MIT, Harvard,  
18 and other leading institutions around the world.  
19 As such, my duty is that of documenting the  
20 existence, quote-unquote, of those entities. The  
21 question of what those entities are must be  
22 answered by our government because those entities  
23 appear to conduct unauthorized surveillance of  
24 rather sensitive civilian, industrial and military  
25 installations.

Page 189

1 A What do you mean "told the truth?" I told --  
2 you heard me. That was my duty as a scientist to report  
3 what I've seen because of an aspect of national  
4 security. It's up to the government to help and to  
5 identify this. You heard me.

6 Q I did, and I don't mean to imply any  
7 disrespect.

8 A What is your question?

9 Q But a video off YouTube is not sworn. That's  
10 why I asked you the questions.

11 A I'm sorry?

12 Q A video off YouTube needs to be verified, and  
13 that's why I asked you the questions.

14 A No, I understand, but I don't see the  
15 pertinence to the lawsuit, but keep going.

16 Q Okay. Thank you very much.

17 There are some people who disagree with you  
18 about the existence of invisible terrestrial entities,  
19 correct?

20 A I have a strong disagreement on the way you  
21 pose this question because -- because this is where I  
22 gain the knowledge stockholders consider you an  
23 associate and not an attorney of the defendant.

24 Q I'm sorry. I didn't understand you.

25 A I repeat. This is one of the reason why a

Page 188

1 What we have learned is that reality is much  
2 bigger than we originally supposed. Reality  
3 consists not only of things that we can see and  
4 observe with our eyes and ordinary telescopes, but  
5 things that we can't see with our eyes and with  
6 ordinary telescopes. But the Santilli telescope  
7 allows us to see things that we have never seen  
8 before.

9 (End of video.)

10 BY MR. LAKE:

11 Q Dr. Santilli, that's a video from Thunder  
12 Energies, one of your companies, correct, or --

13 A No, no, it's not my company. I just am called  
14 the CEO.

15 Q You're the CEO. It's a company -- a company  
16 that you're the CEO of, then?

17 A I am the CEO of Thunder Energies.

18 Q Okay.

19 A That's correct. Everything happens at Thunder  
20 Energies, I am responsible.

21 Q And Thunder Energies posted that video on  
22 YouTube, correct?

23 A Yes, and -- yes.

24 Q And, of course, you told the truth in the  
25 video, right?

Page 190

1 number of stockholders consider you an associate of the  
2 defendant, not the attorney of the defendant because --  
3 because -- because the criticism is absolutely perfectly  
4 allowed as part of the scientific process. It's the  
5 slander, the defamation for this lawsuit. Look, that's  
6 the reason why that you are avoiding very, very  
7 carefully. Here is the appearance under research of my  
8 name systematically, every day for seven year,  
9 systematically.

10 Please, I request you write down that under  
11 the Google search under Ruggero Santilli, immediately  
12 thereafter you see -- you see the website in which the  
13 URL of the website by -- it says the stupidity, and  
14 another one, et cetera, et cetera. And then there is,  
15 each one of them, extremely slanderous, slanderous  
16 content among us. This is the reason for the lawsuit.

17 So -- so you -- so you have -- so you have --  
18 I have no way to express my -- my disagreement, my --  
19 the way you twist the slander into very ordinary, fully  
20 acceptable -- fully acceptable criticism. Of course, I  
21 also list criticism of ITE, but technical criticism, but  
22 not -- not -- not slander.

23 Q Okay. So you --

24 A They use slander for the theory that they  
25 support. They don't use slander to oppose dark matter



Page 191

1 or dark energy, which are known to be absolutely scheme  
2 to milk hundreds of millions of dollars from the  
3 American public. They are known to be totally fake.  
4 And that's the difference. Those are evidence of  
5 existence. There is nothing -- hundreds of millions of  
6 dollars have been skimmed out of the taxpayers on those  
7 fake theories, theories that I present evidence  
8 achieving with pride, not money, achieving with pride,  
9 not money, not public money, not one penny of public  
10 funds.

11 Q And I think the next exhibit is going to be  
12 one of these articles from --

13 A I have one -- one comment in your interest.  
14 At least you know because this will appear in -- will be  
15 presented in front -- in front of the jury. I think it  
16 will be in your interest.

17 Q Dr. Santilli, again, if you could save those  
18 documents for when Mr. Parrish is asking questions, we  
19 can get into that at that point.

20 A Then we have to reconnect the whole thesis.  
21 Then the connection will be lost. It's okay. I'll  
22 present in that case. You prohibit me to present the  
23 entire -- my entire defense, you prohibit me, and then  
24 we will -- we will mark it at -- we will mark it during  
25 the trial.

Page 193

1 okay. I'll use my hat because I -- I don't want to  
2 start sneezing. Go ahead.

3 Q Again, I just wanted to ask you to flip to the  
4 fifth page of V94.

5 A Okay.

6 Q You've already identified this as coming from  
7 the Thunder Energies website, but I just wanted to ask  
8 you about specifically these photos that are on page 5.

9 A Go ahead.

10 Q Do you see the photos here on page 5? Are  
11 these the photos of invisible terrestrial entities that  
12 you're talking about?

13 A Are you referring to those?

14 Q Yes.

15 A Okay. Go ahead.

16 Q Are those photos of invisible terrestrial  
17 entities?

18 A Some of the many.

19 Q Some -- some photos of them. Okay. Thank  
20 you.

21 A And -- and there should be a technical  
22 statement here to be made.

23 Q Dr. Santilli, if you would, please save that  
24 document --

25 A Go ahead.

Page 192

1 Q Okay.

2 A You can bet.

3 Q I'm asking --

4 A Everything -- I remember, I have a mirror  
5 personality. You do something to me, expect something  
6 in return.

7 Q I'm just asking you to present your --

8 A No, because now it's impertinent. It's a  
9 technical comment regarding what I'm accused of for  
10 fraud.

11 Q Dr. Santilli, I'm just asking you to present  
12 at the appropriate time, as Mr. Parrish has explained --

13 A This is now the appropriate time we are  
14 discussing it, not later.

15 (Exhibit V94 was marked for identification.)

16 BY MR. LAKE:

17 Q Let me ask if you recognize document V94,  
18 please.

19 A I think this is the main page of the -- main  
20 page, yes, of the -- of the -- of the Thunder Energies  
21 website. I approved it.

22 Q Okay. And I think if you'll look at the --  
23 the page numbered 5 of 6 --

24 A I'm sorry. Can we ask the air conditioning to  
25 be -- it is a little bit -- I can use my hat. It's

Page 194

1 Q -- and your other documents for --

2 A Go ahead.

3 Q -- for Mr. Parrish when he's ready to ask you  
4 questions.

5 A I need you to ask me the technical aspect of  
6 this picture.

7 So far, Mr. -- Mr. Lake, I've seen nothing of  
8 your question connected to the reason why we sued, why  
9 the very reason of this lawsuit, slander and defamation.  
10 You are avoiding it very carefully to your damage. It's  
11 my opinion.

12 (Exhibit V95 was marked for identification.)

13 BY MR. LAKE:

14 Q I want to ask you about another document that  
15 I believe came from the Thunder Energies website. We've  
16 marked it V95. Do you recognize this Thunder Energies  
17 President's Letter?

18 A I --

19 MR. PARRISH: That's fine.

20 So this is titled "Thunder Energies  
21 President's Letter," and then it's got Tarpon  
22 Springs, June 9th, 2017, Globe Newswire. And then  
23 it has: Dr. Ruggero M. Santilli, president and  
24 chief scientist of Thunder Energies Corporation.

25 THE WITNESS: What does it say?

Page 195

1 MR. PARRISH: And then here it says, Division  
2 of Nuclear Instruments and --

3 THE WITNESS: Where this thing appear? This  
4 looks like a private document. Please look at the  
5 chief financial officer.

6 MRS. SANTILLI: It's Globe Newswire.

7 THE WITNESS: Oh, the Globe Newswire.

8 MRS. SANTILLI: It's a news wire.

9 THE WITNESS: It's approved by the board of  
10 director?

11 MR. PARRISH: It looks like you have down  
12 here, it's your signature block on the last page.

13 THE WITNESS: Oh, so every release goes first  
14 to the attorney and then to the board of director.

15 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

16 THE WITNESS: But I see no headings. You see,  
17 I cannot -- I'm sorry, I cannot accept this because  
18 there is no heading. Sorry.

19 BY MR. LAKE:

20 Q Well, this is the way we obtained it. Let me  
21 ask you some questions about it.

22 A No, without a heading and the identification  
23 where it's from, there may be something concerned here.  
24 Sorry. This is rejected.

25 Q Well, I'm going to ask you some questions

Page 197

1 MR. PARRISH: What we'll do is we'll make an  
2 objection that the document may not be authentic  
3 and --

4 THE WITNESS: No, no, I do not accept it.

5 MR. PARRISH: I understand. And then,  
6 Dr. Santilli, what -- what we've done is we've put  
7 an objection on the record that the document may  
8 not be authentic, but then Mr. Lake can still ask  
9 you questions about it, but its authenticity is  
10 still in question. Okay?

11 THE WITNESS: Right.

12 MR. PARRISH: So if he wants to ask you  
13 questions about it, he can still do that, but there  
14 may be an objection later that it is not an  
15 authentic document.

16 THE WITNESS: I want to clarify that if he  
17 produce the document with the actual conduit, you  
18 know, the -- we release -- if it's a document, then  
19 yes, I will accept it. I will accept it as --  
20 because --

21 MR. PARRISH: Right. So we're not --

22 THE WITNESS: But why he should produce the  
23 real document, not the fake -- not some --

24 MR. PARRISH: He doesn't necessarily have  
25 that. But right now, he's just producing this

Page 196

1 about it anyway.

2 A And your question by -- on a document I cannot  
3 testify as being -- as being the original without the  
4 identification of where, because releases, according to  
5 public -- I do not know whether -- public releases of a  
6 publicly-traded company have to be published by a  
7 fully-identified conduit.

8 Q Dr. Santilli, let me --

9 A Nothing, then, in this case can be -- can be  
10 recognized.

11 Q Okay.

12 A This is just a piece of paper. I want to be  
13 on record that it could be true, but I cannot -- I don't  
14 have the time to read -- go check word by word because  
15 Mr. Lake could have changed some of the words inside to  
16 trick us.

17 Q I can assure you, I did not change any words.

18 A But since --

19 Q I wanted to ask you a question --

20 A But since you avoid carefully about the reason  
21 your question -- the reason why we are in a lawsuit,  
22 because you mix criticism. I object to technical  
23 criticism, why we are in a lawsuit, because of the  
24 slander, defamation, with horrendous the words that I  
25 cannot even repeat.

Page 198

1 document. There has been no authentication --

2 THE WITNESS: Well, I will object on any  
3 question on the document that I don't know that  
4 it's authentic.

5 MR. PARRISH: We've made that objection.

6 THE WITNESS: Well, then I won't object on the  
7 question.

8 BY MR. LAKE:

9 Q Dr. Santilli, let me ask you a question  
10 separate from the document. Okay?

11 A Go ahead.

12 Q Okay. As of June 2017, were Santilli  
13 telescopes in production and sale?

14 A They have never been in production and sale.  
15 They have been -- the production has been organized.

16 Q Okay. You have sold three, though, correct?

17 A But those are to astrophysics laboratory, not  
18 to individual. We have hundreds and hundreds of  
19 requests all over the world from individuals and then  
20 none of them has been sold. So the production is  
21 organized. But the sale, no, because it is an R&D  
22 project. It's a pure -- at this stage, it's a pure R&D  
23 project.

24 Q Okay.

25 A As stated everywhere in all language, but

Page 199

1 twisted, twisted by the defendant and the -- you do the  
2 twisting on your own independently from the defendant  
3 because you've become an associate and you are no longer  
4 an attorney representing the defendant.

5 Q So a Santilli telescope has never been offered  
6 for sale on Amazon?

7 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form.

8 A Yes, but as a test, as a marketing test.

9 BY MR. LAKE:

10 Q Okay.

11 A And none of them has been sold through Amazon,  
12 none of them. We got orders, but we did not sell. We  
13 did not make the sale.

14 Q Okay. Let me show you a document I'm marking  
15 V96 and ask you about that, and I'll give a copy to  
16 Mr. Parrish as well.

17 (Exhibit V96 was marked for identification.)

18 A Yes, this is the Amazon --

19 MRS. SANTILLI: Absolutely, yes.

20 THE WITNESS: This is Amazon, yes.

21 MRS. SANTILLI: He just said that.

22 THE WITNESS: We did it for -- for -- as a --  
23 it is done routinely as -- routinely as a test  
24 purpose. And I also know the comments, yes.  
25

Page 201

1 list of prospective buyers, not in me -- in my computer,  
2 but the salespeople, that as soon as approved for  
3 regular sales for the American people.

4 It's a question of numbers. You have to --  
5 you have to produce at least two or three thousand to  
6 get the price at reason. With a prototype, it costs  
7 half a million dollars from my salary alone.

8 Q Okay.

9 A And that's what you are -- you are on record  
10 for the fraud that you claim that Thunder Energies --  
11 personally claim Thunder Energies committed fraud in  
12 selling telescope that are not -- because you accepted  
13 the notice from that lady from your -- you personally  
14 explore because you accepted it --

15 Q Do you know --

16 A -- for something so clearly false.

17 Q Do you know what price was listed on Amazon at  
18 any time?

19 A No, I don't remember. It's a long time.  
20 Because whatever the price was a fraction of the cost  
21 for prototype.

22 Q Okay. If you --

23 A Because my salary alone, we are talking about  
24 60, 70 thousand dollars per telescope.

25 Q You mentioned when we handed you the exhibit

Page 200

1 BY MR. LAKE:

2 Q Okay. When we printed this -- this document,  
3 it -- it said that -- and so this copy says that the  
4 twin surveillance telescopes, Galileo and Santilli  
5 telescope 70-millimeter system, is currently unavailable  
6 and we don't know when and/or if this item will be back  
7 in stock.

8 So you were not actually selling the  
9 telescope, you were just listing it, or why was it  
10 currently unavailable?

11 A You have to ask the marketing -- our marketing  
12 people, and I'm not qualified to answer. I can tell  
13 you, however, this was part -- this is an R&D project,  
14 and since there are investors interested in investing  
15 funds in the production and sale, so they wanted to do a  
16 marketing analysis so -- to see the reaction by the --  
17 by the people, and that's why this was done. But there  
18 was no sale that I know that was accepted. There were  
19 orders, yes --

20 Q Okay. And --

21 A -- but no sale was accepted.

22 Q -- what was done when -- when orders were  
23 submitted?

24 A We said, to tell the truth, that we are R&D,  
25 that -- that as soon as the -- I think there's a long

Page 202

1 that you were familiar with the reviews?

2 A Yes, I am.

3 Q And one of --

4 A We solicited -- we solicited criticism because  
5 that's what we needed. We needed criticism. Besides,  
6 that's part of the process, yes.

7 Q And one of the --

8 A It's very welcome.

9 Q One of the reviewers said --

10 A I'm sorry. I'm going too fast? Should I slow  
11 down?

12 Q Okay. One of the reviewers says, "There is no  
13 scientific merit to this device at all. It is  
14 worthless." Do you see that?

15 A Sure. That's his opinion.

16 Q That's his opinion? Okay.

17 A It doesn't say that I am fraud, that I'm a  
18 pyramid scheme. It doesn't say that I'm stupid. So I  
19 don't see the connection what -- there's no connection  
20 whatsoever with the lawsuit so far.

21 Attorney Fine (sic) -- Attorney Lake, you  
22 are -- you have not touched the lawsuit at this moment.  
23 The slander, defamation for seven years appearing second  
24 in line under this -- in the -- in the Internet, you  
25 have not touched even remotely the reason the lawsuit.

Page 203

1 You are damaging yourself, Attorney Lake. It's my  
2 opinion.  
3 MR. PARRISH: Can we take a break for just a  
4 second?  
5 MR. LAKE: Sure. Sure.  
6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.  
7 (Recess from 10:54 a.m. to 11:02 a.m.)  
8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.  
9 Go ahead.  
10 BY MR. LAKE:  
11 Q Dr. Santilli, I'm going to ask you a little  
12 about the document requests we sent in this case. Were  
13 you involved in gathering documents that we've  
14 requested?  
15 A I -- I do not understand the question.  
16 Q Okay.  
17 A Could you please elaborate?  
18 Q Sure. As part of the litigation -- and before  
19 we get to the document, as part of the litigation  
20 process, parties are entitled to ask one another for  
21 documents. And Mr. Parrish's office provided us a  
22 number of documents, and I just wondered if you were  
23 involved in the process of gathering any documents.  
24 A Yes, of course.  
25 Q Okay. And tell me what you personally did in

Page 204

1 that regard.  
2 A Oh, it's been -- the list would be too long.  
3 I don't remember all of them.  
4 Q Just generally. Did you look on your  
5 computer? Did you look in paper files? What did you  
6 do?  
7 A Everything.  
8 Q Okay.  
9 A Everything.  
10 Q Okay. Let me ask you about the Santilli  
11 telescope in particular, because one of our requests was  
12 for a Santilli telescope and none was provided. Do you  
13 know why?  
14 A Yes, of course.  
15 Q Okay. Why was a Santilli telescope not  
16 provided?  
17 A Because the operation is very difficult and  
18 can -- so, therefore, the -- by order, by formal  
19 decision of the board of director, the -- it is one of  
20 the reason the telescopes are not for sale to the  
21 public, because there, the recipient have to be  
22 professional -- professional astronomer or  
23 astrophysicist. And -- and you did not provide any --  
24 even a request that if there is, indeed, a professional  
25 astronomer who understands the totally new, totally new

Page 205

1 telescope and he's capable to operate, then we would  
2 have done.  
3 But that's only part of it. To operate  
4 properly, properly our telescope, the expert has to be  
5 an expert in cameras, because the only thing -- only  
6 thing that we see in our telescope is through the  
7 digital display of a camera. So he has to be an expert  
8 in operating the camera not during the day, at night.  
9 So in the absence of such an expert, it would have been  
10 too much of a fake process that I can -- I can follow.  
11 Q Okay.  
12 A So I -- as CEO I vetoed, I -- I denied. But  
13 the offer, if you produce an expert that meets those  
14 qualifications, yes, of course we will be available.  
15 Q Okay. All right. The discovery period in  
16 this case ends Thursday. Will you make a Santilli  
17 telescope available to us --  
18 A Thursday? What you mean? Tomorrow? Today?  
19 MRS. SANTILLI: No, it's not available, not  
20 possible tomorrow.  
21 A Tomorrow night, it's not possible. But in any  
22 case, you have to produce an expert in telescope --  
23 in -- it has to be an expert in the new isodual optics.  
24 BY MR. LAKE:  
25 Q Okay.

Page 206

1 A But only if you assign an expert worldwide.  
2 Q Okay.  
3 A Isodual optics, an expert in camera. You  
4 don't have such an expert.  
5 (Exhibit V97 was marked for identification.)  
6 BY MR. LAKE:  
7 Q Okay. Let me ask you about the document  
8 requests, then, and let's start with paragraph 3. And  
9 I'll read to you what I --  
10 A Go ahead. Go ahead.  
11 Q -- have, but Mr. Parrish has a copy --  
12 A Go ahead.  
13 Q -- you're welcome to look at.  
14 A Go ahead.  
15 Q We asked for in paragraph 3: Any and all  
16 disclosures to statements -- I'm sorry. Let me start  
17 again.  
18 We asked in paragraph 3 for: Any and all  
19 disclosures or statements to shareholders by MagneGas  
20 Corporation or Thunder Energies regarding the articles  
21 attached to the Second Amended Complaint.  
22 And the response was: None in Plaintiff's  
23 possession, custody or control.  
24 My question is simply are you aware of any?  
25 Whether they're in your possession or not, are you aware

Page 207

1 of any disclosures or statements to shareholders by  
2 MagneGas or Thunder regarding Mr. van Erp's articles?

3 A I -- the only thing I can tell you, that all  
4 stockholders, not all, but certainly the primary  
5 stockholders of both companies are fully aware of  
6 everything done by Israel because he's the primary  
7 responsible in Dutch language, then van Erp translated  
8 in English, Italian, et cetera, as well as on your  
9 action.

10 Q Okay. So -- and you are a shareholder,  
11 correct?

12 A I am also shareholder of both companies, yes.

13 Q Of both companies. So you've received the  
14 disclosures you're talking about?

15 A No. Those are individual -- individual  
16 stockholders that have gone through the -- and then they  
17 passed the word of their findings through the Internet,  
18 to my knowledge.

19 Q Okay. A similar question in paragraph 4: Any  
20 and all reports to directors of MagneGas Corporation or  
21 Thunder Energies regarding the articles attached to the  
22 Second Amended Complaint?

23 And your response was: None in Plaintiff's  
24 possession, custody and control.

25 Are you aware of any reports to directors of

Page 209

1 MR. PARRISH: Are you asking him just in his  
2 capacity as Thunder Energies, or are you asking him  
3 just his knowledge of whether or not there was a  
4 disclosure to either one of these corporations?

5 THE WITNESS: He's intentionally not said so,  
6 intentionally.

7 MR. PARRISH: Well, so we're clarifying.

8 MR. LAKE: These are requests to him  
9 individually. I'm asking what he's aware of  
10 individually.

11 MR. PARRISH: Individually, not in his  
12 capacity as --

13 MR. LAKE: No.

14 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

15 MR. LAKE: We have the Thunder Energies  
16 deposition that I hope we will move to at some  
17 point and then I may have some specific questions  
18 about Thunder. But now I'd just like to know what  
19 Dr. Santilli is personally aware of.

20 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

21 MR. LAKE: That's -- that's what I'm doing.

22 BY MR. LAKE:

23 Q So tell me some more about the -- and maybe I  
24 misunderstood your answer. I thought you indicated  
25 there are no reports to directors that you're aware of

Page 208

1 the companies regarding --

2 A Yes, I am.

3 Q -- Mr. van Erp's articles --

4 A Yes, I'm also -- yes. Sorry.

5 Q Please, let Annie get the question --

6 A I apologize. It was my mistake.

7 Q What --

8 A Yes, I am also the capacity of chairman of the  
9 board of the Thunder -- a publicly-traded company,  
10 Thunder Energies Corporation. I prohibited the  
11 discussion at the meetings of anything pertaining to the  
12 slander and defamation by Israel and van Erp for the  
13 very simple reason that the company had to decide not to  
14 file a lawsuit at this moment for the very simple --  
15 another reason: That -- that those are -- Israel and  
16 van Erp are just little guys. The originators of this  
17 organized scientific crime is in the United States of  
18 America. It is by the people who are paying you.

19 MR. PARRISH: Just for the record, are you  
20 asking these questions in his capacity as the CEO  
21 of Thunder Energies? Because the problem we're  
22 having is if there's -- these requests are about  
23 MagneGas and Thunder Energies Corporation. And so  
24 I'm trying to figure out what --

25 MRS. SANTILLI: Yeah.

Page 210

1 regarding Mr. van Erp's articles because --

2 A I repeat. I repeat. As chairman of the  
3 board, I requested and I got approval that -- that the  
4 slander and defamation by van Erp should not be  
5 discussed --

6 Q Okay.

7 A -- in -- because -- because -- because the  
8 company to decide at this moment, at this moment, not to  
9 sue.

10 Q Okay. So there are no reports?

11 A No.

12 Q Okay. Thank you. That's what I wanted to  
13 make sure --

14 A I requested personally under my  
15 responsibility. It is not important the minutes --

16 Q Okay.

17 A -- of the board of directors. They're a  
18 public company anyhow. You can verify this  
19 independently.

20 Q Thank you, Dr. Santilli. You've answered the  
21 question.

22 Let me ask you about number 5: Any and all  
23 news releases, statements, retractions demand -- I'm  
24 sorry -- retraction demands or responses by MagneGas  
25 Corporation or Thunder Energies Corporation regarding

Page 211

1 the articles.

2 And your response was: None in Plaintiff's  
3 possession, custody and control.

4 Are you aware of any news releases,  
5 statements, retraction demands or responses by either of  
6 those companies regarding Mr. van Erp's articles?

7 A If you're asking if that this is -- it is not  
8 in the official record of Thunder Energies, MagneGas, I  
9 do not know because I left MagneGas in 2012.

10 Q Okay. So you're not aware of any. That's  
11 fine. You've answered my question.

12 A But in Thunder Energies, there is no -- at  
13 this moment -- at this moment, no official -- no record.  
14 Otherwise, we should have -- I should have disclosed  
15 it --

16 Q Okay.

17 A -- because we are a public company.

18 Q Okay. And with regard to paragraph -- skip  
19 over to 21. We asked there for any and all product  
20 reviews, evaluations --

21 MR. LAKE: I'm sorry. Did I not give you a  
22 copy, Joe?

23 MR. PARRISH: No, this is -- well, no, you  
24 didn't, but --

25 MR. LAKE: Okay. I'm sorry.

Page 213

1 telescope means the one in the video we saw a moment  
2 ago.

3 And the response was, you objected to this  
4 request as irrelevant and you say the request would not  
5 lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

6 Are you aware of any product reviews,  
7 evaluations, complaints or correspondence from  
8 purchasers or reviewers concerning the Santilli  
9 telescope?

10 A Everything that is -- everything that is -- we  
11 are a publicly-traded company. So everything is  
12 available to the public. I recommend you to go to  
13 the -- under Thunder-Energies.com and then click on the  
14 News. You'll see hundreds and hundreds of releases of  
15 reports there.

16 Q Okay.

17 A So you'll have -- including conference,  
18 complaints, everything. We are a public -- American  
19 public company.

20 Q Okay.

21 A Everything is in the open.

22 Q I understand.

23 A We hide nothing.

24 Q I understand that. And we --

25 A Let's move forward, Attorney Lake.

Page 212

1 MR. PARRISH: This is the original copy and I  
2 think he's relying on you reading it, and then  
3 if --

4 MR. LAKE: Okay.

5 MR. PARRISH: -- it's inaccurate, then I will  
6 let him know.

7 MR. LAKE: Okay. Well, I do have another,  
8 so now --

9 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

10 MR. LAKE: -- I can give that to you.

11 MR. PARRISH: All right.

12 MR. LAKE: And that -- that's not my -- that's  
13 not a work copy that I marked on and gave you by  
14 mistake?

15 MR. PARRISH: This is the actual exhibit.

16 MR. LAKE: Good. Okay. We're in -- we're in  
17 the right place, then. Thank you.

18 MR. PARRISH: Uh-huh.

19 BY MR. LAKE:

20 Q Okay. I wanted to ask you about paragraph 21,  
21 and I'll read it to you: Any and all product reviews,  
22 evaluations, complaints or correspondence from  
23 purchasers or reviewers concerning the Santilli  
24 telescope.

25 And then we specified that the Santilli

Page 214

1 Q Let me ask you, though, specifically what you  
2 are aware of. I understand that documents are  
3 available.

4 A There are so many. It took four or five  
5 years.

6 Q Okay.

7 A It's an insidious question I do not want to  
8 answer.

9 Q Well, let me -- let me ask it anyway and if  
10 you refuse to answer, then the court reporter will take  
11 that down.

12 A Something among hundreds and hundreds of  
13 documents you are asking me to answer. This is very --

14 THE WITNESS: I need protection,  
15 Attorney Parrish, because this is where -- where I  
16 get nervous because these are not -- not questions  
17 should be asked during a trial in the federal  
18 court. This is my opinion.

19 BY MR. LAKE:

20 Q Let me ask the question, and obviously, if  
21 Mr. Parrish has an objection --

22 A You have to be specific.

23 Q -- he may do so. Okay?

24 A You have to be specific.

25 Q I'm going to be as specific as I can.

Page 215

1 Are you aware of any product reviews,  
2 evaluations, complaints or correspondence from  
3 purchasers or reviewers concerning the Santilli  
4 telescope?

5 A And my question -- my answer is exactly the  
6 same as before. Yes, but there are many -- there are  
7 many, many of them. It's a public company with an  
8 intense activity and they're all -- if they are not --  
9 they are all presented under News, and you'll see  
10 hundreds and hundreds of -- it's available. You have to  
11 scan them. I have no intention of doing the job for  
12 you.

13 MR. LAKE: Joe, I believe those are relevant  
14 to the case and the objection here says they're  
15 irrelevant. I disagree. Will you agree to produce  
16 the documents Dr. Santilli has just described?

17 MRS. SANTILLI: I don't understand.

18 THE WITNESS: I have a comment because they  
19 are totally irrelevant to the reason -- to the  
20 lawsuit, which is the slander and defamation. So  
21 there is no connection whatsoever with the slander  
22 and defamation, none.

23 MRS. SANTILLI: I think -- is this 21?

24 MR. LAKE: 21, yes.

25 MRS. SANTILLI: Yeah.

Page 217

1 with that in another forum.

2 BY MR. LAKE:

3 Q Let's move on to paragraph 22. Actually, I'm  
4 sorry. Paragraph 22 there was an objection, but I  
5 believe documents were later produced. So we don't need  
6 to discuss 22.

7 Paragraph 23 is the one that asks for a  
8 complete working and operational Santilli telescope with  
9 operating manual or instructions, if any. And  
10 Dr. Santilli, you've already explained why a telescope  
11 wasn't provided.

12 Let me ask you with regard to documents. Does  
13 an operating manual or instructions exist?

14 A Yes, of course.

15 Q Okay. Why would that not be sufficient to --  
16 to enable someone trained in astronomy to operate the  
17 telescope?

18 A Could you repeat the question? I'm sorry.

19 Q Sure. Sure. You had stated earlier that the  
20 telescope was not provided to us because --

21 A You do not have an expert eyewitness.

22 Q Exactly. That was your explanation.

23 And so my question is: With an operating  
24 manual or instructions, why would a trained astronomer  
25 not be able to operate the Santilli telescope?

Page 216

1 MR. PARRISH: Yeah, I'm going to stand by the  
2 objection in the request for production with  
3 regards to producing documents associated with this  
4 Third Request for Production of Documents from  
5 Pepijn van Erp.

6 MR. LAKE: And you're talking specifically  
7 about paragraph 21?

8 MR. PARRISH: Yes, just 21 at this point.

9 MR. LAKE: Okay. Can you -- can you  
10 articulate for me the basis for the relevance  
11 objection?

12 MR. PARRISH: We're claiming that the reviews  
13 in the -- the evaluation of the Complaint, that the  
14 telescope itself is not relevant, especially since  
15 they may have been reviewed by non-scientists and  
16 people that have no technical ability to actually  
17 review the technical aspects of the telescope. And  
18 especially since, at this point in time, anyone  
19 that would have provided a review would have not  
20 even received the telescope since it was not for  
21 sale to the public.

22 THE WITNESS: It's completely irrelevant, not  
23 related to the lawsuit, none. No connection. No  
24 rational connection.

25 MR. LAKE: Well, I disagree, but we can deal

Page 218

1 A Yes, yes. Oh, yes, of course. With a  
2 trained -- a trained professional astronomer who has a  
3 long experience with -- in our manual, yes.

4 Q Okay.

5 A He would have been able to -- yes.

6 MR. LAKE: And the -- in response to this  
7 request, again, the objection was relevance. Joe,  
8 I take it what you've just told us about 21 would  
9 apply to this request as well, that you believe the  
10 telescope and the operating manual or instructions  
11 are irrelevant?

12 THE WITNESS: Well, I have my own answer of  
13 this question.

14 MR. LAKE: Let me get Mr. Parrish to respond  
15 and then certainly you can chime in.

16 MR. PARRISH: Well, I mean, at this point in  
17 time, I don't feel comfortable answering anything  
18 until I've had a chance to talk to my client about  
19 what his views are with regards to this objection.  
20 Obviously, this was partially objected to as  
21 instructed by my client. So I would need time to  
22 discuss the objection with my client outside of the  
23 record and with attorney-client privilege attached  
24 and then be able to formulate whether or not we  
25 would remove the objection.

Page 219

1 MR. LAKE: Okay. Thank you.

2 THE WITNESS: I have comments, however, and  
3 the comment is this: Namely, that when I -- we ask  
4 with our own attorney to van Erp some of the most  
5 important questions, production of document, he  
6 responded: Too cumbersome to collect such-and-such  
7 documents, too cumbersome.

8 And since you accepted that answer, I want to  
9 use exactly the same answer because we are in the  
10 United States of America. We are a democracy.

11 BY MR. LAKE:

12 Q Okay. Let me ask you about one final  
13 paragraph on these requests and then we'll move on.  
14 Number --

15 A All this is not much relevant to the lawsuit,  
16 but keep going, please.

17 Q With regard to number 24, we asked for: Any  
18 and all articles responding to, supporting, questioning  
19 or criticizing Dr. Santilli's theories concerning  
20 antimatter, antimatter light or invisible terrestrial  
21 entities.

22 And the response was: Plaintiff objects to  
23 this request as vague, unduly burdensome and ambiguous.

24 Is it unduly burdensome because of the volume  
25 of articles concerning antimatter, antimatter light or

Page 221

1 BY MR. LAKE:

2 Q Let me ask you if you recognize this document.  
3 And we've marked it V48. And --

4 MR. PARRISH: Thanks.

5 BY MR. LAKE:

6 Q Dr. Santilli, the new document is here, V48.

7 MR. PARRISH: Oh, this is a document  
8 previously marked? I'm sorry.

9 MR. LAKE: Oh.

10 MRS. SANTILLI: Um-hum.

11 MR. LAKE: No, we're just marking it now.

12 MR. PARRISH: Oh, so we're at V98.

13 MR. LAKE: Oh, I'm sorry, 98. I misspoke.  
14 It's V98. Thanks, Joe.

15 MR. PARRISH: Uh-huh.

16 (Exhibit V98 was marked for identification.)

17 THE WITNESS: What is this document?

18 MR. PARRISH: Okay. So, Dr. Santilli, it  
19 looks like this is a List of Scientific  
20 Publications by Jersday Kad -- Kadeisvili born  
21 January 9th, 1960 --

22 THE WITNESS: Yes.

23 MR. PARRISH: -- and it says died

24 January 16th, 2014. So it looks like this is a  
25 list of --

Page 220

1 invisible terrestrial entities?

2 A The --

3 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form of the  
4 question.

5 A Yeah. The answer to this question has to  
6 represent the entirety -- the entirety of the  
7 documentation. Now, the entirety of the documentation  
8 implies -- implies something in the range of 10 or -- 10  
9 or 15 or 20,000 pages, first of all, of -- of -- of --  
10 pages of -- of scientists who have worked -- many  
11 scientists who have worked on -- on our technology, on  
12 our new telescope technology in three continents. And  
13 then jointly with this -- jointly with tens of  
14 thousands, 15 or 20,000 pages, you have to produce --  
15 you have to produce very, very few criticisms existing  
16 in -- in blogs only. There is no scientific paper  
17 whatsoever that has been published in reference journals  
18 against Santilli telescope, none.

19 So therefore -- so we're talking about  
20 producing a judicial process of a highly-advanced  
21 American technology opposed by foreigners via blogs,  
22 signed by illiterate, such as by van Erp who has  
23 never -- never finished his studies.

24 So certainly it's cumbersome and I have no  
25 intention of complying.

Page 222

1 THE WITNESS: This is some -- some of his  
2 papers, some of his papers. Yes, I remember this.  
3 I remember this document, yes.

4 BY MR. LAKE:

5 Q Okay. And since you remember, it may not  
6 matter, but for the record, I'll tell you. We obtained  
7 this from the IBR.org website.

8 A Yes. It's public knowledge anyhow.

9 Q Your --

10 A You search under -- search under  
11 Kadeisvili, you'll get it.

12 Q Okay.

13 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Search on --

14 THE WITNESS: You search under the name of  
15 Kadeisvili -- the spelling is here,  
16 Jersday Kadeisvili. He's from Georgia, Russia,  
17 former USSR.

18 BY MR. LAKE:

19 Q Dr. Santilli, if we look down the list of  
20 publications, it appears that many of his publications,  
21 in fact, two on the first page -- actually, three on the  
22 first page and then a number on other pages include your  
23 name in the title. Dr. Santilli is referenced.

24 A There are many, many articles with my name in  
25 the title, very many all over, hundreds and hundreds.



Page 223

1 Q My question, though -- let me ask you a  
2 question.

3 A Yes.

4 Q My question is: Is it -- do you know of any  
5 other scientist who has written so many articles that  
6 included your name in the title?

7 A Many of them.

8 Q Okay. Name one.

9 A And now a list. Now anytime --

10 THE WITNESS: Carla, you may need to locate  
11 the list because we have a few lists.

12 MRS. SANTILLI: I won't talk. I'll just look  
13 for the list.

14 THE WITNESS: Only two out of many. Only two  
15 out of many.

16 BY MR. LAKE:

17 Q Okay.

18 THE WITNESS: Just a moment. No, wait, wait.  
19 Here is one. Wait, wait, Carla. This is one. I  
20 made two of them. This is one and the other one  
21 is -- the other one was -- just a moment. Here it  
22 is.

23 MRS. SANTILLI: Yeah, this one is a lot, all  
24 of them, basically, a lot.

25 THE WITNESS: Two out of many. Here is --

Page 225

1 a keynote speaker. And here is the list. This is  
2 the official program of all speakers. There were  
3 four or five hundred speakers and -- and here you  
4 can see, this show you not all of them. Most of  
5 them my name is in the title. My name is in the  
6 title.

7 BY MR. LAKE:

8 Q Okay.

9 A And then call it stupid and pyramid scheme,  
10 fraud called by Mr. -- Mr. Lake.

11 Q Okay. Let me let you keep those for right  
12 now.

13 A No, no. I have two. One -- you don't want  
14 it?

15 Q Well, we want it marked, but I want it -- but  
16 I don't want to take your original.

17 A No, no, I have two of them, one for  
18 Attorney Parrish and one for you.

19 Q Okay.

20 A Then another one --

21 Q Wait a minute, Dr. Santilli. Let us get the  
22 record straight here before -- before you pull out  
23 anything else.

24 Let me just -- may I look at this for a  
25 moment?

Page 224

1 here is -- I know this is one of the prizes, awards  
2 I received. I don't even -- I received so many, I  
3 don't even -- and this is the --

4 MRS. SANTILLI: Those are Rhodes, Rhodes.

5 THE WITNESS: Rhodes, and not in the presence  
6 of the -- here is this -- all the distinguished  
7 scientists, they give a prize to a stupid, stupid  
8 person, me.

9 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, I think the  
10 question was about only those authors that are  
11 using you as a reference --

12 THE WITNESS: I know, but --

13 MR. PARRISH: -- in their articles.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes, but -- but I have to  
15 qualify the environment in which this prize. So  
16 this is for you, Attorney. I have a copy for you  
17 and a copy for you.

18 MR. PARRISH: Okay. Well --

19 THE WITNESS: So this was environment. He was  
20 asking me other people.

21 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

22 THE WITNESS: So I answer specifically his  
23 question. I have two of many, not to take too much  
24 time.

25 So this I receive an award. I was invited as

Page 226

1 A Of course.

2 Q Thank you.

3 A Look at the country of origination, three  
4 continents.

5 Q Okay.

6 A Of all -- of scientists putting my name in the  
7 title.

8 Q Okay. I will certainly look through this, but  
9 again, let me ask Annie to read back the last question.

10 A Yes, I included hundreds of -- yes, I have a  
11 second answer.

12 Q Just a moment, please. Let's let Annie read  
13 it.

14 A Can you -- may I have a moment? She --

15 MR. LAKE: She can't take anything down while  
16 she's looking, so --

17 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, just -- off the  
18 record. Can we do that just real quickly?

19 MR. LAKE: Off the record, that's fine.

20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.

21 (Discussion off the record.)

22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.

23 Go ahead.

24 BY MR. LAKE:

25 Q Okay. Dr. Santilli --

Page 227

1 A I request -- I request to complete the answer.  
2 I request to complete the answer. You have a question  
3 whether other scientists have my name in the title. I  
4 request, insist to complete the answer because -- now --

5 Q That's not my question.

6 A -- here is the -- I am speaking.

7 MRS. SANTILLI: Yes, that was the question.

8 A Yes, that was the question. Then this is  
9 another scientific meeting in China on which I met with  
10 three Nobel Laureates in the -- in the committee, and I  
11 was a keynote-invited speaker. And in the presence of  
12 the top representative of the communist party, I  
13 received a very prestigious international scientific  
14 award and this is documentation. And -- and -- and this  
15 is the slander, the slander by these guys indicating  
16 this meeting was -- can I repeat what -- this has to be  
17 read. Otherwise, this -- this -- this deposition  
18 becomes a farce, becomes a pure farce.

19 MR. PARRISH: And Dr. Santilli, if it gets  
20 listed as an exhibit --

21 MRS. SANTILLI: Go back.

22 MR. PARRISH: -- then it will be on the  
23 record.

24 THE WITNESS: I want it on the record so that  
25 she can type it. Sorry, but I want this interview

Page 229

1 no mumbo jumbo. It's serious science.

2 That is the end of my answer. I reserve  
3 additional questions, Attorney Parrish, to be asked  
4 later.

5 MR. LAKE: Annie, are you able to read back  
6 the last question?

7 BY MR. LAKE:

8 Q Dr. Santilli, Annie is going to read back my  
9 question, please.

10 A Thank you.

11 (Reporter read back as requested.)

12 THE WITNESS: Exactly. That's exactly what  
13 I -- that is exactly what I provided.

14 BY MR. LAKE:

15 Q Dr. Santilli --

16 A I understood the question very well.

17 Q Okay. Well, let me ask a different question,  
18 then. And I don't believe you've answered it. Let me  
19 ask this one:

20 Other than Dr. Kadeisvili, please give me the  
21 name of one scientist who has written so many articles  
22 that include your name in the title, if you are able to  
23 do to so.

24 A What do you mean, "so many articles?" Three  
25 or four articles.

Page 228

1 for my words to be recorded. I'm sorry,  
2 Attorney Parrish.

3 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

4 THE WITNESS: Because, again, we serve their  
5 interests and not the interests of justice.

6 In any -- the associate, Mr. Lake, associate,  
7 they claim that this was a --

8 What did they say, Carla?

9 MRS. SANTILLI: Well, no, you remember.

10 MR. LAKE: Dr. Santilli, we can't have other  
11 people --

12 MRS. SANTILLI: Move on. Move on.

13 THE WITNESS: Sorry. And this was a list of  
14 some of the papers represented in this  
15 international committee. There were four or five  
16 hundred participants, the presence of some of the  
17 top scientists all over the world. Most of them  
18 carry my name in the title.

19 So -- so, therefore, for Professor -- for  
20 Professor Kadeisvili not to put my title and my  
21 theory that he treat as an article would have been  
22 very, very unethical, very unethical.

23 So they are twisting this intro because the  
24 intent is to treat all -- Professor Kadeisvili put  
25 the main article so there is mumbo jumbo. There is

Page 230

1 Q Well, let's take a look at V98.

2 A He is -- he is working in my theories. So  
3 this article -- so he develops my theory and my name  
4 has to be -- ethically has to be on the title.

5 Anyhow, you wanted a name -- let me tell you  
6 the name of people who have put -- written monographs,  
7 monographs with my name in the title, not articles,  
8 monographs.

9 You see, here is one. To Professor of  
10 Mathematics of Sorbonne University, full professor, in  
11 which you see my name. This is in Spanish. You see my  
12 name in the title.

13 Another thing is Professor --  
14 Professor Yang-Kook Sun, a famous mathematician from  
15 China, written a book this thick, Santilli Iso --  
16 Isodual -- Isodual Theory.

17 Professor Aringazi from Greece, Aringazi from  
18 Kazakhstan, and so many other, wrote an article,  
19 "Santilli Isotopic Generalization of Galileo Theory."

20 There are thousands of papers carrying my name  
21 in the title. I know that this bothers the defendants,  
22 but -- but --

23 Q Dr. Santilli, thank you. You've pointed out a  
24 number of articles and books that mention your name, and  
25 I appreciate that. My question, though, relates to the

Page 231

1 name of a single scientist who has used your name in so  
2 many titles.

3 A I have many who have done this.  
4 Professor Jannussis from --

5 Q I'm sorry. Say the name again.

6 A Professor Asterios Jannussis, full professor  
7 of physics, University of Patras. He maybe have written  
8 20, 30 or 50, multiple, multiple of those with  
9 Santilli's Lie-Admissible theory.

10 Professor Grigorios Tsagas, a very famous  
11 mathematician, he has organized a chain of lectures  
12 entirely devoted, entirely devoted to Santilli  
13 iso-mathematics.

14 My name was in each of every paper because the  
15 mathematics in which -- at the conference was my  
16 mathematics, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera.

17 Q Let me ask you now about a few other  
18 documents. I'm not going to go into them in great  
19 detail, so -- but I will mark -- I would like to mark  
20 them as exhibits and just see if you recognize them.  
21 Okay?

22 MRS. SANTILLI: Are these two exhibits?

23 THE WITNESS: Those are.

24 MR. PARRISH: I don't want to get them mixed  
25 up.

Page 233

1 A That is correct.

2 (Exhibit V100 was marked for identification.)

3 BY MR. LAKE:

4 Q Is this a copy -- we've marked it V100 -- of  
5 Professor Calo's comments?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Okay.

8 A However -- however, I want to --

9 THE WITNESS: Attorney Parrish, I need to --  
10 this is to be clarified because I'm sure Mr. Lake  
11 will only present this criticism, but he will not  
12 present the other criticism published in the -- the  
13 criticism published in the same -- the same  
14 journal.

15 BY MR. LAKE:

16 Q Let me --

17 A In any case, this criticism is respectful. So  
18 I have not sued Mr. Calo because he can have his  
19 opinions. I see no problem to suing him because he  
20 criticized me, none.

21 Q Okay.

22 A He doesn't say the slander, defamation, none.  
23 This is ordinary science. So I don't see the connection  
24 with the lawsuit.

25 (Exhibit V101 was marked for identification.)

Page 232

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, I want to remove them.  
2 Thank you. Thank you. I appreciate it. Okay.

3 MRS. SANTILLI: Are you looking for this?

4 MR. PARRISH: No, no. I'll introduce these  
5 later. I've got them here.

6 (Exhibit V99 was marked for identification.)

7 BY MR. LAKE:

8 Q Dr. Santilli, the next document we marked V99  
9 I believe is an article by you titled, "A new gaseous  
10 and combustible form of water." Is that an article you  
11 wrote?

12 A Yes, indeed, I did.

13 Q Okay.

14 A The contents, however, were -- were not  
15 corrected, so it's full of garbling because the  
16 publisher converted a Tex -- what's called Tex, T-E-X,  
17 converted a Tex manuscript, T-E-X, into Word format.  
18 And in this conversion, there is all sort of garbling.  
19 But it is my article.

20 Q Okay.

21 A But those corrections that they were not ever  
22 made, it is my article.

23 Q Okay. And I believe there was a commentary --  
24 or I'm sorry -- comments were written on your article by  
25 a professor named J.M. Calo, correct?

Page 234

1 BY MR. LAKE:

2 Q Let me ask you about a document that's been  
3 marked V101 and see if you recognize that as a response  
4 from J.V. Kadeisvili to the -- Professor Calo --

5 A There were five of them. There were one --  
6 there were five -- five attacks of Mr. Calo.

7 THE WITNESS: And Attorney Parrish, I need to  
8 elaborate -- I request later to elaborate because  
9 Mr. Lake will not allow me to elaborate what's  
10 going on behind the scene on -- on this.

11 BY MR. LAKE:

12 Q Dr. Santilli --

13 A I answered your question directly. Please, go  
14 ahead.

15 Q Please do.

16 Is V101 that we've just handed you a rebuttal  
17 of J.M. Calo's comments?

18 A I repeat. Yes, one of many.

19 Q One of many. Okay.

20 And if you would look at that, the "By" line,  
21 I guess we could call it, or the author's name is  
22 J.V. Kadeisvili, correct?

23 A Yes. It's written here. Yes.

24 Q And the address for Professor Kadeisvili is  
25 the Institute for Basic Research in Palm Harbor,

Page 235

1 correct?

2 A It is one of the scientific address. He  
3 never -- I never met Kadeisvili from the former --  
4 Professor Kadeisvili from the former Georgia. His land  
5 was devastated by the war. So I never met him.  
6 Apparently, he had a serious birth certificate.

7 I -- I made his eulogy in a lecture at -- in  
8 Madrid at the international conference in which he was  
9 invited to -- he was invited to speak, but he died  
10 before that. And so I was asked to deliver a lecture on  
11 his behavior and then make a eulogy, which I made.

12 Q How did he happen to become affiliated with  
13 the Institute?

14 A The Institute is -- precisely, the function of  
15 the Institute, to give affiliation to qualified,  
16 innovative scientists all over the world with  
17 notification in --

18 I'm sorry, I -- this got tangled up. Let me  
19 repeat the statement.

20 Q Sure.

21 A The -- the Institute for Basic Research is --  
22 is -- essentially provides -- provides a scientific  
23 house to scientists all over the world. There are  
24 hundreds of -- hundreds of scientists that they use --  
25 they have used in the past, and continue to use the

Page 237

1 don't remember the year.

2 And I contacted him and he asked me, Why you  
3 want to collaborate? I gave him some of my paper. He  
4 got immediately excited. He said, I want to give the  
5 rest of my life to your -- to your -- he called them new  
6 sciences for a new era.

7 BY MR. LAKE:

8 Q Okay. I know you've already told us you never  
9 met him in person.

10 A No.

11 Q Did you ever speak to him by telephone?

12 A No. Apparently, he had even -- he had -- he  
13 was -- he had a severe birth -- a severe birth defect,  
14 and that's why he was a self-made -- self-made -- he  
15 reached -- he reached the top knowledge, extremely  
16 advanced knowledge, mathematical knowledge beyond me.

17 Q And I'm sorry. You may have said this. But  
18 what country did he leave in, to your knowledge?

19 A He's from Georgia.

20 Q He's from Georgia.

21 A Georgia -- not Georgia, America, but Georgia,  
22 former USSR. His house, his family was devastated.  
23 There was an enormous -- when the USSR collapsed, then  
24 there was a war because -- and Georgia -- Russia  
25 considered Georgia -- Georgians as real Russians. So

Page 236

1 Institute for Basic Research as their scientific  
2 affiliation, but it doesn't mean -- we have never had  
3 the money to have a house or a building, so -- and -- or  
4 to support the travel to be physically, no. It's  
5 just -- just an affiliation. It's the name.

6 Q Okay. And how did Professor Kadeisvili in  
7 particular become affiliated with the Institute?

8 A Yes, I can tell you that. We were in Dubna.  
9 I was invited by -- by -- by -- by the Joint Institute  
10 of Nuclear Research. It is the institute where Russia  
11 produced the nuclear bombs. Following the collapse of  
12 the communists, they invited me to have -- to appear --  
13 to deliver lectures there on my status. At that moment,  
14 there was a great -- great collaboration between America  
15 and USA. I was also -- I was --

16 THE WITNESS: And I request, Attorney Parrish,  
17 to elaborate this separately.

18 A But Professor Sisakian, deputy director of the  
19 Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, one of the big  
20 power -- scientific power in Russia at that time, he  
21 spoke to me about -- I told him I was looking for  
22 somebody to do -- to continue my research, and he  
23 mentioned -- mentioned Professor Kadeisvili. He gave me  
24 the fax. At that time we corresponded by fax, and he  
25 gave me the fax. This was in mid-1990 -- early. I

Page 238

1 then Georgia's intent was to separate from Russia, so  
2 there was an extremely devastating war with unbelievable  
3 atrocity, and all this happened in an incredible war,  
4 gas and --

5 Q Let me ask you about the e-mail address at the  
6 bottom of V101.

7 A Just read it for me.

8 Q Sure, I will, yes. I just want to make sure  
9 Mr. Parrish is with us.

10 MR. LAKE: Joe, I'm going to ask  
11 Professor Santilli about -- or Dr. Santilli  
12 about --

13 THE WITNESS: That's okay.

14 MR. LAKE: -- the e-mail address at the bottom  
15 of V101.

16 THE WITNESS: Mr. Lake --

17 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

18 THE WITNESS: -- this is my title, "Sir."

19 MR. LAKE: "Sir." Very good.

20 THE WITNESS: But you don't have to use it.  
21 There is no need to. But this is my real title,  
22 "Sir Santilli."

23 BY MR. LAKE:

24 Q Is the e-mail address on  
25 Professor Kadeisvili's article Luca54321@Verizon.net?

Page 239

1 MR. PARRISH: And I'm sorry. Where on the  
2 document?

3 MR. LAKE: This is at the -- sure -- the  
4 bottom of the first column.

5 A Yeah, I didn't even notice that. I don't  
6 know.

7 BY MR. LAKE:

8 Q Did you ever correspond with  
9 Professor Kadeisvili by e-mail?

10 A Oh, yes, I did. Oh, yes. Because when the  
11 e-mail came, yes.

12 Q Why?

13 A He corresponded with many other people, yeah.

14 Q If you know, why did he have a Verizon.net  
15 e-mail address?

16 A Well, because the reason being -- there are  
17 several reasons. They connect to their own -- their  
18 own --

19 THE WITNESS: Which is the address, the e-mail  
20 that he is director?

21 MRS. SANTILLI: But where is it?

22 THE WITNESS: I need to know --

23 MR. PARRISH: I don't know -- all I -- all I  
24 see right now, Dr. Santilli, is just it says e-mail  
25 address right here. It doesn't --

Page 241

1 suspect that this is the e-mail of Luca Petronio.

2 Q Okay.

3 A Not -- not of Kadeisvili. This is my  
4 suspicious --

5 Q Okay.

6 A -- my suspicion. And because the reason being  
7 that that's his way of -- because this -- this attack by  
8 Mr. -- Mr. Lake -- not -- by Mr. Calo was very  
9 unethical. And so he was a very ethical person, was one  
10 of the founders of the International Committee of  
11 Scientific Ethics, and that is why --

12 Am I moving too fast?

13 That's why he elected to have -- so that the  
14 International Committee of Scientific Ethics will  
15 receive any comment pertaining to this -- to this --  
16 this article. And it was done intentionally by  
17 Professor Kadeisvili. And then, of course,  
18 Luca Petronio would report to him everything.

19 Q And Luca Petronio being the group name or the  
20 pseudonym used by the committee, correct?

21 A It was the pseudonym used by the International  
22 Committee for Scientific Ethics.

23 Q Okay. Thank you.

24 A And -- now, for the record, the -- regarding  
25 the -- the outgoing mail, the International Committee

Page 240

1 THE WITNESS: And what is the e-mail address?

2 MR. PARRISH: All it says right here is e-mail  
3 address. It doesn't say who it's from or who it's  
4 to.

5 BY MR. LAKE:

6 Q And the address is -- just so the record is  
7 clear, the address is Luca54321@Verizon.net.

8 A Yeah. So what --

9 Q So my question is, if you know, and if you  
10 don't know, that's fine, why it is that  
11 Professor Kadeisvili had a Verizon.net e-mail address?

12 A Because this is when the Internet -- I am not  
13 familiar. I didn't even know about this. When the  
14 Internet came, you know, Russian can have -- America can  
15 have -- can have an e-mail with the Russian.

16 No, I don't understand the value. Your  
17 question has no connection whatsoever with what we are  
18 talking about in the lawsuit, no.

19 Q Okay.

20 A Please, please --

21 Q Do you know --

22 A -- let's go to the substance of the lawsuit.

23 Q We talked a couple of days ago --

24 A Incidentally, I suspect that -- I suspect  
25 that -- that Professor Kadeisvili was in touch with -- I

Page 242

1 for Scientific Ethics operates under a VPN system. This  
2 is a very advanced electronic system for the outgoing.  
3 For the incoming mail, it operates under SCE system,  
4 very advanced system. And -- and besides, the ISP --  
5 the ISP is basically when -- in this case was the  
6 Verizon, all e-mails, all e-mails.

7 THE WITNESS: Attorney Parrish, this is  
8 something for you. Yesterday there was -- there  
9 was an e-mail presented with a strange IP, and even  
10 with strangely -- some of them were addressed to  
11 you. Please -- please note that all -- the IP of  
12 all e-mails are IP's of the server. So all our  
13 address (inaudible) --

14 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Could you repeat  
15 that? All the --

16 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

17 So all e-mails carry the IP of the server.  
18 The server is the provider, the provider. And --  
19 and none of them, no e-mail identifies the actual  
20 IP of the location, the location of the -- where  
21 the computer is located.

22 So, for instance, and Attorney Parrish, if you  
23 have repair as a server, as a provider, our IP will  
24 be identical to our -- to your IP, even though you  
25 are in Tampa and we are in -- we are in --

Page 243

1 So the IP of this was another very misleading  
2 request by -- by Mr. Lake to Carla that I intend to  
3 object after you ask me a more technical question  
4 because this will be very easy -- very easy,  
5 disappointed.

6 The question you ask me in front of the jury  
7 when we have an IP -- IP company testifying about  
8 this thing will be extremely advantageous to us.

9 Thank you. Thank you. What you showed, the  
10 composite, to Carla was very, very clever  
11 advantage. Thank you.

12 MR. LAKE: Thank you, Dr. Santilli.

13 The videographer has indicated he needs to  
14 change recordings, so -- or change, for lack of a  
15 better word, tapes --

16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Cards.

17 MR. LAKE: -- I guess. So let's -- let's go  
18 off the record while he does that.

19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.  
20 (Discussion off the record.)

21 (Lunch recess from 11:45 a.m. to 12:43 p.m.)

22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.

23 Go ahead.

24 BY MR. LAKE:

25 Q Dr. Santilli, good afternoon. You know that

Page 245

1 (Exhibit V102 was marked for identification.)

2 BY MR. LAKE:

3 Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit V102, please.  
4 I've given a copy to Mr. Parrish also.

5 MR. PARRISH: Thank you.

6 BY MR. LAKE:

7 Q See if you recognize this e-mail,  
8 Dr. Santilli.

9 THE WITNESS: Can you read it for me, please?

10 MR. PARRISH: Yes. The title is, "Request for  
11 information about Prof. Kadeisvili." It looks like  
12 it's alleging that it's from Pepijn van Erp. It's  
13 got an e-mail address next to it and then it says  
14 To: Board@Santilli-Foundation.org, and then also  
15 to BasicResearch@i-b-r.org. And then it's an  
16 e-mail that says, "Dear Sir/Madam."

17 Would you like me to read it into the record  
18 for him?

19 THE WITNESS: Please, because --

20 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

21 THE WITNESS: -- I don't understand all the  
22 content.

23 MR. PARRISH: Sure.

24 THE WITNESS: Usually I ignore these things.

25 MR. PARRISH: Sure. It says, "Dear Sir or

Page 244

1 you're still under oath this afternoon, correct?

2 A Yes, I confirm, yes.

3 Q Okay. We were talking just before the lunch  
4 break about Professor Kadeisvili. There came a time  
5 when you received an e-mail from Pepijn van Erp asking  
6 about Professor Kadeisvili, correct?

7 A I receive so many e-mail.

8 Q Okay.

9 A Yes.

10 Q Do you recall ever hearing from Pepijn van Erp  
11 in any form about Professor Kadeisvili?

12 A Honestly, I receive so many mail that I became  
13 aware of the existence of van Erp and his attack only  
14 recently because I -- I have been -- my life and many  
15 people near me can testify, I am a scientist and just do  
16 science. I never pay attention to people attack me,  
17 so -- and I completely -- they were telling me, Ruggero,  
18 be careful, Ruggero, this and -- in Dutch they're  
19 attacking you. I have so many. So I became aware. So  
20 I don't even remember those e-mails.

21 Q Okay.

22 A Yeah, honestly, I swear to God, I don't  
23 remember those e-mails.

24 Q Okay.

25 A I receive so many.

Page 246

1 Madam, I plan to write an article about different  
2 theories concerning HHO gas. Of course, this  
3 cannot pass without giving attention to  
4 Mr. Santilli's article, 'A new gaseous and  
5 combustible form of water,' in the International  
6 Journal of Hydrogen, Volume 31, 2006. This article  
7 received a harsh attack by Professor Calo in the  
8 same journal, but was defended by Martin O. Cloonan  
9 and J. Vladimir Kadeisvili. About almost all the  
10 persons involved in this discussion I can find  
11 plenty of information, but I find it difficult to  
12 get some background of Professor Kadeisvili, who  
13 wrote the article, 'Rebuttal of J.M. Calo's  
14 Comments on R.M. Santilli's HHO paper.'"

15 Then there's a next paragraph, and the next  
16 paragraph says, "As he is connected to the  
17 Institute for Basic Research, I assume that you can  
18 easily get me a his CV, publication list and  
19 perhaps a photograph which I can use for my  
20 article. If possible, can you also provide me his  
21 e-mail address so that I can connect to him  
22 directly? Your help would be most welcome.

23 With kind regards, Pepijn van Erp."

24 BY MR. LAKE:

25 Q Now that it's been read to you, do you

Page 247

1 recognize that e-mail, Dr. Santilli?

2 A I don't remember.

3 Q Okay.

4 A I receive so many of those.

5 Q Do you receive e-mails that are sent to  
6 Board@Santilli-Foundation.org?

7 A No, unless they are forwarded to me because  
8 they're pertinent to me, yes. Some of them are  
9 forwarded either because they want comments. In this  
10 case, we received so many of this.

11 Q Okay.

12 A This is clearly what this is. It looks like  
13 an intention to do harm as, indeed, eventually came out.

14 Q In -- the e-mail's dated April 2012.

15 A Incidentally, we certainly do not release  
16 information on -- private information on the line to  
17 divulge the location. Certainly, this would be  
18 preposterous to expect that the U.S. foundation releases  
19 private information. So this -- I'm certain this thing  
20 was trashed --

21 Q So you --

22 A -- instantly trashed.

23 Q But you did eventually put

24 Professor Kadeisvili's CV on your website, correct?

25 A Yes, indeed.

Page 249

1 Often -- often I have a secretary -- a secretary review  
2 them and make them -- the thing that usually does a scan  
3 to see which are the important one. The others they  
4 just -- they have authority to trash them without even  
5 mentioning or showing them to me. I don't have time on  
6 this. If it's something scientific, yes, I'm  
7 interested.

8 Q Okay.

9 A This -- if it is, you know, unethical or just  
10 a scheme, I am not -- I am not interested.

11 Are my answers sufficiently short?

12 Q Thank you. Yes.

13 A Almost. It will be short.

14 Q Who is Dr. George F. Weiss?

15 A Oh. Oh, my God. This was an editor --  
16 editorial manager, if I remember well, of Algebras,  
17 Groups and Geometries. He died many, many years ago.

18 You have -- why you dig -- you dig everything  
19 in my past, try to locate something that would justify  
20 accusation of fraud, accusation of a pyramid scheme, to  
21 justify --

22 Do you feel -- do you feel confident to do  
23 this type of work, Mr. Lake?

24 (Exhibit V103 was marked for identification.)

25

Page 248

1 Q Okay.

2 A But -- but not because -- but not because I  
3 asked -- he's asked private information. He wants all  
4 sorts of information on this gentleman.

5 Q Okay.

6 A He comes from the war, the war, a devastated  
7 country that you ask information on. I mean, it's  
8 preposterous. Just this smells rotten miles away. I'm  
9 sure the foundation would instantly trash this  
10 information. This is not science. This is not science.

11 Q Who --

12 A This is dirt.

13 Q Who in April of 2012 received e-mails that  
14 went to Board@Santilli-Foundation.org?

15 A I have no idea.

16 Q Okay. How about the BasicResearch@i-b-r.org  
17 address, who handled that?

18 A This is my -- I -- this is my -- what is  
19 called my scientific e-mail --

20 Q Okay.

21 A -- which people address me.

22 BasicResearch@i-b-r.org is my scientific known by  
23 everybody. But since I have every day hundreds of  
24 e-mails and -- and -- from the Science IBR and Thunder  
25 Energies, I mean, I have to run a public company.

Page 250

1 BY MR. LAKE:

2 Q Let me ask you this.

3 A However, is this pertinent to the lawsuit?

4 Q Dr. Santilli, let me ask you to look at  
5 document V103 and see if you recognize it. I have a  
6 copy for Mr. Parrish.

7 THE WITNESS: What is this? Could you read it  
8 for me, please?

9 MR. PARRISH: Sure.

10 BY MR. LAKE:

11 Q And in case it helps, just to put things in  
12 context, I have a series of e-mails regarding  
13 Mr. van Erp's requests for Pep -- for Dr. Kadeisvili's  
14 CV. So that's what we're going to be going through  
15 here. But please go ahead.

16 A You can take away all of them. They've been  
17 trashed out. There were ones with very proof even. If  
18 he has received any others, it was a negative and strong  
19 answer.

20 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, this is alleged to  
21 be an e-mail. It says -- it's -- again, the  
22 subject line, it says, "Request for information  
23 about Professor Kadeisvili." And then it has, "To  
24 Pepijn van Erp and Martin Cloonan."

25 THE WITNESS: Could you please tell me who the

Page 251

1 originator of this e-mail was?  
 2 MR. PARRISH: Yes. It looks like it was sent  
 3 by -- well, it says --  
 4 MRS. SANTILLI: George Weiss.  
 5 MR. PARRISH: Yes, it says George Weiss back  
 6 in April 2nd of 2012. So it looks like  
 7 George Weiss was writing on behalf of the Institute  
 8 for Basic Research. It does look like he used the  
 9 e-mail BasicResearch@i- --  
 10 THE WITNESS: Yes.  
 11 MR. PARRISH: -- b-r.org as his e-mail.  
 12 THE WITNESS: That is correct. That was --  
 13 the e-mail at that time was the e-mail of the  
 14 editorial office of Algebras, Groups and  
 15 Geometries. That is correct, yes.  
 16 MR. PARRISH: Okay.  
 17 THE WITNESS: So I recognize the e-mail. I  
 18 remember this gentleman. He was not here. He  
 19 was -- I think he was somewhere in New York living  
 20 somewhere. You know, editorial work is done on --  
 21 you know, scattered all over the world.  
 22 MR. PARRISH: Okay. So that's what -- the  
 23 identification of this e-mail, that's what that is.  
 24 BY MR. LAKE:  
 25 Q Okay. And I know it's fairly lengthy, so let

Page 253

1 A I have -- I have no recollection whatsoever,  
 2 none, unless it carries my signature.  
 3 Q Okay.  
 4 A I see so many things. If I had to interfere  
 5 on the rounding of this scientific journal, I have so  
 6 many other things. It is totally outside the reality  
 7 and it is insidious even to suspect it.  
 8 Q Okay.  
 9 A Am I okay?  
 10 Incidentally, I think he died, George Weiss.  
 11 He was an older man. I think he died.  
 12 Again, Attorney Fine (sic) -- Attorney Lake, I  
 13 see no connection to Kadeisvili and the slander, the  
 14 accusation of fraud, stupidity, pyramid scheme, fraud.  
 15 So I see no connection whatsoever with what you are  
 16 asking and -- none. If you have any and you will tell  
 17 us, it will be appreciated and you will be respected for  
 18 that.  
 19 (Exhibit V104 was marked for identification.)  
 20 BY MR. LAKE:  
 21 Q Let me show you a document we are marking  
 22 V104. And to put this in context, I'll represent to you  
 23 that this is, what I understand is, in computer  
 24 terminology is called a text version of the two messages  
 25 we've just looked at. So you'll see the same text, but

Page 252

1 me just describe portions of it to you. And obviously,  
 2 if Mr. Parrish would like to read more to you, that's  
 3 fine, too.  
 4 A Give me the point, please.  
 5 Q Yes, that's what I'd like to do.  
 6 The subject line -- I'm sorry, not the subject  
 7 line. The salutation says, "Dear Mr. Pepijn van Erp."  
 8 And then the first line of text says, "We would gladly  
 9 cooperate not only by putting you in touch with  
 10 Professor Kadeisvili, who is interested in direct  
 11 exchange with you, but also to provide you samples of  
 12 similar gases."  
 13 And then it goes on to say, again to get to  
 14 the point, "We also request the e-mail of" -- I'm sorry.  
 15 The next paragraph begins, "However, before  
 16 doing so, we want to know who are you, perhaps by  
 17 providing us your CV."  
 18 In other words, so this e-mail is asking --  
 19 A Very logical, very --  
 20 Q -- is asking --  
 21 A Very logical request.  
 22 Q Yes. This e-mail is asking for the CV of  
 23 Mr. van Erp.  
 24 With that additional information, do you  
 25 recall being involved in preparing this response?

Page 254

1 there's information about the source of the e-mail at  
 2 the top, and we can talk about that, please.  
 3 A Can I see it, please?  
 4 Q Yes, absolutely. And I have a copy for  
 5 Mr. Parrish.  
 6 A This is the full -- the full -- the full  
 7 heading.  
 8 Q There you go.  
 9 A That is correct.  
 10 Q Thank you.  
 11 A Oh, yes, I recognize it. I'm very familiar  
 12 with this.  
 13 Q Okay.  
 14 A Oh, yes.  
 15 Q Wonderful. Thank you. You can help me with  
 16 it, then.  
 17 A Absolutely, yes.  
 18 MR. PARRISH: I would only object to this  
 19 document as being some sort of technical --  
 20 MRS. SANTILLI: I understand.  
 21 MR. PARRISH: -- coding at the top.  
 22 MRS. SANTILLI: Yeah, uh-huh.  
 23 THE WITNESS: No, no. This seems to be --  
 24 MR. PARRISH: Guys.  
 25 THE WITNESS: Sorry.



Page 255

1 MR. PARRISH: Thank you -- some coding at the  
2 top, and there's been no technical person to  
3 identify what that coding means, and that this is  
4 hearsay at this point.

5 MR. LAKE: Well, I disagree. The document was  
6 produced sometime ago to you. It has a Bates  
7 number on it. But in any event, the objection is  
8 on the record.

9 THE WITNESS: Am I allowed to make a comment  
10 now?

11 MR. PARRISH: He'll ask you a question.

12 MRS. SANTILLI: Can I take a copy of this or  
13 no?

14 MR. PARRISH: We'll make a copy.

15 MRS. SANTILLI: Because, you know, what I  
16 think is going to --

17 THE WITNESS: Carla, please.

18 BY MR. LAKE:

19 Q Okay.

20 A Go ahead, Attorney Lake, please.

21 Q Thank you.

22 A Let's move forward.

23 Q Yes, thank you.

24 And just to orient you to the document,  
25 Dr. Santilli -- and again, I'm going to ask Mr. Parrish

Page 257

1 question.

2 A No, no question, no. This is absolutely --  
3 absolutely -- this is a case in which I should ask for  
4 the participants.

5 Your point is very well-taken as usual,  
6 Attorney Parrish.

7 These are things to be -- to be -- I should  
8 stop and ask -- and ask for the intervention of an IT --  
9 IT company, and we have a number of them, that --

10 First of all -- first of all, whatever is  
11 in -- is in here, this -- we are on a network -- let me  
12 tell you the -- we work with a very advanced system  
13 which is called VPN, mark it down, VPN system for  
14 outgoing e-mail and SEA system for in -- for ingoing  
15 e-mail.

16 Let me -- here are the spelling I prepare for  
17 you so you can correct. Those are initials, very, very  
18 important and -- very, very important.

19 And then -- then the ESP -- ESP is a system  
20 that has to be mentioned for the -- for the -- for  
21 the -- for the IP.

22 Okay. So -- so we have a network of systems.  
23 So it's like -- like you go to University of -- Harvard  
24 University. The person -- the telephone number is the  
25 phone of -- the phone of the president, and then all the

Page 256

1 for some help on this. The first page, bottom third,  
2 roughly, is the text Mr. van Erp wrote that we read a  
3 moment ago, that Mr. Parrish read a moment ago, "I plan  
4 to write an article," et cetera. And then on the next  
5 page, page 2, is Dr. Weiss' response.

6 A Yes. Go to the point, please.

7 Q Yes. If you look at the first page where --  
8 the header information --

9 A This one, yes. Yes, the full -- full letter.

10 Q And Mr. Parrish can perhaps help with this.  
11 I'd like to count down 12 lines --

12 A Yes.

13 Q -- to the portion that begins, "Received from  
14 65.35.151.112."

15 A Go ahead.

16 Q Okay. And then in parentheses there's the  
17 phrase, "HELO Ruggero-Santillis-MacBook-Pro.local."

18 A Yes. Go ahead now.

19 Q Okay. I guess my question is, would -- was  
20 your MacBook -- did you have a MacBook Pro in 2012?

21 A Very likely, yes.

22 Q Okay. And so does it appear from this  
23 document that your computer was used to send the  
24 response to Mr. van Erp's request?

25 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form of the

Page 258

1 others are derived because there is a main line and it  
2 goes back. So if you look at all the statistics on the  
3 phone at the head of Harvard, President Bach, it doesn't  
4 mean that I was using President Bach's phone.

5 This is exactly the same -- the same thing.

6 And this has no value whatsoever unless -- unless --  
7 unless analyzed by expert in IP. And it's very  
8 insidious on your part to ask to a scientist. I am not  
9 an expert. I have some knowledge, but I'm not an expert  
10 in the field. So your question is very insidious and --  
11 and very questionable.

12 Q Where -- we noticed that in the signature  
13 block, George Weiss, Editorial Manager, says -- lists  
14 the address 35246 U.S. 19 North. I think earlier, in  
15 earlier depositions, we've been told there's not  
16 actually a physical office there; that's a UPS or other  
17 mail facility, correct?

18 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form.

19 A At the time I think so.

20 BY MR. LAKE:

21 Q Okay.

22 A Before that, there were a post office box in  
23 Palm Harbor.

24 Q Okay. Okay.

25 A This was scientific and a U.S. post office box

Page 259

1 is normal.  
 2 Q And I think I told you a moment -- you told us  
 3 a moment ago George Weiss worked where? Where was he  
 4 physically located?  
 5 A I did not. He was up in north -- northwest.  
 6 Certainly, would never -- never -- e-mail sometime, no.  
 7 Q Not in Palm Harbor?  
 8 A No, he never came to Palm Harbor.  
 9 Q So he would have never had occasion to use  
 10 your MacBook Pro, correct?  
 11 A But he was in the network. He was in the  
 12 network.  
 13 Q Right. Right.  
 14 A He worked everywhere.  
 15 Q But he did not type this message on your  
 16 MacBook Pro, to your knowledge?  
 17 A Not to my recollection, no.  
 18 Q Okay.  
 19 A Not to my recollection. It could be -- it  
 20 could have --  
 21 Q Okay. Thank you.  
 22 A However, you must have -- however, he had also  
 23 a local secretary located -- I don't even remember her  
 24 name. So it's possible that sometime he may have  
 25 written some letter, send it by -- by phone or

Page 260

1 something, and then the secretary may have issued a  
 2 letter in his name.  
 3 This is also pretty normal in editorial work.  
 4 I repeat, in editorial work anyone can dictate a letter  
 5 to the secretary and -- and -- and the secretary will  
 6 issue the letter out of the main office in the name of  
 7 the editor. This is perfectly normal in editorial work.  
 8 Q And the secretary might have used your  
 9 MacBook Pro to do that?  
 10 A Yes, indeed. Yes, indeed.  
 11 Q Okay.  
 12 A She was looking at all my e-mails, scanning  
 13 what I was supposed to look and what to trash them  
 14 immediately because -- because --  
 15 MRS. SANTILLI: No time.  
 16 A It was money --  
 17 MRS. SANTILLI: No time.  
 18 A We were -- we were taking the company all the  
 19 way to NASDAQ and we could not be after -- after this --  
 20 all this -- all that trash.  
 21 BY MR. LAKE:  
 22 Q I'm going to show you an e-mail also from  
 23 April 2nd, 2012, and we'll mark it V105. I have a copy.  
 24 A I ask that we make this process --  
 25 (Exhibit V105 was marked for identification.)

Page 261

1 BY MR. LAKE:  
 2 Q I have a copy for Mr. Parrish.  
 3 A This is the same as before. Is it not an  
 4 e-mail from van Erp? What is it?  
 5 Q Actually, I believe this e-mail, and  
 6 Mr. Parrish can help us if he'd like, is from  
 7 BasicResearch@i-b-r.org to Pepijn van Erp and --  
 8 THE WITNESS: Can you read it, please?  
 9 Because I don't know all the content.  
 10 MR. PARRISH: Sure. It's the same -- excuse  
 11 me -- the same subject matter heading. It's got an  
 12 alleged e-mail address, BasicResearch@i-b --  
 13 i-b-r.org --  
 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.  
 15 MR. PARRISH: -- to Pepijn van Erp's alleged  
 16 e-mail and Martin Cloonan.  
 17 THE WITNESS: I recognize -- sorry for  
 18 interrupting you. I recognize this to be the VPN  
 19 system for outgoing and the SEA system for  
 20 incoming. Yes, I recognize that e-mail. But it's  
 21 a very wide use used by editors all over the world,  
 22 used by many people.  
 23 MR. PARRISH: Okay. And it looks like it  
 24 was -- it's alleged to have been sent -- or at  
 25 least signed electronically by -- well, it says

Page 262

1 "GR" and then "George F. Weiss."  
 2 THE WITNESS: And what the message says?  
 3 MR. PARRISH: It is a "PS" --  
 4 Jim, do you want this read into the record, or  
 5 are you asking questions about the contents of the  
 6 e-mail?  
 7 MR. LAKE: You know, it's brief enough.  
 8 Dr. Santilli asked if you wouldn't mind reading it  
 9 for him.  
 10 MR. PARRISH: Okay.  
 11 MR. LAKE: I appreciate it.  
 12 MR. PARRISH: Okay. It says, "PS. I forgot  
 13 to alert you that the publication of any  
 14 scientifically motivated criticisms on  
 15 Professor Santilli new species of HHO will be  
 16 receive appreciation and respect, but you must be  
 17 made aware that nowadays, following the millions of  
 18 dollars of investment in the field, any  
 19 scientifically unmotivated support of Mr. Calo  
 20 trash will very likely meet response in court  
 21 because, unlike equivocal academicians solely  
 22 surviving on equivocal grounds, industrialists hit  
 23 hard when unjustly damaged in their pockets."  
 24 THE WITNESS: Bravo. Bravo. That's why we  
 25 are in court. Indeed, we are in -- he predicted we

Page 263

1 will end up in court as we are.

2 MR. PARRISH: Right. And then --

3 THE WITNESS: Bravo to his memory, yes.

4 MR. PARRISH: And then the second --

5 THE WITNESS: Sorry for the emotion.

6 MR. PARRISH: The second paragraph says, "To  
7 be straight, rather than defending your body guy  
8 Calo, you will drag him into a lawsuit for blatant  
9 scientific corruption. Lawsuits certainly NOT," in  
10 capital letters, "filed by me or by  
11 Professors Santilli, Cloonan and Kadeisvili, but by  
12 the investors to defend their money."

13 THE WITNESS: Indeed. That's why I did not  
14 sue Calo, because it was not my -- it was the  
15 people that did damage primarily to the  
16 stockholders. Bravo to George, to his memory. I  
17 remove my hat to his memory.

18 BY MR. LAKE:

19 Q Okay. You can leave that there.

20 So you -- obviously, from your enthusiasm, you  
21 agree with this message?

22 A Of course I do. I agree entirely. He was a  
23 decent person, attacking foreigners interfering in  
24 American industries for very unethical purposes.

25 Q At this point all you knew, though, was that

Page 265

1 years and years this has gone on -- their attacks by  
2 Israel first and then his puppet -- I don't say puppet,  
3 but his employee or whatever, associate, van Erp. This  
4 is going on for seven years, to my recollection.

5 I only -- I became aware only recently because  
6 the pressure from the stockholders, they went mounting  
7 and mounting, so I had to start to wake up into the  
8 case. And for years and years, I completely ignore. I  
9 had so many of this trash and then -- and so -- so only  
10 when -- when, you know, the damage, the financial damage  
11 caused by this man in -- to the stockholders of -- of  
12 MagneGas Corporation first and then more recently by  
13 repeated damage, you heard -- you heard the testimonial  
14 from Scott Wainwright. He has a blog against everybody  
15 in -- on the situation. I think you heard it. He's  
16 really upset. He represents some of the stock. He  
17 invested in stock.

18 So only after I was exposed by pressure from  
19 the investor who invested money in my science, then I  
20 had to wake up to this -- to this filth because it is,  
21 indeed, nothing but --

22 Go ahead. You are their -- you are their  
23 supporter.

24 BY MR. LAKE:

25 Q In April 2012, was Richard Anderson a trustee

Page 264

1 Mr. van Erp had asked for a copy of the CV, correct?

2 MR. PARRISH: Object to form.

3 BY MR. LAKE:

4 Q I mean, you didn't -- you hadn't read any  
5 articles by Mr. van Erp at this point, had you?

6 A No, but what article? He never wrote an  
7 article in his life.

8 THE WITNESS: Please, Attorney Parrish, this  
9 is very, very -- I cannot accept that.

10 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

11 THE WITNESS: He never wrote -- he's  
12 illiterate. Never completed any education, and he  
13 never wrote any -- any article. By articles, it  
14 means something respectable published in a credible  
15 journal. He has only published in blogs. So I  
16 object strongly to what article.

17 BY MR. LAKE:

18 Q As of April 2012, you had not read anything  
19 written by Pepijn van Erp, to your knowledge, had you?

20 A That is --

21 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form.

22 THE WITNESS: Go ahead, Attorney Parrish.

23 MR. PARRISH: No, I just -- I'm objecting to  
24 the form.

25 A I -- and I don't know the date, but I know for

Page 266

1 of the R.M. Santilli Foundation?

2 A I don't remember. I don't remember. I am not  
3 affiliated with that. I cannot be affiliated with a  
4 foundation carrying my name.

5 Q Okay. Do you know anyone named  
6 Richard Anderson?

7 A I -- I have no -- no knowledge about his --  
8 his identity. I never asked -- I never ask the birth  
9 certificate to anybody, never ask.

10 Is -- Mr. Lake, is Lake your name? There are  
11 rumors that your -- your real name is Rosenberg. You  
12 either accept or deny.

13 Q You know, normally witnesses aren't allowed to  
14 ask questions, but I'll answer that one. My name is not  
15 Rosenberg. My name is Lake.

16 A I'm glad to hear it. Thank you. I said  
17 rumors, not my idea.

18 Q Okay.

19 A No, so I am glad --

20 Q Not that I would have a problem being named  
21 Rosenberg, but to answer your question, my name is Lake.

22 A I appreciate it, I appreciate it, this  
23 discovery. This has ruined me my life because I am  
24 under very pressure. So this helps me to avoid the --  
25 totally unnecessary.

Page 267

1 Q That's --

2 A So I never ask a name. Even if I told you a  
3 name of a -- of a -- Richard's name and -- such as  
4 Andrew Slogos (phonetic), I never seen his -- his --  
5 his -- his --

6 One thing, however, I want -- I have to say  
7 that -- that -- that the -- it's a pseudonym because --  
8 there are two things I must say. First of all, it is a  
9 pseudonym of a scientist, very respectful scientist, and  
10 you could not possibly put his real name because it  
11 would be instantly on attack on this verb, very vulgar  
12 attacks and he would have lost his son.

13 And secondly, I'd like to state, and I stated,  
14 that he's not my son. My son is Ermanno Santilli. You  
15 have deposed him. He's not -- Richard's son is not my  
16 son. It is evident for anybody in good faith, not those  
17 guys in the Netherlands. You know, we had them because  
18 my son has no -- no capability to handle equations.  
19 While he has written a number -- a number of -- has  
20 written a number of works on the -- with this name, all  
21 full of information from A to Z, there is no possibility  
22 for my son to write on the --

23 So besides, my son runs an extremely complex,  
24 worldwide public company and he has no time in this type  
25 of a -- and I don't want to use any French to indicate

Page 269

1 value.

2 Q Okay.

3 A No connection whatsoever to the slander and  
4 defamation to tell you the object of this.  
5 Richard Anderson has never attacked -- to my knowledge,  
6 I could be wrong -- has never attacked those guys.  
7 There's never any involvement. So why you mention  
8 Richard Anderson? Why? What's the purpose?

9 (Exhibit V106 was marked for identification.)

10 MR. LAKE: Well, let me show you V106, and  
11 I'll give a copy to Mr. Parrish as well. It's  
12 pretty short, so, Mr. Parrish, if you wouldn't mind  
13 describing it to Dr. Santilli?

14 MR. PARRISH: It's, again, an alleged e-mail.  
15 It's got the subject line, "Request for information  
16 about Professor Kadeisvili." It says at the top,  
17 it looks like it's from -- or it's alleged to be  
18 from Santilli Foundation with an e-mail address  
19 Board@Santilli-Foundation.org.

20 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. The name,  
21 yes.

22 MR. PARRISH: And then it's from -- I'm  
23 sorry -- to -- allegedly to Pepijn van Erp. It  
24 says, "Professor Calo and Mr. Santilli???" You are  
25 a wako."

Page 268

1 what's -- what's -- what the -- what those people from  
2 the Netherlands, they've done.

3 That's all I want to say. And even  
4 assuming that -- even assuming that I knew the actual  
5 identity of Richard Anderson, I will never disclose it  
6 over my life because I'm a loyal person to people who  
7 are decent.

8 Q Okay. So I think I understood your answer,  
9 the first part, to say Richard Anderson is a pseudonym;  
10 is that what you said?

11 A Yes, indeed.

12 Q Okay.

13 A Of course, he could not -- he would have been  
14 attacked instantly, exactly what --

15 Q Okay. And the person --

16 A -- with all sorts of vulgar language.

17 Q And you know -- you know -- I'm not asking you  
18 to tell me, but I'm just asking what you know. You know  
19 the name of the person who uses the pseudonym  
20 "Richard Anderson"?

21 A As I mentioned to you, even if I tell you, it  
22 would mean nothing. It could be -- could be an  
23 pseudonym. You want to call him by pseudonym --

24 Q Okay.

25 A -- call him by pseudonym. Would have no

Page 270

1 THE WITNESS: Who writes this?

2 MR. PARRISH: And then it says,  
3 "Richard Anderson, Trustee, the R.M. Santilli  
4 Foundation."

5 THE WITNESS: What's the question? What's the  
6 connection with the lawsuit? Please explain,  
7 Mr. Lake. I don't see that.

8 BY MR. LAKE:

9 Q Sure. This is part of the same e-mail string  
10 we've been discussing, and my -- my first question is:  
11 Do you recall anyone sending an e-mail in response to  
12 Mr. van Erp's request saying, "Professor Calo and  
13 Mr. Santilli???" You are a wako."?

14 A Who state this? So I do not understand. I do  
15 not understand.

16 MR. PARRISH: It's --

17 THE WITNESS: Who made this statement?

18 MR. PARRISH: What this document is  
19 alleging --

20 THE WITNESS: It's a quotation or it's a  
21 statement? This is nobody whatsoever because this  
22 could be a composite. This has zero value. In  
23 court -- in court we will demolish this in front of  
24 a jury like this with expert. This will be total  
25 fabrication to begin with.

Page 271

1 But apart from this -- from this, I'm  
2 extremely surprised that he presents totally --  
3 even the paper has no legal value whatsoever in the  
4 proceedings of the federal court, and I have some  
5 experience on it.

6 Would you please tell me who made that  
7 statement?

8 MR. PARRISH: Well, it's alleged down here to  
9 be sent from --

10 THE WITNESS: -- the statement made by  
11 Anderson.

12 MR. PARRISH: You've got to let me finish so  
13 that it comes out on the record. Okay,  
14 Dr. Santilli?

15 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

16 MR. PARRISH: That's okay.

17 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

18 MR. PARRISH: It looks -- it's being alleged  
19 that this was sent by Richard Anderson, Trustee,  
20 the R.M. Santilli Foundation.

21 THE WITNESS: So it's a statement by Anderson?

22 MR. PARRISH: Well, that's what this -- that's  
23 what they're trying to say that this document is.

24 THE WITNESS: So what --

25 MR. LAKE: And again, to be clear, we're not

Page 273

1 that is very friendly. So it's not -- it's not  
2 offensive. There's a difference that should be -- that  
3 should be on record. We have a little bit of vulgar  
4 (inaudible) type of slander and defamation that you are  
5 continuing to ignore.

6 (Exhibit V107 was marked for identification.)

7 BY MR. LAKE:

8 Q Let me show you V107, which, again, is a  
9 document that's been produced to you, but so the record  
10 is clear, this is a --

11 MR. LAKE: I'm sorry. Did I give you-all a  
12 copy I had written my notes on? I don't think so.

13 MR. PARRISH: Oh, there are some notes on the  
14 top.

15 MR. LAKE: Oh, let me give you this copy.  
16 This one is clean.

17 MR. PARRISH: That's fine.

18 THE WITNESS: Is this copy okay for me?

19 MR. LAKE: That's fine. Thank you,  
20 Dr. Santilli.

21 And I apologize, Eddie, for stepping in front  
22 of the camera.

23 MR. PARRISH: And I will again object to this  
24 document because it does have coding at the top.  
25 We haven't had any expert that has explained to us

Page 272

1 trying to say anything. I'm just asking questions.

2 THE WITNESS: What do you want from me about  
3 this?

4 BY MR. LAKE:

5 Q Again, my question was simply do you recognize  
6 this document?

7 A I don't.

8 Q Okay. And let me ask another question. Do  
9 you recall anyone saying: I sent an e-mail to  
10 Pepijn van Erp, told him you are a wacko?

11 A No.

12 Q Okay.

13 A I never corresponded that I remember.

14 Q No, I understand you didn't correspond, but I  
15 wondered if anybody told you, I sent such an e-mail.

16 A No, no. I'm involved in much more important  
17 things than to be after this wacko.

18 Q Okay. But you would agree he's a wacko?

19 A Well, not -- if that's what Anderson stated,  
20 he must have his own reason. I not necessarily agree or  
21 disagree, but at least Mr. -- there is a difference  
22 between the very slanderous and defamation word that  
23 van Erp used against me and the word "wacko," which is  
24 not so offensive as fraud and pyramid scheme and  
25 stupidity. "Wacko" is an American slang, as you know,

Page 274

1 what this coding means.

2 THE WITNESS: Completely --

3 MR. PARRISH: Please.

4 THE WITNESS: Sorry.

5 MR. PARRISH: And, therefore, we would object  
6 to the admission of this document in the  
7 deposition.

8 BY MR. LAKE:

9 Q If you would, Dr. Santilli, or perhaps with  
10 Mr. Parrish's assistance, let me refer you to the middle  
11 of V107, I believe; isn't that right? V107, yes. And  
12 you'll see there a line of text. It says:  
13 Professor Calo and Mr. Santilli, three question marks,  
14 you are a wako.

15 So this is a, again, a text version of the  
16 message that we talked about a moment ago, V106.

17 And again, if you look at the header  
18 information and count down 12 lines, you'll get to one  
19 that says, "Received from 65.35.151.112 (HELO  
20 Ruggero-Santilli-MacBook-Pro.local."

21 And so my question is: Do you recall sending  
22 from your MacBook Pro this message saying, "You are a  
23 wako"?

24 MR. PARRISH: Object to form.

25 A The question is extremely insidious because it

Page 275

1 implies that it does not recognize that my computer is  
2 part of the network, number one. I am deeply contracted  
3 with Pair. So Pair mentions my name with every word at  
4 Thunder Energies. If you look at this -- the same full  
5 heading of other e-mails from Thunder Energies or -- or  
6 press or -- or they will -- they will show my name  
7 because it's the primary contractor. I am the primary  
8 contractor with Pair.

9 THE WITNESS: However, Attorney Parrish, I  
10 request to you that at trial we intend to -- to  
11 bring IT -- IT professionals certify that, IT  
12 professional, and that just the presentation of  
13 this paper like this will be very damaging to  
14 the -- to the -- to the -- to the defense. This is  
15 my opinion. This has no value whatsoever per se.  
16 There's no evidence whatsoever. It will be  
17 everything composed to begin with.

18 But assuming that it's true, there's no  
19 evidence. It does not identify my computer  
20 absolutely. It does not even identify the  
21 location. Maybe if I check the date, I could have  
22 been in -- in -- at the Club Med in the  
23 Caribbean -- in the Caribbean. I could be  
24 everywhere.  
25

Page 277

1 my question.

2 A I'm sorry. I apologize. I'm sorry.

3 Q Would you approve of a secretary sending an  
4 e-mail from your computer saying you are a wacko?

5 A It depends on -- it depends -- most of -- most  
6 of -- sometime when this has happened, the secretary put  
7 their names under the -- even stronger words under their  
8 name using my computer, oh, yes. When only something to  
9 defend America or something with phonetics, not only I  
10 did not object, I encouraged them. I encouraged my  
11 secretary.

12 (Exhibit V108 was marked for identification.)

13 BY MR. LAKE:

14 Q Okay. Let me show you a document we've marked  
15 V108. And again, I'll give a copy to Mr. Parrish and he  
16 may help us with this. And my question -- you're  
17 welcome to look at the whole thing. My questions are  
18 going to be about just the first paragraph.

19 MR. PARRISH: This is what's alleged to be an  
20 e-mail with the subject line: Erp's serious  
21 science, but it's spelled C-I-E-N-C-E, or  
22 blabbling?? And then it's got Kerdsey B. Kadeisvili  
23 as the name, but then the e-mail is  
24 Jvkadeisvili@gmail.com.

25 THE WITNESS: I recognize the e-mail.

Page 276

1 BY MR. LAKE:

2 Q So the answer to the question is you don't  
3 recall sending such an e-mail?

4 A No, not -- not to the -- but it could have  
5 been sent by my secretary in my office. So you see,  
6 there is no way that you can frame this as being  
7 originated with me or -- see, that's what you -- you are  
8 after -- you are after something to disqualify me so  
9 that you can justify the accusation of slander and  
10 accusation of fraud and defamation. But there is no --  
11 no legal, unless you have a trick, but then in front of  
12 a jury you have to be -- you are faced with reality. No  
13 way that you can prove with this illegal piece of paper  
14 that I lie or I would have stated something.

15 No, because the complexity of live network  
16 situation, secretaries and this -- not only myself, I  
17 would not be able to prove to the level of -- to the  
18 level of ethics requested by the lawsuit at the  
19 federal -- American federal court. I would not be able  
20 in my -- even to see myself what -- what happened with  
21 this e-mail, I would not.

22 Q Would you approve of a secretary sending an  
23 e-mail of --

24 A It is normal.

25 Q I'm sorry, Dr. Santilli. Please let me finish

Page 278

1 MR. PARRISH: And then it's supposedly to  
2 Pepijn van Erp.

3 And then do you just want this first part read  
4 in, Jim, for him?

5 MR. LAKE: Please.

6 MR. PARRISH: It says: Mr. Erp, you want to  
7 write an article on Professor Santilli's new  
8 chemical species of magneucules?? Come on. You do  
9 not have the knowledge. In the event you and  
10 Mr. Calo had a minimum of science in mind, you  
11 would repeat the measurements first before  
12 blabbling (sic). At any rate, I provide it below  
13 in the event you are not scamming.

14 And then it looks like the letters YT and then  
15 underneath, JV -- well, Kadelsvili is misspelled,  
16 but it is K-A-D-E-S-I-V-B-I-I.

17 THE WITNESS: There are many English  
18 translations of the Russian language. It's a  
19 Cyrillic language, so it's perfectly normal. The  
20 spelling is perfectly normal. So this is -- I am  
21 very proud of it.

22 MR. PARRISH: Let him talk -- let him ask you  
23 a question, Dr. Santilli, and then you can answer  
24 once he's asked you a question about the document.  
25 Okay?

Page 279

1 THE WITNESS: I am at your disposal.

2 BY MR. LAKE:

3 Q Do you recognize that e-mail that Mr. Parrish  
4 just read?

5 A No.

6 Q Okay. Did you direct someone else to write  
7 that e-mail?

8 A I said I don't know the e-mail. How can I  
9 possibly direct somebody else to order an e-mail that I  
10 don't know?

11 Q Okay.

12 A The question is not pertinent. We are wasting  
13 our time, Attorney Lake. Can we go to the substance of  
14 the lawsuit, please?

15 Q Do -- do you agree with this e-mail that  
16 Mr. van Erp and Mr. Calo don't even have a minimum of  
17 science in their minds?

18 A I agree fully because the HHO article deals  
19 with the post Ph.D., post Ph.D. in chemistry, a new  
20 chemical species based on a new mathematics,  
21 iso-mathematics. But Calo is an engineer who has been  
22 commissioned by Arthur Rubin, David Epstein, Mark -- I'm  
23 sorry -- Arthur Rubin, David Epstein, Mark Bernstein.  
24 They -- the editor -- the controller, I should say, not  
25 editor, of my article in the Wikipedia, they

Page 281

1 the way we've seen his name spelled elsewhere, but that  
2 the signature is spelled J.V. K-A-D-E-S-I-V-B-I-I?

3 A I repeat again, just as I said before, this --  
4 this e-mail is in Cyrillic, and when you translate  
5 Cyrillic into English, there are many different ways,  
6 Cyrillic. So -- so this question is just a waste of  
7 time, Attorney -- Attorney.

8 Attorney, I beg you, I want to go home. This  
9 is -- this is not pertinent. You know, we are wasting  
10 time on the spelling.

11 Q Have you ever seen --

12 A Oh, my God, my God.

13 Q Excuse me, Dr. Santilli. I haven't finished  
14 my question.

15 A Go ahead, please.

16 Q Thank you. Have you ever seen  
17 Professor Kadeisvili's name spelled K-A-D-E-S-I-V-B-I-I?

18 A I've seen many different spellings. Even in  
19 Russian I've seen many different spellings.

20 Q Have you ever seen his name spelled  
21 K-A-D-E-S --

22 A No, I've never. The first time I've seen this  
23 e-mail. He could care less. He probably made an  
24 automatic translation from Cyrillic to English, he  
25 didn't even check it out. It's irrelevant. What's

Page 280

1 commissioned among the many -- they first commissioned  
2 the lady that used -- the newsletter lady that wrote the  
3 fringe of my -- did a profile of me. And then to  
4 support my -- the slanderous article on me at Wikipedia,  
5 it is known and this has been uncovered by an  
6 investigative committee -- I'm sorry, the -- yes,  
7 investigative agency hired by the International  
8 Committee of Scientific Ethics, and they commissioned  
9 Calo to attack me so that they had additional --  
10 additional evidence against my research, to knock down  
11 my research.

12 And the point, however, is Calo is an engineer  
13 in the engineering department. So first of all -- and  
14 he has no knowledge in chemistry, at least to make  
15 any -- certainly not a post Ph.D. for totally  
16 fundamentally new -- new chemistry.

17 Professor Kadeisvili knew this, and so he  
18 smelled this is a very rotten, very rotten situation. I  
19 think his language was excessively moderate in treating  
20 this type of -- this type of misconduct, muddy --  
21 controlling science by slander and -- controlling  
22 American science by foreigners by slander and  
23 defamation, and that's why we sued.

24 Q And you would agree, as Mr. Parrish pointed  
25 out, the e-mail address for J.V. Kadeisvili is spelled

Page 282

1 the -- what's the value of this for the lawsuit, which  
2 is on slander and defamation that I suffer for seven  
3 years? What's the connection of this misspelling --  
4 misspelling in the translation of Cyrillic language,  
5 seven years of slander? Attorney -- Attorney --

6 Q Dr. Santilli --

7 A -- Attorney Lake.

8 Q -- I think we'll be done more quickly if you'd  
9 let me finish my question before you answer.

10 A Yeah, but you --

11 Q Will you just let me finish my question and  
12 then we can move on?

13 A I'm sorry. I apologize.

14 Q Okay. Have you ever before seen  
15 Professor Kadeisvili's last name spelled  
16 K-A-D-E-S-I-V-B-I-I?

17 A Mr. Lake, it's the second time you asked me  
18 the question. I already provided you with the answer.  
19 I believe this lady is very smart and the patient is  
20 already dead.

21 I repeat, I have seen the misspelling of  
22 Professor -- I repeat word -- I remember word by word.  
23 I have a strong memory, thank God. I have seen the  
24 spelling of Professor Kadeisvili in -- it's not  
25 misspelled. It's been spelled in different -- sometimes

Page 283

1 may be a mistake, but I've seen different misspelling  
2 because there are different -- different spelling -- not  
3 misspelling, spelling from Cyrillic -- from Cyrillic  
4 to -- to English. Assuming that you know what the  
5 Cyrillic language means, then you would see that the  
6 conversion is not unique.

7 Q I understand that you have seen other  
8 spellings. My question, though, is simply a yes-or-no  
9 question.

10 A No, no. I've seen many, not this one, but  
11 I've seen --

12 Q Okay.

13 A -- other misspelling in different countries.  
14 If you go to Russia, you would see different -- another  
15 misspelling. You want me to tell you the third time?

16 THE WITNESS: I mean, Attorney Parrish, we  
17 are -- we are wasting my time here. I'm starting  
18 to get nervous and I must confess, I -- if  
19 something's relevant I will cooperate, but I need  
20 you to intervene with objection and continue this  
21 thing. This is nonsense.

22 MR. PARRISH: I think he's done with that  
23 question.

24 MR. LAKE: Yes.  
25

Page 285

1 another e-mail with the same subject line as  
2 before. It looks like it's alleged to be from  
3 Pepijn van Erp and it's to Kerdsey B. Kadeisvili at  
4 the e-mail address JVKadeisvili@gmail.com.

5 The content states:

6 "Dear Professor Kadeisvili, thank you for  
7 writing me in person. My purpose in writing the  
8 article is to give a decent overview of the  
9 different theories concerning HHO gas. And of  
10 course, to be able to present the evidence in a  
11 fair way, I would like to give the different  
12 authors a fair description. That's why I wrote to  
13 the IBR and Santilli foundation in the first place,  
14 because I could not find your CV.

15 I would be most grateful if you could send it  
16 to me. I already got the list with publications  
17 you provided me in your e-mail, but it's lacking  
18 the information" in most interested -- "I'm most  
19 interested in. Your background as a scientist.  
20 Simple questions like where are you from? Where  
21 did you get your education? Where did you get your  
22 Ph.D.? What's the title of your thesis? And so  
23 on.

24 I wouldn't think these are very hard questions  
25 to answer and I hope you can provide me with that

Page 284

1 BY MR. LAKE:

2 Q Dr. Santilli, the dates of the e-mails we've  
3 been discussing begin April 1st and then the most recent  
4 one was dated April 12th. Do you recall any  
5 correspondence within either the institute or the  
6 foundation in which you're involved discussing how to  
7 respond to Mr. van Erp?

8 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form.

9 A I didn't hear the year. You missed the year.  
10 I'm sorry. Please give the year in which you refer  
11 those dates.

12 BY MR. LAKE:

13 Q I believe I've said that it's April --

14 A No, you did not say the year.

15 Q -- April 1st through 12, 2012.

16 A Now I hear the first time 2012. No, this many  
17 years have passed by.

18 Q Okay.

19 A I have no recollection.

20 (Exhibit V109 was marked for identification.)

21 BY MR. LAKE:

22 Q Let me show you a document we've marked V109.

23 MR. LAKE: And I'll give a copy to

24 Mr. Parrish. Sorry.

25 MR. PARRISH: Again, this purports to be

Page 286

1 kind of information.

2 With kind regards, Pepijn van Erp."

3 BY MR. LAKE:

4 Q Have you seen this message before,  
5 Dr. Santilli?

6 A Mr. Lake, this message could be totally fake.  
7 It originated from van Erp, a person who has been -- has  
8 been put in writing to be a liar. We have evidence that  
9 eventually when Attorney Parrish will give me the word,  
10 I want to introduce an article. He's been thrown away  
11 from society for cheating, lie, for fraud. I have  
12 documentation.

13 So this is -- this is very damaging to you to  
14 show this document, believing that it is -- that it is  
15 true. It could be a total fabrication.

16 Assuming that it's correct, that it's true,  
17 assuming that it's true, why -- why those insidious  
18 questions? Why those insidious questions to a guy that  
19 was in the middle of the war in Georgia and  
20 horrendous -- and horrendous personal situation and --

21 Q Dr. Santilli, my question was just have you  
22 seen this document before?

23 A I could not have ever seen it because this  
24 is -- because I question the very validity of this  
25 document. This origin is from van Erp. I believe in



Page 287

1 nothing. The man has no credibility except slander,  
 2 defamation. That's all he does. He has zero.  
 3 We're talking about an extremely advanced post  
 4 Ph.D. work supported by experimental measurement that  
 5 went under attack by this guy, and you expect  
 6 Professor Kadeisvili's, oh, yes, oh, I obey you, here is  
 7 my -- this does not happen in real life.  
 8 Q Dr. Santilli --  
 9 A I'm also surprised that he even -- even her  
 10 husband, he contacted her.  
 11 Q Dr. Santilli, my question was simply have you  
 12 seen that document before? Yes or no.  
 13 A No, I have not seen this document, but -- but  
 14 assuming that I have seen it, I cannot possibly remember  
 15 after -- after many years, I could not possibly remember  
 16 to see -- to see an e-mail, I could not possibly.  
 17 So the question is very insidious. Why?  
 18 Because you can come up with a testimonial from van Erp  
 19 saying, No, I testify that Professor Santilli saw this,  
 20 and so he accused me of lying under oath? That's what  
 21 you're after. Is that what you're after? Using people  
 22 such a -- with the degree -- the level of human values  
 23 such as van Erp and Israel to testify, Oh, no,  
 24 Professor Santilli. And then Wikipedia immediately on  
 25 my article, Oh, Frank Israel claims Santilli is lying.

Page 288

1 That's what you're after me. You're after  
 2 American -- American industry, you know, by doing so.  
 3 (Exhibit V110 was marked for identification.)  
 4 BY MR. LAKE:  
 5 Q Let me show you a document we've marked  
 6 Exhibit V110 and ask you if you recognize this.  
 7 THE WITNESS: Again, oh, my God. This is  
 8 getting too long, Attorney -- Attorney Parrish, I  
 9 need to know your legal opinion whether this is  
 10 pertinent.  
 11 I'm getting serious, Attorney Lake.  
 12 You have to tell me whether this is pertinent  
 13 to the lawsuit or not. I need your professional  
 14 opinion, Attorney Parrish, whether this is directly  
 15 pertinent to the lawsuit or not because this is an  
 16 abuse of my time. I feel being under harassment.  
 17 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, I -- what I'm  
 18 telling you is that Mr. Lake has wide latitude  
 19 to ask questions in discovery and if it in any way  
 20 could to lead to discoverable evidence. And so  
 21 because of that and with that, if there is any  
 22 peripheral to the ability to lead to discoverable  
 23 evidence, then he has the right to ask the  
 24 questions.  
 25 And so what I would say is that he would

Page 289

1 have -- probably would have a very good argument  
 2 that there is, in some way, an ability to have this  
 3 document lead to discoverable evidence, and  
 4 therefore, he -- he could ask those questions.  
 5 So at this point, what I would say is he's  
 6 asking you yes-and-no questions. Just answer them  
 7 yes and no, and then if we have an objection to the  
 8 document later, then, you know, before it was  
 9 entered in for trial, then we can do that at that  
 10 time. Okay?  
 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you for your view. But  
 12 you see, this question is extremely insidious. He  
 13 asked me if I had seen an e-mail of five, seven  
 14 years ago, and now -- and how can I possibly -- so  
 15 this is extremely insidious, number one.  
 16 MR. PARRISH: So if you don't know, you just  
 17 say, "I don't know."  
 18 THE WITNESS: And number two --  
 19 MR. PARRISH: And then --  
 20 THE WITNESS: And number two: This is clear  
 21 that this could not lead to any -- to any evidence  
 22 of any value for -- for the reason of the lawsuit,  
 23 which are -- which are the slander and defamation.  
 24 It has nothing to do with Kadeisvili. There is no  
 25 connection.

Page 290

1 BY MR. LAKE:  
 2 Q Let me ask you if you recognize document 110,  
 3 and perhaps Mr. Parrish could summarize it for you or  
 4 read it to you. It's pretty short.  
 5 MR. PARRISH: This is purported to be an  
 6 e-mail with the subject line: Request for  
 7 information about Professor Kadeisvili. It  
 8 purports to be from Santilli Foundation with the  
 9 e-mail address Board@Santilli-Foundation.org to,  
 10 allegedly, Pepijn van Erp.  
 11 And it states: I confirm you appear to need  
 12 some medical attention because you asked for the CV  
 13 of Professor Kadeisvili while you have refused to  
 14 provide yours. You really think we are that  
 15 stupid? All additional e-mails we may receive from  
 16 you will be trashed hereon.  
 17 There is no signature as to the person that  
 18 actually sent this e-mail.  
 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Richard.  
 20 He's a good guy, yes, indeed. I agree with  
 21 the content fully. I've never seen the e-mail, but  
 22 I agree with the content 100 percent, and you  
 23 refuse van Erp because he has no --  
 24 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, will you just let  
 25 Mr. Lake ask you a question? Because you're

Page 291

1 providing information that he's not necessarily  
2 asking at this point.

3 THE WITNESS: I apologize.

4 MR. PARRISH: No worries.

5 THE WITNESS: This thing is getting -- when I  
6 see that -- that's okay. I'll try to control  
7 myself.

8 BY MR. LAKE:

9 Q Since we had, a moment ago, V106, an e-mail  
10 from the Santilli-foundation.org address with  
11 Richard Anderson's name at the bottom, it sounds like  
12 you suspect this e-mail also came from Richard Anderson?

13 A I do not know.

14 Q Okay. But you agree with it, whoever sent it?

15 A I agree with the content, yes, in the e-mail.

16 Q Okay. Thank you.

17 A Husband and wife. Oh, my God.

18 (Exhibit V111 was marked for identification.)

19 BY MR. LAKE:

20 Q Let me show you a document we've marked V111  
21 and ask if you recognize that.

22 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, this is going back  
23 to these -- it's a purported e-mail that's going  
24 back to the subject line "Erp's serious cinsce,"  
25 spelled C-I-N-S-C-E, "or blabing," and it purports

Page 293

1 lawsuit after the other.

2 MR. PARRISH: Mr. Santilli, just stick to the  
3 answers to the questions.

4 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

5 BY MR. LAKE:

6 Q Who is Richard Cox?

7 A I have no knowledge.

8 Q Okay. Was there ever a member of the  
9 International Committee on Science, Ethics and  
10 Accountability named Richard Cox?

11 A Not to my knowledge. I do not know.

12 Q Okay. Was that a -- was that -- was  
13 Richard Cox a pseudonym used by the International  
14 Committee on Science, Ethics and Accountability?

15 A I do not know.

16 Q Okay. The committee's website is at  
17 Scientificethics.org, correct?

18 A That is correct. I initiated the website.

19 Q I'm sorry. You edited?

20 A I initiated.

21 Q Oh, initiated.

22 A I initiated the website.

23 Q Thank you.

24 A For the record, I was a member until I became  
25 the CEO of MagneGas Corporation. At that moment, I

Page 292

1 to be from Kerdsey, and that is K-E-R-D-S-E-Y B.  
2 Kadeisvili, with an e-mail of  
3 JVKadeisvili@gmail.com, to, allegedly,  
4 Pepijn van Erp.

5 And the content says, "It is evident you have  
6 no intent, nor the knowledge, to do science and  
7 your aim is political. Therefore, I am here  
8 terminating any contact with you and pass all the  
9 correspondence to the attorneys of MagneGas  
10 Corporation. I provided you the scientific  
11 reference. You write whatever you wish and we will  
12 judge thereafter. I suggest you abstain from  
13 wasting your time with additional e-mails to me."

14 And then there is the initials "JVK."

15 BY MR. LAKE:

16 Q Do you recall seeing this e-mail before,  
17 Dr. Santilli?

18 A No.

19 Q Okay.

20 A I agree with the content, however.

21 Q Okay.

22 A And you heard my son that followed the  
23 conclusion of this -- this lawsuit, MagneGas Corporation  
24 will likely sue, which is excellent news for you,  
25 Attorney Lake. You will make lots of money with all the

Page 294

1 terminated all affiliation with the International  
2 Committee. It is written all on the website itself.  
3 And since that time, I have not been part of it. But my  
4 e-mails -- some of my e-mails have been published by  
5 the -- by the International Committee with my name, when  
6 I do something that carries my real name. Grande Grido,  
7 you showed the book the other day, carries my name as  
8 the author. I don't use a pseudonym, Attorney Lake.

9 Q Okay.

10 A It's a sign of weakness. I don't do that. I  
11 don't need to.

12 Q But you -- you are part of the group that uses  
13 the pseudonym Luca --

14 A Originally, yes.

15 Q I'm sorry. Let me finish the question.

16 A I apologize. I'm sorry. I have to control  
17 myself. I am sorry.

18 Q You're part of the group that uses the  
19 pseudonym "Luca Petronio," correct?

20 A Not now, no, no, since -- no, since when I  
21 became a member. The -- for the record, the  
22 International Committee of Scientific Ethics was  
23 organized under my initiative. It's been my personal  
24 childhood because of the -- because the corruption, the  
25 scientific corruption in the United States of America

Page 295

1 and the rest of Europe was excessive.

2 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form of that last  
3 question.

4 (Exhibit V112 was marked for identification.)

5 BY MR. LAKE:

6 Q Okay. Let me show you an e-mail that we've  
7 marked V112. I have a copy for Mr. Parrish. And I'll  
8 note there's a small amount of text in this exchange on  
9 the back, but my question is going to be about the top  
10 of the first page.

11 MR. PARRISH: Is there a portion that you need  
12 me to read to him?

13 MR. LAKE: Let's start with just the first  
14 paragraph.

15 THE WITNESS: Who is the author, if I may ask?

16 MR. PARRISH: Sure. Let me give you the whole  
17 details of the document so far.

18 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

19 MR. PARRISH: This is an alleged e-mail  
20 through gmail with the subject line, "The returned  
21 defecations." And then it looks as if it is from a  
22 Richard Cox with an e-mail -- with an e-mail  
23 address of Cox6797@gmail.com to Pepijn van Erp.

24 And then it says -- for the first introductory  
25 line and paragraph it says, "Dirty man," and then

Page 297

1 would have been a member of the International Committee  
2 on Science, Ethics and Accountability?

3 A Until -- until I was a part of the -- I can  
4 tell -- they're public knowledge. I can tell you the  
5 names of the International Committee of -- the  
6 International Committee. It was a Professor  
7 Asterios Jannussis, full professor of physics,  
8 University of Patras. Professor Grigorios Tsagas,  
9 Tsagas, T-S-A -- Tsagas, chairman of the board of  
10 Thessaloniki University. Professor Jack Lohmus, senior  
11 member of Estonian Academy of Sciences, and many others,  
12 and many others. The -- and then there are others I  
13 cannot mention. The -- the -- those are -- those are  
14 known. Those are known. They put their signature in  
15 their e-mail.

16 And then since I left -- since I left the  
17 committee, I know that there have been an increasing  
18 number of members that were -- were really dedicated to  
19 science and want to be part of it.

20 That's all I know about the actual -- I do not  
21 know about the actual names of the participants.

22 Q And do you know if any of the people you've  
23 mentioned as being members were shareholders of  
24 MagneGas?

25 A I do not. Certainly I do not know.

Page 296

1 the first paragraph:

2 "Prof. Kadeisvili provide you quite nicely  
3 various scientific information and references on  
4 Professor Santilli's magnecoles and their  
5 industrial applications, and also invited you to be  
6 a part of their forthcoming course on hadronic  
7 mechanics, and you answer with this filth below.  
8 You are a dirty, filthy puking man in great need of  
9 some legal fixing for which our committee has been  
10 set forth and fully funded. That's what we do,  
11 fixing human filth in science, and here is part of  
12 the action going on to fix you."

13 That's the first paragraph.

14 THE WITNESS: I disagree with the language.

15 BY MR. LAKE:

16 Q Okay.

17 A It is not my language. I am not much  
18 involved. And the -- however, I smell here -- I smell  
19 here some stockholder of MagneGas Corporation. This  
20 will -- keep this because maybe you may need this in the  
21 future --

22 Q Okay.

23 A -- in the event MagneGas Corporation will  
24 institute legal action.

25 Q Do you know why a stockholder of MagneGas

Page 298

1 Q Okay.

2 A But at that time when I was active, none of  
3 the -- MagneGas was nonexistent, so -- and so there was  
4 no point in -- the question is moot.

5 Q Okay. So --

6 A And after that, I do not know.

7 Q Did MagneGas not have shareholders in May of  
8 2012?

9 A No, no, no. What do you mean?

10 Q Well, the date of this e-mail is May 1, 2012.  
11 So my question is whether there were MagneGas  
12 shareholders at that time.

13 A It could be. Oh, yes. No, no, at that time,  
14 no. But that's why I mentioned before, some -- this  
15 could be some stockholder, could be a stockholder of  
16 MagneGas, or became part of the committee and could be.  
17 I am not stating so, but -- and I have no evidence  
18 whatsoever. I do not know who is the author of this  
19 e-mail.

20 Q Okay.

21 A And again, it's -- it's protected by the VPN  
22 outgoing system and SEA incoming and all under the IP --  
23 if you look at the IP, it's the IP of the -- of the --  
24 of the -- of the server itself, which is Pair in this  
25 case, but not -- so this letter could have been written

Page 299

1 anywhere in the world, anywhere in the world by using --  
2 by using that e-mail, exact e-mail.

3 Q I'm going to show you one last e-mail and then  
4 we'll move on.

5 A Thank you, because I'm really getting tired on  
6 this.

7 Q This will be marked V113. And again, my  
8 question is just going to be take your time, take a look  
9 at it, and Mr. Parrish can help, and then ask -- I'll  
10 just ask if you recognize it.

11 (Exhibit V113 was marked for identification.)

12 MR. PARRISH: This is a purported e-mail from  
13 gmail with the same subject line, "Erp's serious  
14 cience or blabing." It's alleged to be from  
15 Kerdsey B. Kadeisvili. E-mail  
16 JVKadeisvili@gmail.com to Pepijn van Erp,  
17 Wednesday, May 2nd, 2012.

18 And the content states: Forwarded to  
19 Richard Cox, at the ICSEAA and Attorney of Law Firm  
20 for response to harassment by an anonymous  
21 oseudo-academician -- or academician who refused to  
22 provide his CV or any serious identification but  
23 keeps harassing me to release my CV. He must  
24 daydream of belonging to a superior Aryan race with  
25 me being his servant.

Page 301

1 addressing problems of ethics in science.

2 Q And who operates the R.M. Santilli Foundation  
3 today, if you know?

4 A At this moment I don't know. There have been  
5 many websites. There was many -- sorry -- many  
6 webmasters. At this moment, I should inquire before I  
7 can answer, but I know that a number of them, two or  
8 three have changed. One went away. Another one had to  
9 leave for family problems. So there have been --  
10 honestly, I would not -- not without a search and --

11 Q Okay.

12 A I would not be able to answer. And --

13 Q Do you know who owns the domain name  
14 Santilli-Foundation.org?

15 A I should do a search to answer this question.

16 Q Okay.

17 A But it's public knowledge. Just check the --  
18 check the -- the editor will tell you.

19 Q Okay.

20 A It's public knowledge. It's not -- it's not a  
21 private program.

22 (Exhibit V114 was marked for identification.)

23 BY MR. LAKE:

24 Q Let me show you V114. I'll give a copy to  
25 Mr. Parrish. I'll ask you if you recognize -- well, let

Page 300

1 And then there is no signature.

2 THE WITNESS: What's the question? What's the  
3 pertinence of this to the lawsuit?

4 BY MR. LAKE:

5 Q Well, my question is just do you recognize  
6 this e-mail?

7 A It's the same as the other. It was not  
8 addressed -- I am not cc'd in this letter.

9 Q I'm sorry. The last --

10 A I am not -- I have not been cc'd.

11 Q Right.

12 A I have not received this e-mail.

13 Q I understand --

14 A And assuming that I received, not necessarily  
15 this -- my secretary would have trashed it immediately.

16 Q Okay.

17 A The answer is no.

18 Q Okay. Are you familiar with the R.M. Santilli  
19 Foundation website?

20 A To a certain extent, yes.

21 Q Okay. Tell me what you know about that.

22 A I know that it's dedicated to me, to my  
23 research and to my -- to the example of my life, which  
24 is based in joint -- in the pursuit of basic --  
25 basically, novel scientific knowledge while jointly

Page 302

1 me --

2 MR. LAKE: Joe, if you can describe it to him.  
3 I think it's the "Whois" data for the domain name  
4 we've been discussing.

5 MR. PARRISH: Okay. Dr. Santilli, this is an  
6 alleged document from Whois.domaintools.com, and it  
7 looks to be a search for the -- on the domain of  
8 Santilli-Foundation.org. And then it says the  
9 registrant is Thunder Energies Corporation.

10 Is there other things that you want me to read  
11 off of here?

12 MR. LAKE: Well --

13 MR. PARRISH: Oh, it says Tech Contact is  
14 Ruggero Santilli.

15 BY MR. LAKE:

16 Q Does that refresh your recollection --  
17 recollection -- excuse me -- as to who owns the domain  
18 name Santilli-Foundation.org?

19 A This is public knowledge. Just click on the  
20 computer, do a search and you get all the data.

21 Q And that's what I did. So --

22 A Yes. So the --

23 Q -- you agree you're the technical contact for  
24 that domain?

25 A No. Until I go and do the search there, I

## Page 303

1 don't trust -- I don't trust this document. This could  
2 be a paste-up. And certainly, I would not answer you  
3 based on this. So without doing a search personally for  
4 the actual documents, I will not answer your question.

5 Q Okay. Do you recall whether you've ever been  
6 the technical contact for the domain  
7 Santilli-Foundation.org?

8 A Yes, that I know because the domain  
9 names where -- this I know.

10 Q Okay.

11 A This is the case, for instance, of the domain  
12 name for the Scientific Ethics. I created -- as I said,  
13 I am the originator of the International Committee, very  
14 proud to be the originator. At the beginning, it was  
15 under my name and with my telephone number and -- and --  
16 but after that, when I withdrew completely, also with  
17 disagreement with -- there was a disagreement with me  
18 and other members because of the language because we  
19 don't want to use -- we are scientists, and use of  
20 non -- of non-kosher language, I cannot agree, I cannot  
21 agree, so I withdrew. But then -- but then the domain  
22 was moved to different ownership, but it took some time.  
23 And this is the same for the Santilli Foundation. That  
24 domain name has been moved through the years.

25 So without doing a search, without having one

## Page 305

1 Q Okay. And just for the record --

2 A I can show it to you.

3 Q -- I'm reading from V114.

4 A Attorney Lake, this is it.

5 Q Thank you very much.

6 Let me show you the -- a printout, I should  
7 say, of the home page at -- or sorry -- the news page at  
8 Santilli-Foundation.org and see if you recognize that.  
9 Here's a copy for Mr. Parrish. Okay.

10 (Exhibit V115 was marked for identification.)

11 A Yes, I do recognize this page. Indeed, I  
12 contribute. This is the part of the foundation in which  
13 I do --

14 BY MR. LAKE:

15 Q Okay.

16 A -- I do contribute, yes.

17 Q Tell me --

18 A Not on all of it.

19 Q Sure.

20 A But I am a contributor of this -- the  
21 information as being on this page.

22 Q So you write articles for it, for example?

23 A No.

24 Q No?

25 A There are no articles. There are no articles

## Page 304

1 master providing the evidence, there is no answer I can  
2 possibly provide you. And it's insidious on your  
3 part --

4 Q Okay.

5 A -- to ask me a firm statement yes or no  
6 from -- on a highly technical issue pertaining to a  
7 domain name that are already public knowledge. They are  
8 public knowledge. So I see that your intent and the  
9 desire is really to frame you with something that then  
10 you with the testimonial say he lied during lawsuit.  
11 That's your attorney? Attorney Fine (sic), that's your  
12 intent?

13 Q My name is Lake. And I'm sorry. I'm  
14 really --

15 A Carla told me --

16 Q -- not supposed to answer questions.

17 A Carla told me. Carla told me. I apologize  
18 for mispronouncing your name.

19 Q That's quite all right.

20 A I apologize.

21 Q Just one other question about this. I think  
22 this is the phone number we heard two days ago and I  
23 just want to make sure. Is your cell phone number still  
24 727-688-3992?

25 A Yes, indeed, it's my phone number.

## Page 306

1 written on this page. This is a list of reference  
2 intended to provide all scientists all over the world  
3 free pdf downloads of papers dealing with specifically  
4 on my science. So most of them, they carry my name in  
5 the title written by all those in three different  
6 continents.

7 Q And you provide links to --

8 A I provide no -- I provide the -- I send the  
9 pdf to the webmaster, and the webmaster then uploads the  
10 pdf, and you see all of them, they are uploaded in the  
11 Santilli-Foundation/docs -- Santilli-Foundation/doc and  
12 then the title, and then he listed them chronologically  
13 and -- but not all of them. Some of them are sent  
14 directly from the authors. So not all of them --  
15 actually, perhaps the majority is from the author, but I  
16 am a contributor to this list, yes.

17 Q Okay. It looks like a number of the links, in  
18 fact, the first four links on this are to pages on a  
19 different website, Galileoprincipia or principia --  
20 forgive me --

21 A Principia.

22 Q Thank you, principia.org. Are you familiar  
23 with that websites?

24 A Yes, indeed.

25 Q Okay. What is that website?

Page 307

1 A That is a website that -- which has been  
2 brought repeatedly to my attention by various scientists  
3 that deals precisely on three of -- three of the primary  
4 objects that tell us the foundation of the current  
5 crisis in science and three -- there are three parts: A  
6 debate on Einstein gravitation. The second part is  
7 debate on -- on the neutrinos conjecture. It's the name  
8 of his theory. It's an Italian conjecture by  
9 Fermi. And the third is a debate on the -- on the -- on  
10 the 20th century cosmology.

11 Q And --

12 A Since I worked extensively in all -- all three  
13 fields and I'm proud to say that I am a primary,  
14 perhaps -- I am perhaps the most critical of all three  
15 fields, I'm consulted repeatedly by -- by -- by both the  
16 editorial board and by the -- by posters.

17 Q Is there a particular person, individual, who  
18 runs the Galileoprincipia site?

19 A Not to my knowledge. It is an editorial board  
20 and there is an e-mail. You're welcome to write.  
21 There's an e-mail. Contact them.

22 Q Okay.

23 A So you can do it. It's open to everybody to  
24 do it. We're in America. It's a democracy. There is  
25 no name -- there are no names there. Otherwise, I would

Page 309

1 scientific process without names, without slander and  
2 defamation, which are the reason for this lawsuit that  
3 you still do not address, have not addressed in two  
4 sessions of deposition, two. You have not addressed the  
5 reason --

6 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, let him ask a  
7 question and then just answer that question.

8 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Attorney Parrish.

9 BY MR. LAKE:

10 Q Have you provided documents from this lawsuit  
11 to Galileo Principia?

12 A What document? I have no document that -- the  
13 only -- the public -- I know that -- I know that the  
14 public -- the public -- I know there has been a  
15 committee that has put up the money to get the public --  
16 public documents from the federal court, which are  
17 available to the public. And then after getting those  
18 documents, they created a website. Then they put --  
19 those documents they put in their website. But those  
20 are public documents and -- and then they will tell you,  
21 Oh, I saw nothing wrong of it, this is America and --

22 Q Have you posted any comments regarding this  
23 case on Galileo Principia?

24 A No, no, however, there are a number of posts  
25 that carry my statement with my signature, you see, but

Page 308

1 not collaborate. And -- and it's scientific -- purely  
2 scientific debates.

3 Q Do you know the -- know the names of anyone  
4 who is on that board?

5 A I repeat again, I have no knowledge of the --  
6 all editorial boards are highly secret.

7 Q Okay.

8 A So if you ask me something that is  
9 internationally known to be a secret -- and even if I  
10 have a name, it would be a nickname, a pseudonym.

11 Q Okay. Do you recall any pseudonyms of people  
12 who are on the board at Galileo Principia?

13 A No.

14 Q Okay.

15 A I've never been -- I've been only contacted  
16 by -- by the editor anonymously from that e-mail, which  
17 is -- I don't even remember the e-mail, but it is listed  
18 in every -- each one of those three debates in the top,  
19 there is the same e-mail. I've been contacted by  
20 editors, the editors plural, and -- or by other  
21 scientists from all over the world who have posted and  
22 posted -- posted.

23 But critically, these are -- I believe they  
24 are very scientific because there you can see both  
25 posted in favor and posted against. So it's a dual

Page 310

1 the post is from that day from people who contact me.  
2 Scientists, I should say, from all over the world, they  
3 contact me: Dr. Santilli, could you please express your  
4 view on this point? I say, This is my view, and I send  
5 the answer by e-mail. I say, You are hereby authorized  
6 to post my e-mail with my full name at the bottom.  
7 You'll see several of them. I am the primary author of  
8 all three debates, so they contact me from all over.

9 (Exhibit V116 was marked for identification.)

10 BY MR. LAKE:

11 Q Let me provide a copy of V116, which I think  
12 is what you've just described to us, but I just want to  
13 make sure we get it on the record.

14 Is this the -- and Mr. Parrish could certainly  
15 help. Is this the portion of Galileo Principia  
16 concerning this lawsuit?

17 A Yes, it is.

18 Q Okay.

19 A Yes, I recognize it because I looked at this  
20 yesterday night.

21 Q Okay.

22 A But, however, this is not an updated version,  
23 Attorney -- Attorney Lake, because yesterday night I  
24 noticed that this post -- from this post all the way to,  
25 I don't remember where, but they've been removed.

Page 311

1 Q Okay.

2 A So this post has been removed.

3 Q And I'm sorry. The number you're pointing at  
4 is post 22?

5 A The post -- the post -- it's the post that  
6 speaks about -- about your notice in which you accused  
7 me of fraud. And -- and this post I noticed has been  
8 removed. You should check it out. Just for your own  
9 information, check it out.

10 MR. LAKE: Okay. And just for the record, I  
11 think the post Dr. Santilli is referring to is on  
12 page 15 of 18, post 22.

13 BY MR. LAKE:

14 Q And as you say, Dr. Santilli, this is a post  
15 that appears to be discussing the expert report in this  
16 case from Maria Womack; is that right?

17 A I object to the word "expert." She's not an  
18 expert in -- in new optical instruments, none  
19 whatsoever, no.

20 Q Okay.

21 A She has no -- for your knowledge, this will  
22 appear -- will be treated in details in -- with the  
23 jury. The definition of an expert in science is a  
24 person -- a scientist who has a record of publications  
25 specifically in the field. If you have no regular

Page 313

1 opinion, this is a clear attempt to -- to assassinate my  
2 reputation because this is the type of -- the type of --  
3 the moment -- because of your personal claim that you  
4 personally accepted, so you'll be held co-responsible,  
5 that I committed fraud by selling -- by selling -- by  
6 selling it for \$2,000, totally -- and look at this,  
7 Attorney Fine (sic) -- Attorney Lake. Extremely  
8 complex, brand-new -- brand-new telescopes selling -- or  
9 not selling -- at the same price as the Galileo  
10 telescope.

11 So there are a number of people, particularly,  
12 Arthur Rubin, David Epstein, Mark Bernstein, extremely  
13 anxious, extremely anxious to write in my page and  
14 Wikipedia: Mr. Santilli has been accused of fraud.  
15 Extremely anxious.

16 I suspect that the editor -- I suspect that  
17 the editor, they remove the -- they remove -- they  
18 remove this -- this -- once they suspected that they  
19 received -- they received this document probably from  
20 California from the originator of the money who is  
21 paying you.

22 Q I'm sorry.

23 A It's my opinion.

24 Q I'm sorry. I didn't understand the last word  
25 you said.

Page 312

1 publication, documented, you are not an expert in  
2 documented. She is absolutely not qualified.

3 Q Well, I'll just refer to it as a report, then.  
4 This --

5 A A report, a document. That I will accept.

6 Q This post concerns Professor Womack's report,  
7 correct?

8 A I believe so, yes.

9 Q Okay. And it appears there was a link to a  
10 copy of the report as part of this post. Did you see  
11 that?

12 A And from what I -- if I remember, it's no  
13 longer there, so -- so -- so we are talking about -- to  
14 my recollection, there was a link to the report, but the  
15 report was in the website of this -- of this, yes.

16 Q Okay.

17 A Yes.

18 Q Do you know how Galileo Principia obtained a  
19 copy of Professor Womack's report?

20 A I have no idea, but I can -- I can make  
21 some -- what -- from -- the only way -- well, the only  
22 way to -- for Galileo Principia to -- they must have a  
23 copy, a physical copy of the actual report to put -- to  
24 put a link in their website.

25 But there is -- this is -- this is -- in my

Page 314

1 A It is my opinion --

2 Q From California at the what?

3 A The issue -- the issue is who is paying your  
4 fee.

5 Q Oh, paying. Okay.

6 A So the opinion I heard around -- it is an  
7 opinion, it's a rumor, unverified rumor, but it's very  
8 plausible, that people who are paying your bills are not  
9 Israel and -- those are communists. You are paid by  
10 American money to defend foreigners interfering in  
11 American science. That's what you are doing.

12 But it is the opinion that they heard -- what  
13 I heard around, that the people who are paying you, who  
14 are extremely anxious to qualify me as a fraud, they put  
15 it there, they put it there.

16 So by this thing -- Attorney Parrish, by this  
17 thing, I have a double punch. First I had the punch of  
18 being publicly accused of fraud, which is available  
19 here. But then immediately, the stockholder wants to --  
20 want to sue, have now wanted the sue because  
21 Attorney Lake and -- and this is true -- Attorney Lake  
22 and Ms. -- I don't know her name -- Womack, and now they  
23 don't have -- they don't have the evidence anymore  
24 because it's not public knowledge. So there's been a  
25 double punch.

Page 315

1 Incidentally, for your -- for your knowledge,  
2 I am against it. I told you at the beginning, I want to  
3 offer an olive branch to you. I have no intention of  
4 suing you. I don't have the time. I have no time  
5 whatsoever.

6 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, let's just stick  
7 to --

8 THE WITNESS: Yes, I --

9 MR. PARRISH: -- the questions that --

10 THE WITNESS: I don't have the time for these  
11 things.

12 So in any case, the case -- the case is over  
13 because -- because it's no longer a public  
14 knowledge. However, I recommend to revise -- to  
15 revise the notice and remove the word "fraud"  
16 because that word may cause all sorts of problems,  
17 all sort of investigation, investigative  
18 committees.

19 I am no way saying -- because we will respond.  
20 But I'm not alone. I have thousands of  
21 stockholders in my back, thousands of scientists  
22 who defy this. They will respond to something so  
23 unjust.

24 Just, again, let's see the injustice. The  
25 claim of fraud, I want it on record, the claim of

Page 317

1 prototype for the first time in history today  
2 called the Santilli telescope, and that the  
3 Santilli telescope should have been sold at the  
4 same time -- at the same cost of the Galileo  
5 telescope.

6 Now, the reason for the violation of the  
7 ethical rules of the Bar Association is that the  
8 Galileo telescope is sold in tens of thousands of  
9 units, while the Santilli telescope is sold -- is a  
10 prototype. There are few prototypes. Just my  
11 salary alone, just my salary alone that I work on  
12 this -- on this project without pay --  
13 incidentally, I'm not paid by cash by Thunder  
14 Energies Corporation. I am paid in stock that I  
15 never -- I could not sell because of restriction,  
16 but that's another story. But only my salary alone  
17 in two or three years is over a half a million  
18 dollars for salary alone. But then there is the  
19 cost for designing, then tooling, then  
20 manufacturing, all those costs in China. Let's  
21 see. Where should we stop? All the costs in  
22 China, they are for design and tooling, tooling,  
23 then constructing, testing, shipping customer.  
24 Those are all costs in those prototype produced in  
25 China. They're all costs in China. Then when they

Page 316

1 fraud accepted by Attorney Lake -- I pronounce your  
2 name -- altered perhaps under the suggestion  
3 from -- from the people providing -- paying his  
4 bills from America. The claim of fraud is that we  
5 sold -- not me, not me. He accuses me of fraud  
6 either -- for selling. I sold nothing. So this is  
7 false, per se. It is a totally false statement in  
8 an official proceedings of the -- of the U.S.  
9 federal court that it's a violation, in the opinion  
10 on many -- many stockholders, a clear violation of  
11 the ethical rules of the board -- board of  
12 association. I hope, I pray that you are -- you  
13 recognize that, at least for your own sake, that I  
14 want to protect. It's sincerely my opinion.

15 But the accusation is that Thunder Energies  
16 Corporation, U.S. public knowledge -- company is  
17 accused of fraud for selling extremely complex,  
18 new -- new, for a few thousand dollars, not at the  
19 same price of the Galileo telescope, while those  
20 being prototypes. So they were not sold at the  
21 same price of the telescope --

22 Sorry if I go too fast.

23 The accusation of fraud is that Thunder  
24 Energies Corporation sold a few prototypes of  
25 fundamentally new optical instruments produced in

Page 318

1 arrive in -- Thunder Energies Corporation is a  
2 full-fledged corporation, has -- has all sorts of  
3 expenditures then in USA. There's the cost of the  
4 rental of the unit, the rental. Then the secretary  
5 work. And then, of course, administrative  
6 accounting, et cetera, et cetera.

7 And then -- and then only you see this -- I  
8 want it on the record indicated that this telescope  
9 only have -- also have a camera. Each telescope  
10 has a camera. Now, those are camera for  
11 astrophysical observation.

12 Now, it is very well-known by everybody that  
13 camera -- a Canon camera for astrophysical  
14 observation, they cost \$5,000 each, just -- so only  
15 the camera alone of those telescope are a multiple  
16 of the invoices issued by Thunder Energies  
17 Corporation.

18 So the case, Attorney Fine (sic), is  
19 smashing -- is smashing against you. But I  
20 understand you were requested by the guy who paid  
21 you to put the word "fraud" in the -- in the -- at  
22 the end of that report. That's what we -- that's  
23 what we believe.

24 BY MR. LAKE:

25 Q Dr. Santilli, may I ask you about the last



Page 319

1 post in -- in this exhibit, V116? It's post 28. And  
2 I'll read it to you. Obviously, you and Mr. Parrish  
3 have copies you can refer to. I just want to ask you  
4 about some sentences here. We'll go through them.

5 A Go ahead.

6 Q The first sentence says, "I believe that the  
7 slanderous attacks of Santilli's research by  
8 Frank Israel and his associates of the Dutch Skeptic  
9 Society, done via illegal SEO techniques assuring their  
10 appearance at the top under Google searches, constitute  
11 one of the darkest moments of science for the evident  
12 reason that said attacks have propagated throughout the  
13 scientific community, by therefore assassinating at  
14 birth any desire to pursue basically new scientific  
15 knowledge."

16 Did you post that comment?

17 A No, but I -- however, I have written a number  
18 of times very, very similar to colleagues around the  
19 world. They've asked me and I've written very, very  
20 similar line. Lots of my e-mails are sometimes copied  
21 identical or re-elaborated.

22 Q Did you -- do you --

23 A I agree with the content a hundred percent.

24 Q You agree with the content?

25 A Absolutely.

Page 321

1 I mentioned this even before, almost the same word. But  
2 it is -- it is in a number of e-mails carrying my -- my  
3 signature. It is true, namely -- namely, look at  
4 Wikipedia. Arthur Rubin, David Epstein, Mark Bernstein,  
5 they are great supporters of dark energy and dark  
6 matter, even to -- because they are compatible with  
7 Einstein, but -- but then they go to Santilli research,  
8 which is beyond Einstein, and I am defamated (sic) in  
9 any possible way.

10 So this is a suppression of scientific  
11 democracy at the very, very heart of what we consider  
12 American civilization, and that's why my wife,  
13 Carla Santilli, and I, we filed this lawsuit.

14 I want to have it on record that we filed this  
15 lawsuit and spending the money with full knowledge from  
16 day one that we'll never receive one penny worth of  
17 financial compensation. So why we spend all this money  
18 and time to sue? Because we -- because -- because we  
19 care about United States of America. Attorney Lake --

20 Q Let me --

21 A -- do you also care?

22 Q Let me read you the next sentence, Doctor, so  
23 we can move on here.

24 "This gloomy scenario" -- and again, I'm  
25 reading from post 28 in Exhibit 116.

Page 320

1 Q Do you recognize the words as being pasted  
2 from an e-mail you sent?

3 A No, because they made all sorts of mistakes.  
4 No, I don't think those are -- this is mine. Also, the  
5 style is very nice, better than mine. Mine is full of  
6 equations. So the English seems to be better than what  
7 I draw.

8 Q Let me ask you about the next sentence.

9 "The dishonesty by Frank Israel and his  
10 associates is easily proved by the fact that, for  
11 instance, they support the search for dark matter and  
12 dark energy, even though considered fake science," and  
13 then there is a citation to a Debate on Cosmology  
14 website, "because they are compatible with Einstein  
15 theories, while they attack as fraud Santilli's search  
16 for antimatter galaxies because violating said  
17 theories."

18 Do you agree with that?

19 A Yes. This -- this you can -- you see in some  
20 of my letters -- some of my letters carry my name is  
21 the -- in the Debate on Cosmology, you can see a very  
22 similar statement.

23 Q Okay.

24 A Not -- but a statement, which is namely that  
25 Einstein fanatics, they -- they support the dark energy.

Page 322

1 A But careful -- in your interest, careful.  
2 This number has been rescaled now. I don't remember the  
3 number now.

4 Q Yeah. I'm using the numbers that are in the  
5 exhibit.

6 A Oh, yes. Okay.

7 Q Okay.

8 A That's correct, yes.

9 Q "This gloomy scenario is reinforced by  
10 evidence apparently gathered by an investigative agency  
11 according to which Frank Israel and his Skeptic Society  
12 acted under a mandate from Arthur Rubin, David Epstein  
13 and Mark Bernstein at Wikipedia-Google complex in  
14 support of their slanderous 'Article' on Santilli to  
15 prevent the acceptance of his broadening of Einstein  
16 theories."

17 That sounds like something you wrote, doesn't  
18 it?

19 A Not in those terms, but very, very similar to  
20 the -- very similar to that. Why -- I am on record  
21 because of the smashing evidence that those three  
22 individuals have -- have attacked me because those are  
23 Einstein -- known as Einstein fanatics.

24 Q Okay.

25 A And they -- and they attack me, they insult.

Page 323

1 THE WITNESS: Now, there is one word,  
2 Attorney Parrish, that later on when we have more  
3 time, I want you to ask on one word that is new  
4 that I never seen it around, namely, the Wikipedia  
5 dash from -- if that's correct, what you read,  
6 Attorney Lake -- Wikipedia-Google complex, because  
7 there is, apparently, participation in what's going  
8 on against America also, not only from Wikipedia,  
9 but also from Google. And I want to elaborate and  
10 provide some evidence on this because all these  
11 things, Attorney Parrish, will appear during the  
12 trial. We want to present them to the jury.

13 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

14 BY MR. LAKE:

15 Q Let me ask you about the next sentence in this  
16 post. "As it was the case for the Roman Empire, I fear  
17 that the United States of America have lost their world  
18 leadership at its peak because Americans have permitted  
19 the, quote, organized cartel on Einstein and its liberal  
20 associates to achieve control of academia, news media,  
21 the U.S. President, including President Trump of lately,  
22 the U.S. Congress, the Federal Reserve and the Nobel  
23 Foundation."

24 Do you agree with that?

25 A Yes. Unfortunately, I fear it and pray God to

Page 325

1 type of statement -- remember, my grandson, my -- my  
2 son-in-law is Jewish. My best supporters are Jewish. I  
3 am a Christian. I am against any -- any -- anything --  
4 this is called, essentially, a condemnation of the  
5 Jewish -- not of the Jewish community -- of a minority  
6 of the Jewish community, those that are called Zionists  
7 that acquired power in Germany, and you know what  
8 happened after that. Then the same happened in Spain  
9 and then they were thrown out. In Germany you know what  
10 happened, the Manhattan Project.

11 So those are -- those are very, very,  
12 extremely serious historical notes that I want to -- as  
13 a Christian, I don't even want to -- I am against any  
14 form of -- no. However, to help you, because I offer  
15 you an olive branch, this seems to be the same language  
16 of the other document you showed me before, the one that  
17 says -- that organizes Jew -- organize -- what does it  
18 say? You -- I forgot. Organized Jewish scientific  
19 crime at Harvard. Organized Jewish scientific crime  
20 at -- at MIT. Organized Jewish scientific crime at  
21 American Institute of Physics, with all documentation of  
22 the --

23 This seems to be the authors of -- this seems  
24 to be in the line -- I see a connection of the two, very  
25 clear connection. But I want to disconnect any --

Page 324

1 be -- to be wrong. There is -- there is a post in the  
2 Scientific Ethics, I don't remember which one, but it  
3 was years ago, in which I expressed precisely very  
4 similar fear under my signature, because I believe using  
5 a pseudonym for me is a sign of weakness. I don't do  
6 that. So you'll see a very similar statement. It's  
7 actually a much longer list of reason why I was worried  
8 for America that I love, a country that I love.

9 Q Okay. The next sentence says: "Said control  
10 is then used for dictatorial, anti-American aims against  
11 the hosting society, as it happened five years ago in  
12 Spain, one century" --

13 A Not five years ago in Spain.

14 Q I'm sorry -- "five centuries ago in Spain" --  
15 thank you -- "one century ago in Germany, ago, in the  
16 USA with the Manhattan Project that resulted in the  
17 biggest blunder of the judicial systems because the  
18 messengers of the treason, the Rosenbergs, were caught,  
19 convicted, prosecuted and executed, but the real  
20 perpetrators of the passing the atomic bomb secrets to  
21 their brothers in Russia, the physicists of the  
22 Manhattan Project, were never prosecuted!"

23 Do you agree with that?

24 A I disagree. I -- I deny any connection. I am  
25 against this type of -- this type of statement. This

Page 326

1 any -- if I had the authority, I would -- I would have  
2 strongly denied the appearance of this. There is no  
3 need to.

4 Q Okay.

5 A There is no need to.

6 MR. PARRISH: Real quickly, Jim. I've just  
7 been notified that the actual notice for  
8 Chris Anderson's deposition was at three.  
9 Obviously, we're not going to get there.

10 MR. LAKE: Three Eastern?

11 MR. PARRISH: Let me see.

12 MR. LAKE: I thought we did four Eastern,  
13 three Central, because he's in Niceville.

14 MR. PARRISH: Right. Oh, okay. It does say  
15 three p.m. CDT. Let me just -- well, yeah.

16 MR. LAKE: Do you want to take a break and --

17 MR. PARRISH: Just like a five-minute break so  
18 I can get everything cleared up with my office.

19 MR. LAKE: Let me finish with this post --

20 MR. PARRISH: Sure, sure, of course.

21 MR. LAKE: -- and then we can --

22 MR. PARRISH: Okay. Thank you.

23 MR. LAKE: -- take a break.

24 THE WITNESS: Go ahead, please. This is very  
25 important, what you're addressing, Attorney Lake.

Page 327

1 BY MR. LAKE:

2 Q Thank you. I just want to finish up with the  
3 sentence that's in this --

4 A Please proceed.

5 Q -- same post.

6 The next one says: Ruggero -- I'm sorry,  
7 Ruggero, forgive me -- Ruggero and Carla Santilli have  
8 been the sole Americans I know to date who have opposed  
9 the conduction of scienced -- science, sorry -- by the  
10 organized cartel on Einstein via slander and defamation.

11 Do you agree with that?

12 A Yes, indeed. I believe nobody else has sued  
13 those people for -- for slander and defamation. We are  
14 the only one on record. The other -- Eugene Mallove was  
15 assassinated. And then my former colleague,  
16 Halton Arp, he was so disappointed by the corruption, he  
17 left America, I think even abandoned -- he's dead now.  
18 Even -- he is one of the few Americans who gave up his  
19 American citizenship because of the -- of the collapse  
20 of -- disgust of what's going on in science in America  
21 because of those interests that now want to control  
22 science by defamation and slander.23 Q The last two sentences of this post flow  
24 together, so let me read you both of those and then we  
25 can take a break.

Page 329

1 people who pay you, but I want to make a particular  
2 concrete -- one among many concrete episodes. You want  
3 me to do it or not?4 Q My only question is whether Mr. Parrish -- he  
5 had asked about taking a break. So I'm happy for you to  
6 do that before or after the break.

7 A That's okay.

8 THE WITNESS: Maybe you can ask me later.

9 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

10 THE WITNESS: You can ask me later. Good  
11 enough.

12 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

13 MR. LAKE: All right. We'll go off the  
14 record.

15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.

16 (Recess from 2:22 p.m. to 2:37 p.m.)

17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.  
18 Go ahead.

19 BY MR. LAKE:

20 Q Dr. Santilli, I have a quick follow-up  
21 question on something that we discussed a moment ago,  
22 Professor Womack's report, the University of South  
23 Florida --

24 A Okay.

25 Q -- professor's report.

Page 328

1 A Please proceed.

2 Q "Other Americans in academia and elsewhere  
3 have supinely accepted the systematic assassination of  
4 scientific democracy either because of illusory gains,  
5 or because of complicity via silence. But then, it is  
6 written in history that people have the institutions  
7 they want or deserve."

8 Do you agree with that?

9 A Yes, I do. Unfortunately, this is a warning.  
10 Democracy are very, very fragile. We know because I was  
11 born under domination by the -- by the -- by the Nazis.  
12 I still carry wounds in my body, shrapnel. Democracy  
13 are very, very fragile. America is at age of -- the  
14 American institutions are collapsing since there is no  
15 respect for the position of presidency, et cetera,  
16 et cetera, et cetera.17 So I do agree with the extreme because I love  
18 America, but I'm afraid that unless American react to --  
19 to the established scientific democracy. Democracy is  
20 the most vital important function, the science,  
21 democracy and science, there is no question about  
22 America --23 And I would like to make a concrete case, if I  
24 am allowed to -- if you care about America, because you  
25 have to -- you have to care about your customer, the

Page 330

1 A Yes.

2 Q I know you -- we talked a little about that  
3 being on the Galileo Principia website. I have a  
4 question separate and apart from that website. Have  
5 you -- have you sent a copy of that report to anyone?

6 A No.

7 Q Okay. Thank you.

8 A There is too much negative against me for me  
9 to propagate that report.10 Q She's another scientist who refuses to take  
11 your ideas seriously; is that right?

12 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form.

13 A I'm sorry?

14 BY MR. LAKE:

15 Q Would you say she's another scientist who  
16 refuses to take your ideas seriously?17 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form of the  
18 question.

19 A That's perfectly legitimate.

20 BY MR. LAKE:

21 Q Okay.

22 A It's the accusation of fraud that is criminal.

23 Q Okay.

24 A You can be prosecuted in court.

25 (Exhibit V117 was marked for identification.)

Page 331

1 BY MR. LAKE:  
2 Q Okay. Let me ask you about this article that  
3 was on the Scientificethics.org website, and it's marked  
4 V117, a copy for Mr. Parrish and Mrs. Santilli, and see  
5 if you recognize that.

6 MRS. SANTILLI: When was this?

7 MR. LAKE: Joe, I don't know if you want to  
8 chime in here, but the date I see on here is  
9 June 7, 2016.

10 MR. PARRISH: This looks to be -- well, at the  
11 top, it has a title here. It says, "Jewish  
12 corruption at Wikipedia." Over to the right, there  
13 is a date of 8th of June 2016. And then as you go  
14 into the body, at the top there is a date June 7th,  
15 2016. There is a heading here that says, "Lying  
16 and Cheating of Pepijn van Erp and Frank Israel."

17 THE WITNESS: It's a website. That's a  
18 separate website.

19 MR. PARRISH: It looks like it is a -- all I  
20 see is at the bottom, http --

21 THE WITNESS: No, this is a composite.

22 MR. PARRISH: -- archive.is/dBiJX.

23 THE WITNESS: There is a separate website, and  
24 the Lying and Cheating of Pepijn van Erp, this is a  
25 production. This is -- this is a composite.

Page 333

1 BY MR. LAKE:

2 Q Well, my question was if you -- if you  
3 recognize the article, Lying and Cheating of --

4 A No.

5 Q -- Pepijn van Erp and Frank Israel.

6 A I know -- not this one, but I do know the real  
7 article, which is this one.

8 Q Okay. Let's go ahead and mark that V118,  
9 then, and I'll ask you some questions about it. Can  
10 we --

11 A You want this one?

12 Q If that's okay.

13 A Sure, of course.

14 Q Okay.

15 A I'll give you one, and I have a copy for  
16 Attorney Parrish.

17 MRS. SANTILLI: Where is the piece attached?

18 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Carla?

19 MRS. SANTILLI: Where is the other piece  
20 attached?

21 THE WITNESS: The -- you mean the other one,  
22 this one?

23 MRS. SANTILLI: Yeah. That is part of the  
24 article.

25 THE WITNESS: Yes. This is another one.

Page 332

1 Unless I see --

2 MR. PARRISH: Oh.

3 THE WITNESS: -- that, I cannot -- I can  
4 express no more. However, I know that there is a  
5 separate -- not to repeat, a separate website,  
6 Lying and Cheating of Pepijn van Erp. And I think  
7 I have a copy here --

8 MR. PARRISH: Okay. But Dr. Santilli, stay  
9 with me for a second.

10 THE WITNESS: Please, go ahead.

11 MR. PARRISH: All we're looking at the  
12 document that --

13 THE WITNESS: Here it is, Lying and Cheating  
14 of Pepijn van Erp. I have the real website.

15 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

16 THE WITNESS: Okay. Go ahead.

17 MR. PARRISH: But all I'm doing is showing you  
18 this document that has been provided by Mr. Lake.  
19 Up here it does say, "Saved from  
20 www.scientificethics.org," and then it has a  
21 further address on there. So just to give you  
22 that.

23 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

24 What's the question, please? Let's move.  
25

Page 334

1 MRS. SANTILLI: But this is -- this is  
2 attached --

3 THE WITNESS: This one, Pepijn van Erp, it's  
4 called -- what it's called? It's called --

5 MRS. SANTILLI: It's called -- it's called --

6 THE WITNESS: Can you read --

7 MRS. SANTILLI: It is called --

8 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry.

9 MR. PARRISH: You guys, please.

10 Okay. So this is -- are we going to mark this  
11 as a composite exhibit --

12 THE WITNESS: Please.

13 MR. PARRISH: -- or is this a separate -- or  
14 what do you want to do?

15 MR. LAKE: Let me ask about this exhibit and  
16 then about that one, so just -- so the record is  
17 clear what we're talking about, and then we'll get  
18 to it, if that's all right.

19 (Exhibit V118 was marked for identification.)

20 MR. LAKE: We've marked --

21 THE WITNESS: This is your copy,  
22 Attorney Parrish.

23 MR. PARRISH: Okay. Thanks.

24 MR. LAKE: We've marked as V118 a document  
25 Dr. Santilli just handed me and has the name

Page 335

1 "Pepijn van Erp" at the top and then the headline,  
2 "Lying and Cheating of Pepijn van Erp and  
3 Frank Israel."

4 BY MR. LAKE:

5 Q Where did you obtain this document?

6 A From the web. You do a search. It's very --  
7 it's very -- if you do a search of van Erp, it comes  
8 very quick.

9 Q Okay. So you did a search for Mr. van Erp's  
10 name --

11 A Yes.

12 Q -- and you found this?

13 A You do this frequently now, yes.

14 Q Okay. Were you involved in creating this  
15 article?

16 A No, of course not.

17 Q Okay.

18 A I have no idea. But he has a many enemies,  
19 you should know.

20 Q Okay.

21 A I'm not the only one that has been unjustly  
22 attacked by that man. He has many enemies around the  
23 world.

24 Q Have you sent links to this article to anyone  
25 else?

Page 337

1 I became a CEO of Thunder Energies, an additional reason  
2 not to -- not to be a member of the -- of the  
3 International Committee of Scientific Ethics. It was in  
4 conflict with my position.

5 Q Okay. So, looking at 117, which, as I say, is  
6 an archived document from Scientificethics.org, you're  
7 not aware of how the "Lying and Cheating of  
8 Pepijn van Erp and Frank Israel" ended up on  
9 Scientificethics.org?

10 A I have no idea.

11 Q Okay.

12 A And, too, I don't see that that's a real  
13 website. This is a composite --

14 Q Okay.

15 A -- to my knowledge.

16 Q Thank you.

17 Now, you had a second article that you were  
18 referring to there.

19 A Oh, there are many. We collected only two --

20 Q Okay.

21 A -- of many, one copy for each of you.

22 THE WITNESS: Could you -- Attorney Parrish,  
23 could you please read the -- and we want this on  
24 record -- read the first lines?

25 MR. PARRISH: Yes. Hold on just a second so I

Page 336

1 A No, not that I know.

2 Q Okay.

3 A I don't have the time.

4 Q Have you sent copies of it to anyone?

5 A That, perhaps.

6 Q Okay.

7 A Sent the links, not copy, but I sent -- may  
8 have sent a link.

9 Q Okay.

10 A I may.

11 Q Do you know if a -- a link was posted on  
12 Scientificethics.org?

13 A No. I'm not to be involved. No, I've not  
14 been involved in Scientific Ethics since I am -- I took  
15 a position of CEO.

16 Q Okay.

17 A I told you before. I repeat it.

18 Q And specifically, when you say "position of  
19 CEO," of Thunder Energies?

20 A First, because of the position of CEO at the  
21 time of MagneGas Corporation.

22 Q Okay.

23 A 2007 --

24 Q Okay.

25 A -- is when I left Scientific Ethics. And then

Page 338

1 can finish my notes.

2 THE WITNESS: Of course. Take your time.

3 MR. PARRISH: Are we -- I'm sorry. Are we  
4 marking this as V119?

5 MR. LAKE: Yes.

6 (Exhibit V119 was marked for identification.)

7 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, this has -- and  
8 I'm not sure how to pronounce this. It says,  
9 "Polar Bear's palaver," I believe, up at the top.

10 THE WITNESS: I believe so.

11 MR. PARRISH: And then there is a date of  
12 Sunday, October 21st, 2002 -- or 2012. And then  
13 there is a caption of a photograph, which it looks  
14 like may be Pepijn van Erp. And above it, the  
15 title, "Cheater No. 18: Pepijn van Erp," and then  
16 it's got content underneath.

17 THE WITNESS: And then could you read the  
18 first line, please?

19 MR. PARRISH: The first line of the first  
20 paragraph?

21 THE WITNESS: Of the paragraph, yes.

22 Carla, is that the one?

23 MRS. SANTILLI: Well, I think this was -- was  
24 on the rest, yeah.

25 MR. PARRISH: So you want me to read the first

Page 339

1 paragraph to you?

2 THE WITNESS: Yes, please. It's very  
3 important.

4 MR. PARRISH: Okay. "One of many subhuman  
5 online cheaters from the Benelux area. He was  
6 banned in the August last year and became already  
7 almost forgotten, well, until last week when I  
8 stumbled upon him again."

9 MRS. SANTILLI: That's it.

10 BY MR. LAKE:

11 Q And this is -- Dr. Santilli, this is another  
12 article you found through an Internet search?

13 A Search, search, under Pepijn van Erp, yes.

14 Q Okay.

15 A There are several others. Only two.

16 Q Okay. And again, do you recall --

17 A You see, this is an independent -- independent  
18 host somewhere.

19 Q Okay. Do you recall sending a link to this  
20 article?

21 A The link to the article -- not this one. I  
22 remember the other. This one, no. I wasn't -- the only  
23 reason that I became aware of this one, this one. But I  
24 think the other, I did -- I may have sent it to -- to  
25 whom, I don't remember. That's so much ago.

Page 341

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, go ahead.

2 BY MR. LAKE:

3 Q You anticipated my question. Are you familiar  
4 with this?

5 A Yes, I am familiar.

6 Q Okay. Did you write it?

7 A No.

8 Q Okay. Tell me what you know about it.

9 A I know about it because this is an  
10 international -- an international debate. The Nobel  
11 Foundation is under severe attack because of -- because  
12 they claim they're under accusation of being the top of  
13 the pyramid of the power on all the theory and the top  
14 of the pyramid on opposing basic advancement. So it is  
15 a very severe documented -- documented allegations.

16 Q Okay. And I think you helped me -- or  
17 Tuesday, rather, with obscurantism. Remind me, what  
18 does that mean?

19 A Obscurantism is a term in which basically all  
20 knowledge is suffocated. After the Roman Empire we had  
21 obscurantism because for 1,000 years there was no --  
22 until the Renaissance in -- in -- in Italy, there was  
23 nothing. The knowledge accumulated, but the Roman  
24 collapsed. The building, enormous marble structure,  
25 everything was lost.

Page 340

1 Q Okay. Thank you.

2 THE WITNESS: Incidentally, I don't think you  
3 receive -- Attorney Parrish, you didn't receive a  
4 copy of this website until recently; is that  
5 correct?

6 MR. PARRISH: Correct.

7 THE WITNESS: So we didn't even send the link  
8 to Attorney Parrish, to prove my point.

9 (Exhibit V120 was marked for identification.)

10 BY MR. LAKE:

11 Q I'm going to ask if you recognize a document  
12 I'm marking V120.

13 MR. LAKE: And perhaps, Mr. Parrish, you could  
14 just give a description. Here's a copy.

15 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, this looks like a  
16 13-page document. At the top it says,  
17 "International Committee on Scientific Ethics and  
18 Accountability." And then the date of this is  
19 September 15th, 2007. And the title is, "Open  
20 Denunciation of the Nobel Foundation for Heading an  
21 Organized Scientific Obscurantism."

22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I --

23 MR. PARRISH: And then just let him ask --  
24 okay.

25 MR. LAKE: Sure.

Page 342

1 Q Okay. And you agree the Nobel Foundation at  
2 this time was involved in obscurantism?

3 A Yes, I do.

4 Q Okay.

5 A It's one of the primary responsibility. It is  
6 part -- in my opinion, part of the control of science in  
7 the United States of America. It's by documented  
8 knowledge that, unfortunately, this is the case.

9 Q The -- the document on page 12 has the name,  
10 "William F. Pound, Chairman International Committee on  
11 Scientific Ethics and Accountability." And the way the  
12 document is formatted, I see that as a signature because  
13 it's right before the references.

14 Do you recall anyone named William Pound who  
15 was the chairman of that committee?

16 A No. He was the pen name of the -- of this --  
17 used by all members individually or collectively of the  
18 International Committee. He was -- it was a pseudonym,  
19 of course.

20 Q I see. And at this time, were you -- were you  
21 a member of the committee still at this time?

22 A Yes, I was.

23 Q Okay.

24 A This was prior to 2007. Tell me the date,  
25 because without a date I cannot answer.

Page 343

1 Q Okay. I think Mr. Parrish can help us. It  
2 was -- September 15, 2007 is the date.  
3 A Then at that time, I had left the committee.  
4 Q Okay.  
5 A It is on the -- on the web -- on the -- on the  
6 Scientific Ethic itself, there is the date -- precise  
7 date in which I abandoned -- I left the committee and  
8 the reason why I left.  
9 Q Okay.  
10 A I think it was prior to that. However, I am  
11 familiar with that -- familiar, because all the  
12 scientists all over the world, they send me allegations  
13 against the Nobel Foundation.  
14 Q And do you recall the date in 2007 when you  
15 left the committee?  
16 A Oh, no, I cannot possibly recall.  
17 Q Okay.  
18 A I think it was before 2007. These are --  
19 MRS. SANTILLI: I don't remember.  
20 A These are in the -- in the website. The  
21 correct date is there.  
22 MRS. SANTILLI: You don't remember.  
23 BY MR. LAKE:  
24 Q Okay.  
25 A It's public knowledge. You ask for something

Page 344

1 and it's public knowledge, but you have to search for  
2 it.  
3 (Exhibit V121 was marked for identification.)  
4 BY MR. LAKE:  
5 Q I'm going to show you another document from  
6 Scientificethics.org and see if you recognize this one,  
7 Doctor. An original and a copy for Mr. Parrish.  
8 MR. PARRISH: This is V121?  
9 MR. LAKE: I'm sorry. Yes.  
10 THE WITNESS: Do we have to go through all  
11 those documents? This is an enormous website.  
12 Attorney Parrish, I think I'm beginning to -- to  
13 have difficulties with this type of --  
14 MR. PARRISH: I understand, Dr. Santilli.  
15 He -- we don't even know what the question is yet.  
16 So I don't have grounds to object at this point  
17 because I can't tell whether it's associated with  
18 the lawsuit.  
19 BY MR. LAKE:  
20 Q Do you recognize this article, Dr. Santilli?  
21 THE WITNESS: Can you read it for me, please,  
22 the article?  
23 MR. PARRISH: Yes. It is, again, from the  
24 International Complete on Scientific Ethics and  
25 Accountability.

Page 345

1 THE WITNESS: The date, please?  
2 MR. PARRISH: The date looks --  
3 MRS. SANTILLI: There is no date.  
4 MR. PARRISH: I don't see a date.  
5 MRS. SANTILLI: No, there's no date.  
6 THE WITNESS: That's okay. What is the title,  
7 if you don't mind?  
8 MR. PARRISH: The title is, "Documentation of  
9 Organized Scientific Crimes in the U.S.A., England,  
10 Italy and Other Countries." And then here it says,  
11 "I provide below verbatim duplicates of some of the  
12 footnotes of Foundations of Hadronic Mathematics,  
13 Mechanics and Chemistry, Volumes I, II and III," by  
14 Professor Ruggero Maria Santilli.  
15 That's not saying that you wrote this article.  
16 It's just claiming that whoever wrote this article  
17 provided -- oh, it's signed by William Pound down  
18 here as Chairman, but there is no date that I see  
19 on this publication.  
20 THE WITNESS: William Pound was a very old  
21 pseudonym of the committee. It was later  
22 superceded by Luca Petronio.  
23 BY MR. LAKE:  
24 Q Do you recall -- do you recall an article in  
25 which someone posted on the committee's website

Page 346

1 duplicates of some of the footnotes from the  
2 three-volume foundation -- Foundations -- sorry -- of  
3 Hadronic Mathematics, Mechanics and Chemistry?  
4 A No, I don't.  
5 Q Okay.  
6 A I am not aware of three volumes under that  
7 title that you indicated.  
8 Q Okay. Underneath the pseudonym "William  
9 Pound" and then the title is the phrase, "With distress  
10 for being unable to disclose his true name at this  
11 writing to prevent the same academic and family  
12 disruptions suffered by Professor Santilli and, in this  
13 way, being incapacitated from containing actions."  
14 I think you told us a little bit about the  
15 reasons for anonymity. Can you -- is that phrase I just  
16 read you correct?  
17 A It's a story of survival. Otherwise, people  
18 have been threatened with their life --  
19 Q Okay.  
20 A -- with this organized scientific crime.  
21 Q Okay. And so it's accurate to say that you  
22 had suffered academic and family disruptions?  
23 A Of course, through decades.  
24 Q I'm sorry?  
25 A It has been going on for decades since I

Page 347

1 started my studies at Harvard University, Beyond  
2 Einstein, early 1980s. So we're talking about four  
3 decades of continuous relentless attack by organized  
4 scientific crime.

5 Q Have you written a book titled, "New Sciences  
6 for a New Era"?

7 A An article, yes, not a book.

8 Q Okay. An article.

9 A A big article, 150 pages.

10 Q Was it an article that appeared in a book, a  
11 collection?

12 A Published in -- in -- in the peer review in  
13 Cambridge, United Kingdom, England. Peer-reviewed  
14 journal in Cambridge, England.

15 Q And was -- and I'm just trying to get the  
16 terminology straight. Was the title of the book "New  
17 Sciences for a New Era," or was that the title of your  
18 portion?

19 A Well, the -- you have to tell the entire  
20 title.

21 Q Sure.

22 A If "New Sciences for a New Era" is the entire  
23 title, that's my review, my -- it's a review paper. If  
24 you say -- if you say, "New Sciences for a New Era,"  
25 it's used in many, many -- used in many articles now.

Page 349

1 THE WITNESS: Especially because it is not  
2 relevant to the lawsuit, in my judgment.

3 BY MR. LAKE:

4 Q And let me show you what I think will be the  
5 last article on Scientific Ethics and then we can move  
6 on to another subject.

7 MR. LAKE: Mr. Parrish, this is a copy for  
8 you, and we'll mark this V122. And although for  
9 completeness sake I've given you the entire 56  
10 pages, I just want to ask you about the first two  
11 pages.

12 (Exhibit V122 was marked for identification.)

13 MR. PARRISH: It looks like, at this point,  
14 there's -- this is a document with 156 pages. It's  
15 titled --

16 MR. LAKE: Joe, sorry, 56.

17 MR. PARRISH: Oh, that's fine. It's -- This  
18 large title here is, "This page contains ethical  
19 notes personally written by Prof. Santilli in  
20 variojus (sic) works and removed by the editors,"  
21 and it looks like "various" is misspelled. And  
22 then it has here: Ethical Notes written by  
23 Prof. Santilli for the book "New Sciences for a New  
24 Era." And then it goes down with Section 3.3 and  
25 ethical notes. And so then it looks like there's

Page 348

1 And then semicolon, it says: Mathematical, theoretical  
2 and experimental discovery by Ruggero Maria Santilli.  
3 That's a monograph written by two authors, Kadeisvili  
4 and the other is --

5 MRS. SANTILLI: It was Gandzha.

6 A -- Gandzha.

7 MRS. SANTILLI: Published in the --

8 A And both of them would receive life threats.  
9 Gandzha from Ukraine is still alive, and -- but he had  
10 to abandon the field because he was threatened by the  
11 member of organized crime, scientific crime in Ukraine.

12 BY MR. LAKE:

13 Q Okay.

14 A It's documented in writing.

15 MR. PARRISH: Okay. Real quickly, you can't  
16 rely on Carla to give you information. And  
17 especially if Carla starts talking at the same time  
18 as you, then we can't get it on the record. Okay?

19 MRS. SANTILLI: Sorry.

20 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Attorney Parrish. We  
21 are tired.

22 MR. PARRISH: I know.

23 THE WITNESS: We are starting to be very tired  
24 and I don't know for how long I can take this.

25 MR. PARRISH: Okay. Well, let's --

Page 350

1 different writings.

2 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I have -- I don't know  
3 why -- I don't know what's the question. I need to  
4 know the question before I -- what's the question?

5 BY MR. LAKE:

6 Q Well, the first question is just are you  
7 familiar with this document?

8 A It appears to be -- I have to check it  
9 personally. It appears to be another -- yeah, another  
10 website.

11 Q Okay.

12 A So what's the question?

13 Q Okay. Well, that was the first question.

14 The second one is: This is from  
15 Scientificethics.org, and as I think Mr. Parrish said,  
16 the title at the very top of the page talks about  
17 ethical decay in science. And then this appears to be a  
18 collection of notes that you drafted but were excluded  
19 by editors from publication.

20 With that background, do you recall anyone  
21 compiling a list of work -- a list of your writings,  
22 notes, that were rejected by editors?

23 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form of the  
24 question.

25 A I don't know. What I recall that I wrote,



Page 351

1 that it was a section of the book. Of course, at the  
2 end of each section, I put an ethical note. The editor  
3 removed the note. I accepted it because it was purely  
4 technical, sole equations, and those notes --

5 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. It was purely --  
6 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?

7 MR. PARRISH: It was purely? What did you say  
8 after "it was purely"? She didn't understand.

9 THE WITNESS: Because -- because the book was  
10 purely technical with lots of equations. And so I  
11 accepted it. I wrote those notes out of my emotion  
12 because I -- this is not the way to pursue  
13 scientific -- scientific democracy in America. And  
14 so I accepted the request by the editor to remove  
15 those comments, and -- and those comments were  
16 collected very likely by the editor or somebody  
17 else. Whether those are my actual comments in all  
18 of them, that I do not know.

19 BY MR. LAKE:

20 Q Okay. And was the journal that you were you  
21 submitting materials to called "Physical Review  
22 Letters"?

23 A I -- I published in journals of the American  
24 Physical Society until I joined Harvard. And when the  
25 faculty, Steven Weinberg, Sheldon Glashow and

Page 353

1 true.

2 Q Okay. Let me just ask you about one other  
3 passage from this document. It's on the next page under  
4 the heading "Ethical Notes."

5 MR. PARRISH: Which page is that? I'm sorry.

6 MR. LAKE: I'm sorry. Page 2.

7 MR. PARRISH: I'm sorry. Page --

8 MR. LAKE: Page 2.

9 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

10 MR. LAKE: There's a heading, "Section3,4" and  
11 then "Ethical Notes."

12 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

13 MR. LAKE: And I'm just going to read the  
14 first sentence there.

15 BY MR. LAKE:

16 Q "The serious scholar should be alerted that  
17 Einstein's gravitation is one of the most scientific  
18 fields of inquiry because populated by Einstein's  
19 fanatics without any regard to due scientific process  
20 and knowledge, solely intent in serving organized  
21 interests on Einsteinian doctrines."

22 Do you agree with that?

23 A Who is the author of that?

24 Q All I know is that this is -- appears to be a  
25 collection of ethical notes on the Scientificethics.org

Page 352

1 Sidney Coleman, who were controlling the laboratory of  
2 physics at Harvard University, when they started to  
3 oppose my research under DOE support because contrary to  
4 their interest on Einstein, at that moment -- since that  
5 moment, I was prohibited to publish any -- any article  
6 in any journal of the American Physical Society. They  
7 control it. They are very powerful guys. They control  
8 the American Physical Society and its journal.

9 Q Okay. Let me ask you about one instance in  
10 particular, which I think might be different than what  
11 you're describing, but tell me if I'm wrong.

12 "In the 1970s, when he was Associate Professor  
13 of Physics at Boston University, Santilli returned to  
14 these early studies and submitted a paper to Physical  
15 Review Letters." And then a few lines later, this  
16 document says, "Unfortunately for science, Santilli  
17 received a very dissonant" view -- "review," sorry,  
18 "rejecting the paper." Do you recall that incident?

19 A No.

20 Q Okay.

21 A I went through many papers that are highly  
22 technical. I would need the article -- the title of the  
23 article to remember.

24 Q Okay.

25 A But it looks like a very -- it looks like

Page 354

1 website. It's attributed to you.

2 A Can I -- this one?

3 Q Yes, sir. I was reading from the second page.

4 MR. PARRISH: You want help?

5 A Well, I can answer it by saying that you  
6 mentioned before the Galileo Principia.

7 BY MR. LAKE:

8 Q Yes.

9 A There is an entire website establishing  
10 that -- that the space is -- cannot be curved. Not only  
11 it's not curved, but it cannot be curved. It's not my  
12 words. Those are the historical objection against  
13 Einstein's gravitation, although you don't need a Ph.D.  
14 to see it.

15 When you see it at sunset, you see the sun --  
16 still you see the sun, but -- but the reality, the sun  
17 that you see is not there, it's down, it's already down.  
18 This is due to the fact that when the light propagates  
19 through our -- any atmosphere, it's bended.

20 So the so-called bending of light that was  
21 used by Einstein as experimental evidence on the  
22 curvature of space has no physical foundation at all.  
23 The light from star and the back of the sun, that light  
24 is bent by the -- when passing through the chromosphere  
25 of the sun, according to many, many experiments, with

Page 355

1 no -- with no contribution. There cannot be any  
2 contribution from -- from -- from actual chemical  
3 species.

4 It is my personal opinion that Einstein's  
5 gravitation is a magnificent mathematical theory, but  
6 has no physical content.

7 Q Okay. And so it is the field of study --

8 A I'm sorry?

9 Q I'm sorry. It is the field of study of  
10 Einstein's gravitation populated by Einstein fanatics  
11 who failed to regard what you just described?

12 A Failed to debate the limitations. They have  
13 suppressed the limit -- the debate for one century.  
14 This objection that I mentioned is not mine, that the  
15 space cannot curve, namely, the curvature is due to  
16 refraction of light in the sun's chromosphere was moved  
17 immediately over one century ago to Einstein, but it was  
18 suppressed by this -- by this organized crime.

19 Q Okay.

20 A Has manipulated science for one century by  
21 suppressing democracy. Democracy means debating without  
22 names, expressing technical experiments with equations.  
23 With full respect, Einstein was and is one of the  
24 biggest scientists in the history of mankind.

25 MR. PARRISH: Jim, I just got notification

Page 357

1 this criticism by Calo, which -- but -- but which is, of  
2 course, legitimate. It's a criticism that Calo,  
3 essentially, criticized my -- my discovery of this new  
4 species of magneucle. And so that is a legitimate  
5 quotation from Wikipedia. But where that becomes  
6 corruption at Wikipedia is when Wikipedia refuses --  
7 systematically refused to quote jointly a patent by the  
8 United States Government given to me on magneucle, of  
9 which I have a copy that I request it to be -- to be put  
10 on record.

11 Here is a copy of the Patent. This is my --  
12 Attorney Parrish, I insist that this be on record. This  
13 is a Patent I obtained on magneucle.

14 Now, this also qualifies Mr. -- Mr. Israel  
15 first and then Mr. Pepijn -- and then Pepijn on --  
16 qualifies them on -- on -- on the slander, first Israel  
17 in Dutch. The slanders are always in the Dutch website.  
18 And then Pepijn van Erp slandered MagneGas Corporation  
19 that as a pyramid scheme for developing Santilli  
20 magneucle were covered by a patent on the United States  
21 of America.

22 And -- and the NASDAQ invited me to ring the  
23 bell. I have my picture -- my picture -- I'm sorry. I  
24 have my picture in Times Square, as far as 15 -- 15  
25 stories made by NASDAQ with the honor, et cetera,

Page 356

1 that we could push him back tomorrow till the same  
2 time.

3 MR. LAKE: Oh.

4 MR. PARRISH: Let's go off the record for a  
5 second.

6 MR. LAKE: Yes.

7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.

8 (Recess from 3:04 p.m. to 3:11 p.m.)

9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.

10 Go ahead.

11 BY MR. LAKE:

12 Q Dr. Santilli, let me ask you about the -- your  
13 biography on Wikipedia. I understand there's been a  
14 perception of corruption with regard to your article on  
15 Wikipedia, and I wondered if you can tell me about that.

16 A Yes. The article in Wikipedia, it is not only  
17 my opinion, but the opinion of hundreds of scientists  
18 all over the world. So I've contacted Wikipedia, tried  
19 to establish the truth. The Wikipedia rule rejected --  
20 all corrections are automatically rejected. They have a  
21 system, I do not know -- I don't remember the technical  
22 name, which any correction is automatically rejected.

23 The articles only state the things against me,  
24 and the category avoids -- avoids any -- any -- any --  
25 any evidence in my support. As an example, they quote

Page 358

1 et cetera. When the Chinese government invites me to  
2 make a presentation, a presentation of this new species  
3 at the International Conference at the Hainan Island,  
4 et cetera, all those people are stupid because they  
5 invite me.

6 So that's -- that's why there is a lawsuit.

7 BY MR. LAKE:

8 Q Okay. I believe the situation you just  
9 described is -- is also described in an article on  
10 Scientificethics.org, and I just want to show you that.  
11 And if so, we can put that in the record and move on. I  
12 have a copy for Mr. Parrish.

13 A Can we -- can we move on, please?

14 Q Well, let me just ask you to --

15 A How many of this you have?

16 MR. LAKE: I'm sorry?

17 MR. PARRISH: I'm sorry. Has this been --  
18 what's this been -- we're 123; is that right?

19 MR. LAKE: Yes, 123.

20 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

21 (Exhibit V123 was marked for identification.)

22 BY MR. LAKE:

23 Q Is this an article from Scientific Ethics  
24 regarding the corruption on your Wikipedia article as  
25 you've just described?

Page 359

1 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, this is showing  
2 International Committee on Scientific Ethics, and  
3 then here it says, "Documentation of Jewish  
4 dishonesty and corruption on Prof. Santilli's  
5 article at Wikipedia." And then it shows  
6 Luca Petronio as the author and then, again,  
7 International Committee on Scientific Ethics and  
8 Accountability. And then it has here -- it doesn't  
9 seem to have an actual date of the article itself,  
10 but --

11 THE WITNESS: Maybe this one is old.

12 MR. PARRISH: But then it says, "On  
13 November 23rd, 2013, members of our Committee made  
14 the changes identified below to the article at  
15 Wikipedia," and then it goes on.

16 THE WITNESS: Okay. What is the question?

17 BY MR. LAKE:

18 Q So I believe, rather than asking you to go  
19 through in detail the corruption which you've  
20 summarized, this article sets forth the corruption  
21 you've described; is that right?

22 A I presume so. I --

23 Q You're not familiar with this article before  
24 today?

25 A I don't remember the content. My God.

Page 361

1 community are serious people. They're committed to  
2 scientific democracy which is suppressed at Wikipedia to  
3 serve the organized interests of Einstein.

4 Q Okay. Very good.

5 A Against democracy -- against democracy in  
6 United States of America.

7 Q Very good. Thank you.

8 A I'm not the only one. There are thousands of  
9 people all over the world.

10 Q Now, let's turn from Wikipedia to the Nobel  
11 Committee and Nobel Prizes. And I know we've talked  
12 about that off and on in the last couple of days, but I  
13 want to spend just a few more minutes on it.

14 You've clearly studied and written in a number  
15 of scientific fields. At least, that's my perception.  
16 And your biography, which you brought and discussed in  
17 your opening statement, definitely discussed Nobel  
18 nominations. But my understanding is that you have yet  
19 to be awarded a Nobel Prize; is that correct?

20 A I'll never get the Nobel Prize, not with --

21 Q Okay.

22 A -- because of the corrupt -- totally  
23 corrupt -- totally Italian total control of the Nobel  
24 Foundation, nor I'm -- nor I'm -- it's totally outside  
25 my mind, outside --

Page 360

1 Q Okay.

2 A I study -- I study -- I'm interested in  
3 science, not in this -- I don't want to use French name,  
4 which I should.

5 Q But, certainly, the Committee on Scientific  
6 Ethics and Accountability is familiar with -- with your  
7 work, correct?

8 A They are familiar with work and they are very  
9 familiar with corruption of Wikipedia. If you are  
10 calling to Wikipedia, Wikipedia should present all  
11 evidence in favor and against and let the reader, reader  
12 achieve -- reach conclusion. They present only  
13 negative -- negative references.

14 Q Okay. So -- and again, I'm trying to move  
15 things along here without --

16 A Please.

17 Q -- us belaboring this.

18 A I appreciate it. Thank you.

19 Q You would -- you would find -- or sorry. Is  
20 it your opinion that an article on Scientificethics.org  
21 discussing corruption in your article on Wikipedia is  
22 but --

23 A Is --

24 Q -- is reliable?

25 A Yes, because the members of the scientific

Page 362

1 Q Tell me why you say that you'll never receive  
2 one.

3 A Because -- because the Nobel Committee is  
4 known to be totally controlled by -- precisely by people  
5 who are paying you. I can say this. You are paid by  
6 American money, the same people who control --

7 Actually, if you want to know more details,  
8 the control is beyond imagination by normal American  
9 people. First of all, the same committee -- I'm sorry,  
10 the same -- I'm starting to get tired. But there are  
11 things that you are -- after all, you are Americans and  
12 you should know.

13 First of all, this organization on Einstein,  
14 they control the money. The money that is owned by  
15 the -- by the Nobel Committee is in banks controlled by  
16 those organizing interests, number one.

17 Number two: The numerical majority, the  
18 numerical majority of the members of the board of  
19 directors of the Nobel Foundation are members of this  
20 organization and are a minority interest of Einstein  
21 that includes many ethical, ethnic groups, not  
22 necessarily Jews. Of course, Jews are there.  
23 Otherwise, it would be a farce. I don't want to be a  
24 part of it. But contains many ethnic groups. So this  
25 group controls the numerical control of the board of

Page 363

1 director.  
2 Then each -- each year -- every year, the  
3 Nobel Committee has -- sets up the committees in  
4 physics, chemistry, medicine, et cetera, each of those  
5 committees numerically controlled by representative of  
6 this organized interest. The net result is the number  
7 of Nobel Prizes granted by --

8 Sorry. I apologize. Thank you.

9 And the number of Nobel -- Nobel -- Nobel  
10 Prize granted by the committee are extremely  
11 questionable. Some I can mention. One, for instance,  
12 in astrophysics for the so-called acceleration of the  
13 expansion of the universe following clear, pure theory,  
14 following clear, incontrovertible experiments done on --  
15 on earth that is conjecture and no political and no --  
16 no foundation.

17 Those are the words of Albert Einstein, not  
18 mine. He died without accepting the expansion of the  
19 universe because -- because the other law -- other laws  
20 implies that the light emitted from far-away stars has a  
21 red -- a redshift, namely, decrease of the frequency of  
22 the red, which the redshift is proportional to the  
23 distance.

24 This is stated everywhere, including  
25 Wikipedia. But the corruption -- not by Einstein.

Page 365

1 you referred to that -- the committees that select  
2 Nobel Prize laureates. And I think I have found  
3 information that's exactly consistent with what you  
4 said, that the process of nomination and selection of  
5 Nobel laureates is controlled in the sense that only  
6 certain people are qualified nominators, correct?

7 A Well, the -- repeat your question. I'm sorry.

8 Q Sure.

9 A I'm getting tired.

10 Q I understand. And let me give you a document.  
11 Maybe this will help.

12 A I want to be able to understand deeper what  
13 you mean with your question, please.

14 Q That's a fair point. And let me -- let me  
15 give you a document that I think will help and that  
16 might make things easier. This is V124. And  
17 Mr. Parrish can certainly describe it to you, but --

18 A Okay.

19 Q I found this on the Nobel website and it  
20 describes -- in this case, it's the Nobel Prize for  
21 Physics -- that the nomination and selection of physics  
22 laureates is -- is limited in the sense that qualified  
23 nominators must be, and then there are six categories  
24 listed here: Swedish and foreign members of the Royal  
25 Swedish Academy of Sciences; members of the Nobel

Page 364

1 Einstein was Einstein. But the corruption in Wikipedia  
2 and elsewhere do not state that this dependence is  
3 radial in all directions from earth. Therefore, the  
4 expansion of the universe implies earth at the center of  
5 the universe.

6 These are the words by Albert Einstein and  
7 Edwin -- Edwin Hubble, Fritz Zwicky, Enrico Fermi,  
8 et cetera, et cetera, and all scientists -- all  
9 scientists, who died without accepting the expansion of  
10 the universe because it implies the return to the  
11 Galileo time, with the earth at the center of the  
12 universe.

13 This -- for one century, this organization of  
14 scientific crime, as managed by the control of this  
15 media, journals -- scientific journals, manage -- even  
16 manage to avoid the discussion, even the consideration  
17 in -- in an international meeting and conference, and  
18 that's why they kept control. And now they do this by  
19 attacking -- attacking professional dissonant view by  
20 slander, defamation, vulgarities. That's what they do  
21 because they do not have a technical argument. And so  
22 they retort -- they retort to slander and defamation.

23 (Exhibit V124 was marked for identification.)

24 BY MR. LAKE:

25 Q Let's talk for a moment about the committees

Page 366

1 Committee on Physics, which you referred to; Nobel  
2 laureates, so I guess prior winners; tenured professors  
3 in the physical sciences at the universities and  
4 institutes of technology in Sweden, Denmark, Finland,  
5 Iceland and Norway, and then one institute in Stockholm.  
6 Five: Holders of corresponding chairs in at least six  
7 universities or university colleges selected by the  
8 Academy of Sciences; and finally, six: Other scientists  
9 from whom the Academy may see fit to invite proposals.

10 So, I guess, the way I read this, only -- the  
11 Nobel Committee is set up in such a way that only  
12 certain individuals are allowed to nominate people for  
13 the Nobel Prize in Physics.

14 A Yes, of course.

15 Q Okay.

16 A So Nobel Prizes are fabricated. I reached the  
17 top of academia with a position at Harvard University  
18 supported by the Department of Energy. I've seen the  
19 Nobel Prize being fabricated. It's very easy if you are  
20 a member of the -- of this organization. You collect  
21 500 qualified scientists from all over the world asking  
22 that they nominate you. They reach an agreement: You  
23 nominate me, then I'll give you a chair, I'll let you  
24 allow to get this -- this research grant. They are,  
25 essentially, negotiated. And then the Nobel Committee

Page 367

1 receives the 500 support by letter, very, very famous  
2 letter of support, University of Moscow, Berkeley, MIT,  
3 et cetera, and then the final decision is reached by the  
4 board of director and -- who asks formally the people  
5 who keep -- who handle -- who are managing the money of  
6 the -- which are members, for your knowledge, a member  
7 of -- unfortunately, for America, a member of the  
8 Federal -- Federal Reserve.

9 Q Okay.

10 A So the banks of the Federal Reserve are a part  
11 of this -- of this unfortunate suppression of scientific  
12 democracy in America. They are also -- they control  
13 everything, including the money of the -- the money of  
14 the Nobel Foundation. It is all orchestrated,  
15 manipulated.

16 There has been no Nobel Prize granted on  
17 anything novelty for now for a century, basically,  
18 the -- so it is -- it is -- it is one of the biggest  
19 shadows in the history of human science.

20 Q Okay.

21 A The Nobel Foundation is the biggest science --  
22 not because I want the Nobel Prize, but I've seen many,  
23 many fantastic Americans who have got the Nobel Prize.  
24 But real novelty, forget about it. They lost their job.  
25 Forget the Nobel Prize. They lost their job.

Page 368

1 Q And if I, since I'm, obviously, not on this  
2 list, I don't meet any of the criteria to nominate  
3 someone for a prize --

4 A It's trashed.

5 Q -- if I had -- let me finish the question, but  
6 I think we're going to the same place.

7 If I knew the name of one of those scientists  
8 you just described with an innovative invention or  
9 discovery and I sent in a nomination, no matter how  
10 worthy it might be, it would be ignored because I'm not  
11 an authorized nominator, correct?

12 A Would not even be considered.

13 Q Exactly. Okay. Okay.

14 Now, you've posted a number of nominations  
15 you've received for the Nobel Prize, correct?

16 A I've received many since 1987.

17 Q Okay.

18 A Apparently -- apparently, I'm told hundreds,  
19 I've been nominated hundreds of times.

20 (Exhibit V125 was marked for identification.)

21 BY MR. LAKE:

22 Q And I want to show you what I think is one of  
23 them. We've marked this V126.

24 MR. PARRISH: Do we have V125? I'm sorry.

25 MR. LAKE: Oh, you know what? I'm sorry.

Page 369

1 Let's -- let's do 125 first.

2 BY MR. LAKE:

3 Q 125 is the qualifications to nominate someone  
4 for the Nobel Prize in Chemistry and I believe its list  
5 is very similar. Is that your understanding, that the  
6 process works the same way?

7 A The same way, yes.

8 MR. LAKE: Okay. Thank you, Joe. 125, then,  
9 is the -- I'll give you a copy -- the process in  
10 chemistry.

11 (Exhibit V126 was marked for identification.)

12 BY MR. LAKE:

13 Q With that out of the way, now let me show you  
14 V126, which I believe is one of the nominations you've  
15 described.

16 MR. LAKE: And I gave you a copy, Joe?

17 MR. PARRISH: No.

18 MR. LAKE: Oh, please. Sorry. Sorry. Thank  
19 you.

20 THE WITNESS: Can I -- do you mind,  
21 Attorney Parrish, let me know who is the author of  
22 this nomination.

23 MR. PARRISH: Sure.

24 THE WITNESS: If it is available.

25 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

Page 370

1 THE WITNESS: It may not be available.

2 BY MR. LAKE:

3 Q And I can help with that.

4 A No, no. It's not available. It's been  
5 erased.

6 Q Well, let me ask you about that. You-all are  
7 looking in the right place. This, to me, appears to be  
8 a six-page nomination letter directed to the Nobel  
9 Committee for Physics. And although you're right,  
10 Dr. Santilli, the typed information is redacted, it  
11 appears to be the name "George F. Weiss" that we've  
12 discussed earlier. Is that Mr. Weiss' nomination?

13 A Let me see. Not necessarily. Normally --  
14 normally, they remove the names to prevent from people  
15 being attacked.

16 Q Okay.

17 A In this case, George Weiss, assuming that it  
18 is him, he could be -- he could be acting on behalf of  
19 the actual -- all those lines, it means that there is a  
20 physical person which has been -- may have been covered  
21 to protect -- to prevent him to be attacked by slander  
22 and defamation.

23 Q Okay. Well, we discussed George Weiss  
24 earlier, an editor who had worked with you at one point,  
25 correct?

Page 371

1 A Yes. Certainly, he was qualified to  
2 collect -- to be the bearer of -- he would not qualify  
3 to be -- to be a nominee.

4 Q Okay.

5 A But he -- he is certainly qualified, being an  
6 editor of a scientific journal, certainly qualified to  
7 bring to the attention of the committee qualified --  
8 qualified nomination that looks like this. The fact  
9 that the name has been erased means there was -- the  
10 person was qualified.

11 Q So he's qualified in the sense that --

12 A As a referral.

13 Q -- he is knowledgeable?

14 A No, no, not -- but as a referral, yes. He is  
15 not a scientist. He has no record of scientific  
16 publication, so he's not -- you need to be a scientist  
17 to nominate somebody -- somebody as a -- for a Nobel  
18 Prize in Physics and Mathematics. You have to be  
19 either -- have a record of publication in physics or in  
20 chemistry; he is not.

21 Q So is it appropriate for the  
22 Scientificethics.org website to include this document as  
23 one of your nominations, since he's not a qualified  
24 nominator?

25 A This is under Scientific Ethics?

Page 373

1 Q Okay.

2 A So this -- this is evidence I was not  
3 involved.

4 Q Okay.

5 A Because I know it's very damaging to me. It  
6 is the nomination for Physics or Chemistry,  
7 incidentally?

8 MR. PARRISH: Physics.

9 BY MR. LAKE:

10 Q That one was Physics, yes.

11 And since you asked, I do have one in  
12 Chemistry I'd like to ask you about, V127.

13 (Exhibit V127 was marked for identification.)

14 A Where does this appear? Where it's been  
15 printed?

16 BY MR. LAKE:

17 Q What I've just handed you is from  
18 Scientificethics.org.

19 A Oh, which -- okay. What --

20 MR. PARRISH: Sure.

21 THE WITNESS: Read me the title only, please.

22 MR. PARRISH: Yes. It says, "Nomination for  
23 the 2017 Nobel Prize in Chemistry of Professor  
24 Ruggero Maria Santilli, President, The Institute  
25 for Basic Research, Palm Harbor, Florida, U.S.A."

Page 372

1 Q It is.

2 A Oh, no. It's very inappropriate.

3 Q Okay.

4 A No, this should not be there. Nomination --  
5 normally, nomination is supposed to be -- to be very  
6 private. Sorry if I laugh.

7 Q No, that's all right. So you were not  
8 involved in posting this on Scientificethics.org.

9 A It's very damaging to me, very damaging,  
10 because that's what the Nobel Committee thinks: Oh,  
11 look at this; normally, it's supposed to be ultra  
12 secret, now it's --

13 Q You didn't redact the name and provide that  
14 nomination to the committee -- I mean, sorry, to  
15 Scientificethics.org?

16 A I'm sorry?

17 Q Did you redact --

18 A No, I don't know the nominations. They would  
19 never give it to me.

20 Q Okay.

21 A The nominee is supposed -- one of the most  
22 sacred request for nomination is that the nominee should  
23 not receive a copy.

24 Q Okay.

25 A Otherwise, it becomes -- no, no.

Page 374

1 That's the title. And the date is September 15th,  
2 2007.

3 THE WITNESS: Okay. That's good enough.  
4 Thank you.

5 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

6 THE WITNESS: What's the question, Attorney --  
7 Attorney Lake, please?

8 BY MR. LAKE:

9 Q Do you recognize this as a nomination of you  
10 for the Nobel Prize in Chemistry?

11 A Again, I'm -- nomination has been kept -- I  
12 know that there's a committee to nominate me for that.  
13 It has been active for a number of years. But again,  
14 one of the conditions for qualified nomination is that  
15 the nominee should not receive a copy.

16 Q Okay.

17 A However, I can tell you what's -- what's  
18 beyond this, this nomination, is another -- another --  
19 an equal battle also that has been flaring up in  
20 chemistry, however, not in physics. If you are  
21 interested, I'd be happy to tell you in a couple of  
22 words.

23 THE WITNESS: And also to you,  
24 Attorney Parrish.

25 MR. PARRISH: Okay. Let's let him ask

Page 375

1 questions and only answer the questions that he  
2 asks.

3 BY MR. LAKE:

4 Q Okay. Well, let me just ask you this. And I  
5 do appreciate the offer of that information, but I think  
6 for my purposes, it would be sufficient if I ask you  
7 about this.

8 There's a note in this document that, "The  
9 name of the distinguished scientist who filed the  
10 nomination and its affiliation have been removed because  
11 inessential."

12 My question is: We don't know, looking at  
13 this document, whether the nominator met the Nobel  
14 criteria, do we?

15 A I agree completely.

16 Q Okay.

17 A We don't even know whether this was actually  
18 filed at the Nobel Committee.

19 Q Okay.

20 A There is no evidence for that.

21 Q Okay. And would -- would you be concerned if  
22 Scientificethics.org is posting nominations that don't  
23 actually meet the Nobel Committee's standards?

24 A Not necessarily because they use it to  
25 denounce -- that is the technical point that we are not

Page 377

1 A But Michael Rodrigues -- Michael Rodrigues --  
2 there was an electrician, a technician was my employee  
3 as Michael Rodrigues.

4 Q Okay.

5 A But he is not -- he certainly cannot talk  
6 about the Einstein gravitation.

7 Q And he's not someone who would think less of  
8 you because of Pepijn van Erp's articles, is he?

9 A I do not know what's the context. I cannot --

10 Q Okay.

11 A The question is insufficient to provide any  
12 meaningful answer.

13 Q Okay.

14 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form of the last  
15 question.

16 BY MR. LAKE:

17 Q All right. Let me just ask you this question:  
18 To your knowledge, does Michael Rodrigues, the  
19 electrician who worked with you, think any less of you  
20 as a result of Pepijn van Erp's articles?

21 A He does not even know -- he doesn't even know  
22 about that. It's totally outside. He would never  
23 know -- know this.

24 Q So as far as you know, no, he does not think  
25 any less of you?

Page 376

1 discussing that may justify the action. I respect that  
2 if they did it, but as you know, I suspect what the  
3 author was this battle in chemistry that is the  
4 equivalent of what's going on in physics that has very  
5 likely stimulated this.

6 Q Okay.

7 A Okay. So the fact that I'm getting tired is  
8 good for you. Please don't -- don't --

9 THE WITNESS: Carla, are you okay?

10 MRS. SANTILLI: Yeah, I'm fine. I mean, I'm  
11 fine now.

12 BY MR. LAKE:

13 Q Dr. Santilli, there was a name in your  
14 interrogatory answers I was -- I'm not familiar with,  
15 and I was wondering if you could tell me who this person  
16 is, if you know. Michael Rodrigues, R-O-D-R-I-G-U-E-S.  
17 And he was listed as one of the people who thinks less  
18 of the plaintiff as they have had to deal with the  
19 consequences of the defamation by the defendants.

20 Do you know who Michael Rodrigues is?

21 A There is one Rodrigues that was a scientist in  
22 Brazil. He died many years ago.

23 Q Yes. Wilder -- Wilder A. Rodrigues, Jr. --

24 A Was Wilder -- Wilder Rodrigues.

25 Q Yes, Wilder --

Page 378

1 A Well, it depends. If in the event he knew --  
2 in the event he knew about the Pepijn van Erp, yes, he  
3 would be directly affected.

4 Q Well, I'm not asking you a hypothetical. I'm  
5 just asking if you know. Do you know that he thinks any  
6 less of you because of this event?

7 A Well, again, I respond to the same question.

8 In the event -- the person, as you know, there are many  
9 people who have the same name, including scientists. I  
10 mentioned one from Brazil. In the event that person  
11 that I don't know who -- his name knows about the  
12 defamation, yes, definitely, because when you throw mud,  
13 some of the mud sticks. That's why they do it.

14 Q Okay.

15 A That's why your -- your associate,  
16 Attorney Lake, they have done this attack.

17 MR. PARRISH: Just answer that question,  
18 Dr. Santilli.

19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. I will try to  
20 contain myself.

21 MR. PARRISH: All right.

22 THE WITNESS: I'll do my best. I have my  
23 limitations.

24 BY MR. LAKE:

25 Q I understand the possibility that you just

Page 379

1 described. But again, my question is simply: To your  
2 knowledge, does Michael Rodrigues, the electrician who  
3 worked with you, think --

4 A I don't know, but --

5 Q Let me finish the question, please, so I can  
6 get it out.

7 Does he think any less of you as a result of  
8 Pepijn van Erp's articles?

9 A Again, the question has no sense to me because  
10 Michael Rodrigues, the electrician, would never get  
11 involved on -- on -- he has no knowledge of -- has no  
12 knowledge of Pepijn van Erp. First of all, he was an  
13 electrician many, many years ago before he was with --

14 Number two, that -- number two, that he has  
15 no -- however, in the event Michael Rodrigues had known,  
16 indeed, about -- about my -- about the defamation, yes,  
17 he would start to doubt why there is all this -- all  
18 these attacks against -- against -- against Santilli?  
19 There must be some reason why. There must -- must be  
20 some reason.

21 Q Okay.

22 A So the answer is yes.

23 Q If he -- if he knew about the articles?

24 A If he knew, yes, of course.

25 Q But you're not -- to your knowledge, he

Page 381

1 Q I understand that charges -- article  
2 processing charges were paid to the Science Publishing  
3 Group for your article titled, "Apparent Detection via  
4 New Telescopes with Concave Lenses of Otherwise  
5 Invisible terrestrial Entities."

6 My question is who paid those charges?

7 A My understanding, but I am not sure, I have to  
8 check the records, that may have well have been paid by  
9 the foundation.

10 BY MR. LAKE:

11 Q And which foundation is that?

12 A Our foundation, Santilli Foundation.

13 Q Okay. R.M. Santilli Foundation?

14 A It's possible, but not certain. I have to  
15 do -- I have to do some accounting research before I can  
16 claim it for sure.

17 Q Okay.

18 A I know they were not paid by me and I know  
19 they were not paid by the Thunder Energies Corporation.  
20 That I know for sure. So the next-to-logical solution  
21 would be the Santilli Foundation.

22 Q Are you aware of that foundation paying  
23 article processing charges for other articles?

24 A Yes. There's been a number of articles in  
25 other journals.

Page 380

1 doesn't know about them?

2 A When you throw -- when you throw mud, some of  
3 the mud will stick.

4 Q Okay.

5 A Attorney Lake, please, if you mind, let's  
6 move -- move as fast as possible. It's getting late  
7 now.

8 Q I am doing what I can, Doctor.

9 A Yeah, but you -- if you could move a little  
10 bit faster, it will be appreciated.

11 Q Okay. We talked the other day about the  
12 article -- I'm sorry -- article processing charges that  
13 must be paid to Science Publishing Group.

14 A To all, all, all journals.

15 Q Well --

16 A Including --

17 Q -- the ones in particular I want to the talk  
18 about are Science Publishing.

19 A But with clear understanding that it is  
20 routine in science to pay for publication --

21 Q Okay.

22 A -- then yes.

23 Q But I want to talk about specifically the  
24 Science Publishing Group.

25 A Sure. Sure.

Page 382

1 Q That makes --

2 A It's not necessarily -- yes. Including  
3 charges -- go ahead, go ahead. Attorney Lake, please.

4 Q Yes, thank you.

5 What -- with regard to Mr. van Erp, who we've  
6 discussed -- well, I'm sorry. Let me ask about  
7 Frank Israel first.

8 With regard to Frank Israel, what evidence do  
9 you have that Frank Israel knew something in  
10 Mr. van Erp's articles was false?

11 A I don't understand the question.

12 Q Okay. That was a bad question. Let me ask it  
13 a better way.

14 What evidence do you have that Frank Israel  
15 believed a statement in Pepijn van Erp's articles was  
16 false?

17 A I don't think -- frankly, I don't understand  
18 the question. Frank Israel is the boss of van Erp and  
19 whatever -- so van Erp does what Frank Israel requests.  
20 He's paid by Frank Israel. So I don't understand the  
21 question.

22 Q Okay. I understand that's your opinion of  
23 their relationship. My question, though, is about -- if  
24 you know, and if you don't know, that's fine -- if you  
25 have information that indicates Frank Israel knew



Page 383

1 statements in those articles were false.  
2 A Again, the -- the -- let's see the evidence  
3 and then -- and then -- then from after seeing the  
4 evidence, we can reach conclusion.

5 The evidence is that the attacks against me  
6 are initiated by Frank Israel and they're initiated  
7 in -- in Dutch, the Dutch language, in his -- in his --  
8 in the website of -- the official website of the Skeptic  
9 Society of which he is directly responsible. The  
10 admission of van Erp in his own website, then those  
11 attacks are translated in English as well as in other  
12 language.

13 So the question as to whether van Erp acts in  
14 good faith or bad faith is -- is incomprehensible to me,  
15 when Israel is acting from order from this organized  
16 international organization on Einstein interests that  
17 they are paying your bills.

18 Q Okay.

19 A He just obeys. The question whether what  
20 van Erp says is true or false I think is irrelevant, not  
21 for that level of person that does that level of  
22 immoral, unethical conduct.

23 Q Okay. But to be clear, I'm asking about  
24 Frank Israel specifically and his knowledge.

25 A Yes, I know. I'm talking about Frank Israel.

Page 385

1 have translation from the Dutch --

2 Q Okay.

3 A -- to prove it with the date before -- exactly  
4 the same conduct, same statement. Van Erp just --  
5 van Erp translates those things, yes.

6 Q And do you have evidence that when those  
7 articles were written, Frank Israel knew they were  
8 false?

9 A Well, the -- that is -- that is what we intend  
10 to prove at -- but not to you, not now.

11 Q Okay.

12 A And during the trial. That is the evidence we  
13 will intend to prove in the trial, that they acted in a  
14 hundred percent bad faith. We have a rather massive  
15 document in that respect. But van Erp is totally  
16 outside. I could not understand the question because  
17 you mingle it intentionally with van Erp.

18 Q Okay.

19 A But, no, talking about Israel. And then, yes,  
20 the man acted -- he has been paid not in money, but paid  
21 in -- in academic -- with academic type of payoff to  
22 perform requests from this organized crime --

23 Q Okay.

24 A -- originated at Wikipedia.

25 THE WITNESS: And Wikipedia-Google complex on

Page 384

1 Q Okay. And I -- and what I think I heard you  
2 say is, let's see the evidence with regard to --

3 A As I told you there, Israel is originator of  
4 everything. Van Erp just follows.

5 Q Okay.

6 A So the question is inconsistent because you're  
7 asking is himself, is himself in doubt of his own  
8 article. I don't know the --

9 This is nonsense, Attorney Parrish. This  
10 is --

11 But Israel originates the article. Van Erp  
12 just translates them. So you are asking does Israel  
13 himself doubt about the validity of his own? This is --

14 Q Yes, that's my question.

15 A I'm starting to get nervous. I'm --

16 Q Dr. Santilli, that's my question.

17 A So you're asking Israel to himself, not by  
18 van Erp.

19 Q No. My question is --

20 A Van Erp translates. He's a translator.

21 Q I understand that's your theory with regard to  
22 the origins or the articles.

23 A What theory? This is evidence.

24 Q Okay.

25 A You must see the proof. The proof is -- we

Page 386

1 which, Attorney Parrish, I will ask you to -- ask  
2 you to ask me a question of which I was prohibited  
3 by Attorney Lake as well.

4 BY MR. LAKE:

5 Q Okay. And with regard to Mr. van Erp now  
6 specifically, not Frank Israel but Mr. van Erp, the  
7 evidence that he knew particular statements in his  
8 articles were false when he wrote them, that's evidence  
9 you plan to produce at trial?

10 A You heard -- you heard just Kadeisvili sending  
11 him all evidence regarding the experimental validity of  
12 magneucle and you see -- so he could care less. He's a  
13 paid mercenary.

14 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form of that last  
15 question.

16 BY MR. LAKE:

17 Q Okay. So with regard to Pepijn van Erp's  
18 knowledge that his articles were false, you would point  
19 to the Kadeisvili e-mails. Anything else?

20 A I will object to the word "articles." Those  
21 are blogs.

22 Q Okay.

23 A They're not articles, number one. And number  
24 two, those are clearly intentionally intended for the --  
25 to use slander and defamation that you never mentioned

Page 387

1 for your damage. And so those are -- those are  
2 organize -- those are acts of a very, very despicable  
3 conduct -- human conduct.

4 So you talk about to a person like that, you  
5 talk about -- about ethics. If you heard what he was  
6 called --

7 THE WITNESS: What he was called,  
8 Attorney Parrish? A moment ago you read the  
9 statement.

10 MR. PARRISH: I do. I cannot answer for you,  
11 Dr. Santilli.

12 THE WITNESS: No, no.

13 MR. PARRISH: If you don't remember right now,  
14 then you don't have to elaborate on it. You can  
15 just answer the question.

16 THE WITNESS: Okay. Let's go. But he was  
17 called a very bad name, like a -- like a liar and a  
18 cheater. So you ask a person like that to have  
19 ethics?

20 But the aim -- van Erp -- van Erp is a puppet.  
21 The whole problem was -- originated and continues  
22 to be conducted by -- by Israel. Israel is the --  
23 we did not -- for your knowledge, we did not  
24 originally file the lawsuit against Israel because  
25 we still did not have this evidence. We had other

Page 389

1 discovery requests. So I'd appreciate you looking  
2 into this and -- and getting us copies.

3 MRS. SANTILLI: What letters? What is this?  
4 My letters.

5 THE WITNESS: I hope there's a copy. Well, I  
6 hope we will --

7 MRS. SANTILLI: I mean, this is kind of --

8 THE WITNESS: Let's keep going, please.

9 MR. LAKE: Okay. And, Joe, if you'd just take  
10 that under advisement, I'm ready to move on, okay.  
11 See what we can -- see what you can find.

12 (Exhibit V128 was marked for identification.)

13 BY MR. LAKE:

14 Q Okay.

15 A There were many people who contacted Carla.  
16 Many people contacted Israel.

17 Q Okay. Let's -- let's -- let's move on. I  
18 have a document that we've marked V128 and I'd like to  
19 ask you a few questions about it, not in detail, but if  
20 you could just take a quick look at it and then I'll  
21 refer you to specific portions.

22 THE WITNESS: Attorney Parrish, could you --

23 MR. PARRISH: Sure.

24 THE WITNESS: -- please read me just the  
25 title?

Page 388

1 evidence of many letters addressed to Israel, but  
2 we had no evidence that he was responsible for the  
3 defamation. After we acquired the evidence, we  
4 filed -- we added him as a defendant.

5 BY MR. LAKE:

6 Q What letters are you referring to in  
7 particular?

8 A Well, a number of letters written to -- to  
9 Israel. They are requesting -- precisely requesting, as  
10 the head of the Skeptic Society, requesting him to come  
11 down, van Erp, on his extremely vulgar attack against --  
12 against Professor Santilli to win and to -- he acted in  
13 a very, very deplorable, deplorable way that -- but we  
14 knew those letters. We had copies, even when we filed  
15 against van Erp, but we had no evidence -- no evidence  
16 that he was responsible of the actual defamation.

17 So I repeat the second time. We added him as  
18 a defendant only after acquiring this very strong  
19 evidence against him as primarily and first responsible  
20 of the defamation and the slander.

21 Q And who wrote those letters?

22 A I cannot disclose it now. You will hear it  
23 during the trial.

24 MR. LAKE: Okay. Well, Joe, I believe those  
25 articles -- or those letters are responsive to our

Page 390

1 MR. PARRISH: Okay. This was, it looks like,  
2 a lawsuit in the Middle District of Florida.

3 THE WITNESS: Against?

4 MR. PARRISH: Against David Skorton, Cornell  
5 University, Roberto Petronzio, and several others,  
6 in 2008, August 20th, 2008. And it looks like this  
7 was the Fourth Amended Complaint in that lawsuit.

8 THE WITNESS: And I am the plaintiff?

9 MR. PARRISH: You are the plaintiff and  
10 you're -- yes, you're --

11 THE WITNESS: Yes, I remember the lawsuit. I  
12 read it, yes. What's this connection with the --  
13 with the lawsuit against van Erp -- van Erp and --

14 BY MR. LAKE:

15 Q I just have a couple of questions about it and  
16 then we can move on. You've confirmed one, that you  
17 recall the lawsuit and --

18 A Oh, yes, I did.

19 Q And you were representing yourself in this  
20 case, correct?

21 A Yes. I could file this lawsuit also pro se.

22 Q Okay.

23 A But in view of our trusted Attorney Parrish,  
24 we are very happy and honored to have his  
25 representation.

Page 391

1 Q Very good. Let me ask you about a couple of  
2 statements in the Complaint, and certainly Mr. Parrish  
3 could help you refer to them. I'm just going to read  
4 them.

5 A Could you do it quickly, please?

6 Q Yes. This is at the top --

7 A Go to the point. Just go to the point.

8 MR. LAKE: This is at the top of page 9,

9 Mr. Parrish, if you'd like to take a look.

10 MR. PARRISH: Sure.

11 BY MR. LAKE:

12 Q And, as you say, the defendants -- well, let  
13 me back up just to put it on the record.

14 The defendants in the lawsuit at the time that  
15 this Fourth Amended Complaint was filed were  
16 David Skorton, Cornell University, and then a number of  
17 people in Italy, an individual in New Jersey, and an  
18 entity in New York.

19 And the first new sentence at the top of  
20 page 9 says: "The defendants in this lawsuit have  
21 caused, by far, the biggest scientific, financial,  
22 academic, emotional and other damages to plaintiff via  
23 the attempted fraud of the paternity of his most  
24 important scientific contributions."

25 A I remember that statement.

Page 393

1 Q You asked --

2 A I don't see the connection with --

3 THE WITNESS: Attorney Parrish, this is --  
4 this is in excess of the -- of the question.

5 MR. PARRISH: Well, I mean, again, we have to  
6 give him some leeway to ask the question, and then  
7 we can object, you know, once the question is  
8 asked.

9 THE WITNESS: I know, but this can go on  
10 forever. This is a technical suit. I don't see  
11 the connection with this one.

12 BY MR. LAKE:

13 Q I have just a few more questions about this  
14 case and then we'll wrap up.

15 Paragraph 79, you asked Judge Merryday to  
16 grant a judgment in the plaintiff's favor for a  
17 financial compensation from defendant David J. Skorton  
18 of \$30,000,000, correct?

19 A If I recall correctly, if there was not a  
20 misprint in the number, yeah.

21 Q Well, it will does say \$30,000,000 written in  
22 numerals and then in parentheses the words "thirty  
23 million dollars."

24 A There is no point to debate.

25 Q Okay.

Page 392

1 Q And you told the truth when you said that to  
2 the Court, right?

3 A Of course.

4 Q Okay. Let me ask you about one other  
5 statement.

6 A I got the same -- the same damage from Israel  
7 and van Erp. That's why we sued.

8 Q On page 13, paragraph 44, it says: "The  
9 primary responsibility of this vial, unethical, illegal  
10 and immoral conduct by Cornell university" -- or sorry.

11 The Complaint refers to vial, unethical,  
12 illegal and immoral conduct by Cornell University.  
13 You've told the truth when you accused Cornell of that,  
14 didn't you?

15 A I'm sorry?

16 Q You told the truth when you accused Cornell of  
17 vial, unethical, illegal and immoral conduct?

18 A Yes, I do. I confirm it now. They correct  
19 it. As a result of this, they correct it, the reason  
20 why I filed the lawsuit. So I won the case by forcing  
21 Cornell to -- to withdraw extremely plagiaristic action  
22 against me and other -- and other action.

23 Q Now, looking over at paragraph 79, you -- on  
24 page 22, you asked --

25 A Oh, my God. This may take all day.

Page 394

1 A What was your question?

2 Q That is my question. You asked Judge Merryday  
3 to make the -- Mr. Skorton pay you \$30,000,000, correct?

4 A I remember, indeed, that I made a request for  
5 the -- not the million, but I remember this could be  
6 fabricated by you. But I remember I asked for the  
7 financial compensation, yes. That I remember, yes.

8 Q And then turning the page, you also asked for  
9 a judgment of \$30,000,000 to be paid by defendant, In --  
10 and forgive my Italian -- Istituto Nazionale Fisica --

11 A Yes.

12 Q -- Nucleare?

13 A Yes, yes, indeed. It is the equivalent of the  
14 National Science Foundation in Italy. That is correct.  
15 I don't know the amount and -- I do not remember the  
16 amount, but I remember asking financial compensation,  
17 yes, indeed.

18 Q Well, we obtained this from the court files.  
19 So you don't have any reason to doubt that the number is  
20 accurate?

21 A But I see a copy. A copy can be manipulated.

22 Q But as you sit here, you don't believe it had  
23 a different --

24 A No, the process was substantial, substantial.  
25 If I see more substantial things --

Page 395

1 THE WITNESS: Attorney Parrish, please help  
2 me.

3 MR. LAKE: Okay.

4 MR. PARRISH: What's wrong, Dr. Santilli?

5 THE WITNESS: Being totally and essentially  
6 sure now.

7 What's your question?

8 BY MR. LAKE:

9 Q My question is: Do you recall seeking an  
10 amount from --

11 A I already answered yes.

12 Q You -- Dr. Santilli, please let me get my  
13 question out so that --

14 A Sorry.

15 Q -- the court reporter can get it.

16 You do not recall seeking an amount other than  
17 \$30,000,000 from Istituto Nazionale Fisica Nucleare, do  
18 you?

19 A Yes, I remember clearly to ask for financial  
20 compensation. The amount I do not remember.

21 Q Okay. But you don't remember -- so you do not  
22 remember an amount other than \$30,000,000?

23 A My God, I already answered. I don't remember  
24 the amount.

25 Q Okay.

Page 397

1 Q Ferdinando di Orio, did I pronounce that  
2 right? He's one of the defendants in the case? Do you  
3 recall?

4 A Yes, he's one of the defendants I recall asked  
5 financial compensation, yes.

6 Q Okay. For \$30,000,000?

7 A I do not remember the amount, but I remember I  
8 asked financial compensation.

9 Q Okay.

10 A Don't ask the same question over and over  
11 again, please. This is a little bit of abuse. The  
12 authority to pound me is an abuse of your authority. I  
13 want to be on record. Please have this on record that I  
14 feel abuse. I feel I'm excessively pressured by abuse  
15 of authority by Attorney -- Attorney Lake on the  
16 question that I have no -- no real evidence whatsoever  
17 with slander and defamation of which I've been -- been a  
18 victim in the origin of this lawsuit.

19 (Exhibit V129 was marked for identification.)

20 BY MR. LAKE:

21 Q And finally, with regard to this litigation,  
22 Doctor, this is V129, and my -- although you're  
23 certainly welcome to review the entire document, my only  
24 question is about the last page, and I'll read it to  
25 you.

Page 396

1 A I'm getting nervous.

2 Q All right.

3 A You are pushing me too much.

4 Q In paragraph -- we are -- in paragraph 86, you  
5 asked Judge Merryday to order defendant Robert Petronzio  
6 to pay personally to the plaintiff the sum of  
7 \$30,000,000, correct?

8 A I remember again that I asked for the  
9 financial compensation. I do not remember the amount.

10 Q Okay.

11 MR. PARRISH: And just for the record, on  
12 number 86 it has the number 30,000 but then spells  
13 out 30 million.

14 MR. LAKE: Okay. Good point. Thanks, Joe.

15 THE WITNESS: That's my point that the  
16 thousand numbers could have been explained.

17 BY MR. LAKE:

18 Q Okay. And then we won't go through the  
19 others, but -- but I would note for the record, in  
20 paragraph 89 a university in Italy was asked to pay  
21 \$30,000,000. And in paragraph 93, a defendant  
22 Ferdinando -- maybe I need your help with this,  
23 Dr. Santilli, paragraph 93?

24 A It's okay. Whatever it is is true.

25 Whatever -- my answer is yes.

Page 398

1 The second paragraph: "Accordingly, the  
2 University of Aquila and INFN's motion is granted and  
3 the plaintiff's claims against the University of Aquila  
4 and INFN are dismissed. The University of Aquila and  
5 INFN are dismissed as parties to this action. Cornell's  
6 motion to dismiss is granted, and the remainder of this  
7 action is dismissed with prejudice. The clerk is  
8 directed to terminate any pending motion and close the  
9 case."

10 So Judge Merryday dismissed this lawsuit,  
11 correct?

12 A That is correct, yes.

13 Q Okay.

14 A But --

15 Q And you did not -- let me just ask the  
16 question.

17 A I'm sorry.

18 Q You were not awarded money damages in this  
19 case, were you?

20 A Not financial compensation, but I had full --  
21 full satisfaction. As a matter of fact, I did not  
22 appeal because I achieved full satisfaction, first of  
23 all, because the -- because Cornell University removed  
24 from -- from their archives extremely plagiaristic paper  
25 without copied even by word and equation by equation.

Page 399

1 They copied my -- my major discovery and never quoting  
2 me. They removed and they have never added those  
3 plagiarizing paper ever since because they are under --  
4 under continuous scrutiny.

5 And in Italy, the people in Italy were --  
6 were -- the Insituto -- Insituto -- Istituto Nazionale  
7 Fisica Nucleare, they terminated the academic position  
8 of the other people. So I have a thousand percent full  
9 satisfaction, and that's the only reason I did not  
10 appeal.

11 Q Dr. Santilli, the final things I want to ask  
12 you before we move to the Thunder Energies deposition,  
13 which I think will be very brief, is just about one  
14 other -- really just one other name that I came across.

15 Do you know somebody named Michael Sheppard?

16 A Not to my recollection, no.

17 Q Okay. That name doesn't mean anything to you?

18 A There are so many names.

19 Q I understand. I understand. I'm the same  
20 way.

21 Michael Sheppard, Doctor, is the name of the  
22 person who was killed in an accident at MagneGas two  
23 years ago. You recall that incident, don't you?

24 A Yes, I do.

25 Q Okay.

Page 400

1 A What does the accident have to do with the  
2 lawsuit?

3 Q That -- that accident was, obviously, very  
4 serious both for Mr. Sheppard and for the company,  
5 correct?

6 A But I want to object. This has nothing to do  
7 with --

8 THE WITNESS: Attorney Parrish, we are  
9 having -- we have a serious problem.

10 MR. PARRISH: I haven't heard any question.  
11 Was there a question that was presented?

12 MR. LAKE: Yes. I just asked if that accident  
13 was, obviously, very serious to --

14 THE WITNESS: Okay.

15 MR. LAKE: -- Mr. Sheppard and the company.

16 THE WITNESS: I was not a member of MagneGas  
17 Corporation. It occurred when I was not there.

18 Attorney Parrish --

19 MR. PARRISH: If you don't -- if you don't  
20 have any information about this, Dr. Santilli, or  
21 you don't know, then that's a perfectly answer  
22 if --

23 THE WITNESS: No, I had information then  
24 because I am -- even though I am no longer a member  
25 of MagneGas Corporation, I was the originator of

Page 401

1 the college, so I certainly know. My son and my  
2 daughter were officers there. Certainly I know.  
3 But the point is, this has nothing to do with my  
4 lawsuit.

5 MR. PARRISH: Can we go off?

6 THE WITNESS: No, I think -- I think -- I  
7 think we have a serious problem. If you want to  
8 pursue that line, we have a serious problem. I may  
9 elect to go, to leave.

10 Attorney Parrish, I may elect -- let's go to  
11 the judge and let the judge decide whether --  
12 whether to pursue that argument.

13 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, if you personally  
14 want to refuse to answer that question, you have  
15 the right to do that, and then there will -- we'll  
16 have to go before the judge on that issue. So if  
17 you --

18 THE WITNESS: I will be delighted to go, very  
19 anxious to go in front of the judge.

20 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

21 THE WITNESS: Then I can denounce unnecessary  
22 pressure by the attorney. I will be denouncing --

23 MR. PARRISH: That's your right to refuse to  
24 answer to question. I'm not advising you to do  
25 that, but that is your right to do. And if you

Page 402

1 want to do that, then we can go -- you know, then  
2 if Mr. Lake makes the proper motion, then we can  
3 have a judge decide that issue.

4 THE WITNESS: Now, what is the question to  
5 begin with? Because I have not heard that. Just  
6 that you had raised an issue that has no connection  
7 whatsoever with -- to confirming this pattern, this  
8 pattern of letting discovery in the field totally  
9 with no -- no visual connection whatsoever to our  
10 lawsuit for defamation and slander.

11 But what is your question? I want to give  
12 you -- I want to give you a chance to pose the  
13 question and I will judge whether you deserve an  
14 answer or not.

15 BY MR. LAKE:

16 Q Okay. I don't think that's how this works,  
17 but I will ask you the questions, and as -- and I will  
18 proffer them is the word lawyers use, and if you refuse  
19 to answer them, we can deal with that with the court if  
20 need be.

21 My question was: The accident at MagneGas was  
22 clearly a very serious matter for Mr. Sheppard and the  
23 company, wasn't it?

24 A It is such an obvious question. There was --  
25 there's been --

Page 403

1 MR. PARRISH: Just answer it. If it's an  
2 obvious question --  
3 THE WITNESS: Yes.  
4 MR. PARRISH: -- just answer those.  
5 THE WITNESS: I mean, this is obvious. Yes.  
6 A person died by an accident.  
7 BY MR. LAKE:  
8 Q And it caused harm to the company that there  
9 was a fatal accident at its facility, yes?  
10 A No, not -- not to the company, no, because --  
11 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form of the  
12 question. You can continue to answer.  
13 A No, but just looking at the stock, stock  
14 remains totally unchanged. No, there was no consequence  
15 whatsoever because it was an accident caused by the guy.  
16 It was -- there was a committee --  
17 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, just answer the  
18 question only, please.  
19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Sorry. Sorry.  
20 Sorry. But I have to say that the company --  
21 MR. PARRISH: Just answer -- just answer the  
22 question.  
23 THE WITNESS: Okay. Go ahead. Sorry.  
24 BY MR. LAKE:  
25 Q So your view is that the accident that killed

Page 404

1 Mr. Sheppard was less harmful to the company than  
2 Pepijn van Erp's blog?  
3 A What do you mean "less harmful?"  
4 Pepijn van Erp caused a collapse of the stock.  
5 MR. PARRISH: I object to the form of the last  
6 question.  
7 A Pepijn van Erp caused a collapse of the stock  
8 and -- as you heard from the testimony from the chief  
9 executive of MagneGas, but that caused the financial  
10 loss. The death of that gentleman, which is very -- he  
11 was a very nice gentleman that -- no, it caused no harm  
12 whatsoever because the company was not responsible.  
13 BY MR. LAKE:  
14 Q Okay. And the -- and have you -- do you have  
15 any financial analysis or reports connecting  
16 Pepijn van Erp's blogs to the decline in value of  
17 MagneGas stock?  
18 A That's your --  
19 MR. PARRISH: Object to the form.  
20 A -- objective. It was an entire -- you have to  
21 ask this to the chief executive, not to -- this happened  
22 after I left the company.  
23 BY MR. LAKE:  
24 Q Okay.  
25 A This is another question, very insidious in

Page 405

1 which I believe does not deserve --  
2 MR. PARRISH: Dr. Santilli, just answer the  
3 question.  
4 BY MR. LAKE:  
5 Q You do not have any reports like that?  
6 A And I was out of the company, so --  
7 Q I'm not asking for an explanation. It's just  
8 a yes-or-no question.  
9 A No.  
10 Q If you don't have any, that's fine.  
11 MR. LAKE: Okay. Dr. Santilli, I no longer  
12 have -- I have no more questions for you  
13 individually.  
14 Joe, I don't know if you want to proceed now  
15 or proceed with Thunder.  
16 MR. PARRISH: Well, I -- I still have --  
17 MR. LAKE: Right.  
18 MR. PARRISH: -- to cross. So at this point,  
19 yeah, I would still like to cross.  
20 MR. LAKE: Sure.  
21 MR. PARRISH: So --  
22 THE WITNESS: I would like to add, however,  
23 there is one clarification, because I have -- I  
24 have stock. I personally own stock at MagneGas  
25 Corporation, and I know that -- I know that it

Page 406

1 suffered significant financial losses out of that  
2 stock. It was reported. On this we -- we have a  
3 separate -- separate documentation --  
4 MR. LAKE: Okay.  
5 THE WITNESS: -- for this.  
6 But this is -- but, now, the question is if I  
7 have documentation in general for the company. So  
8 what I want to clarify and be on record, that I, as  
9 the plaintiff, I have record of my personal losses,  
10 financial losses that they are caused by Israel  
11 first and this -- and this employee, van Erp. It  
12 was in their attack of the -- of MagneGas  
13 Corporation. That I have as far as my personal  
14 losses, yes. This will be presented in due course  
15 during the trial.  
16 MR. PARRISH: Thank you.  
17 MR. LAKE: I'm sorry, Joe. I have to ask a  
18 follow-up question --  
19 MR. PARRISH: I know.  
20 MR. LAKE: -- now that that's been offered.  
21 BY MR. LAKE:  
22 Q The documents you're referring to, I think I  
23 know what it means. Is this a chart that shows the  
24 stock price --  
25 A I don't have any --

Page 407

1 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry.

2 THE WITNESS: (Inaudible) -- by profession.

3 Sorry.

4 BY MR. LAKE:

5 Q Yeah. Let me finish the question so we can  
6 get it down.

7 My question is: Are you referring to a chart  
8 perhaps permitted -- printed from Yahoo Finance that  
9 shows the decline of the stock price over time?

10 A It's a compilation of numbers by experts in  
11 the field. I cannot answer.

12 Q Okay.

13 A It could be -- it could be part of the -- it  
14 could be part of the analysis, yes.

15 Q Okay. And --

16 A It's incomplete at this moment. It will be  
17 completed by the time of the trial --

18 Q Okay.

19 A -- and presented at the trial. You will  
20 receive a copy in advance.

21 Q Okay. And who is preparing that analysis?

22 A I have by appropriate -- by appropriate -- by  
23 a qualified company --

24 Q Okay.

25 A -- an expert in the field.

Page 409

1 with color copies.

2 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

3 THE WITNESS: I think it was there in that  
4 pile before.

5 MR. PARRISH: No, not -- not what I'm looking  
6 for. It's different.

7 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.

8 MR. PARRISH: Hold on. I may have a copy,  
9 too. Let me just --

10 No, those are ones that I don't have because  
11 we didn't have extra copies.

12 MR. LAKE: Joe, I don't mind giving you my  
13 copy. It does have my handwriting on it, so it's  
14 not the label, but I think --

15 MR. PARRISH: Okay. That's fine. It's  
16 just -- just for reference purposes anyway.

17 MR. LAKE: Yes.

18 BY MR. PARRISH:

19 Q I believe this is a printout. This is V42 and  
20 this was a printout of an award.

21 A Yes.

22 Q And I believe that you had said you wanted to  
23 comment further on this award and its -- its validity.

24 A Yes.

25 Q Okay. So what would you like to say about

Page 408

1 Q What's the name of that company?

2 A I -- we don't have that yet. We have yet to  
3 hire them.

4 Q Okay.

5 A We have plenty of time to hire them.

6 MR. LAKE: Okay. All right. That's all I  
7 have.

8 THE WITNESS: You will receive copies in  
9 advance. Don't worry. We are straight people. We  
10 are not Israel and van Erp.

11 MR. LAKE: That's all I have, Joe. Thanks.

12 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

13 CROSS-EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. PARRISH:

15 Q Dr. Santilli, there's been a number of  
16 questions that have been asked of you over a two-day  
17 period of time, both Monday and then today. So I just  
18 want to go through a few things that I know you wanted  
19 to clarify on the record, the first being a picture that  
20 was provided in which you received an award, and it  
21 was -- I believe it was V42.

22 MR. PARRISH: Do we have the actual exhibits?

23 You know, that's a problem because Aaron, I think,  
24 had them.

25 MR. LAKE: You know what? I have a binder

Page 410

1 that award?

2 A Well, the episode surrounding this award, it  
3 being an important -- has been the last straw that  
4 mandated us to file -- to file the lawsuit, first of  
5 all, because van Erp claimed that I faked -- that I  
6 faked the signature of three -- the three organizers.  
7 There are three organizers here, that I faked the  
8 signature.

9 The problem is that -- that I received this  
10 award during the banquet and in the presence of the  
11 organizer and everybody.

12 Q All right. And I think -- I think what was  
13 missing was that picture from that exhibit.

14 Was there something with regards to this  
15 picture here that I don't believe was entered as part of  
16 the exhibit that you wanted to talk about in that  
17 picture that's significant?

18 A Yes. Namely, how could I possibly have  
19 faked -- faked an award -- an award? But --

20 Q Well, tell me how that picture helps to show  
21 that you did not fake the award.

22 A Because I could not possibly. Here is one.  
23 The organizer is sitting at my table, so -- and I am --  
24 I am showing this award. So how can I possibly show  
25 with the organizer that I faked their signature? I

Page 411

1 mean, it is -- it is absolutely nonsense.  
 2 Q Can I have a copy of that picture?  
 3 A Yes, the whole thing.  
 4 Q Okay. And do you have an extra copy of this  
 5 picture just for Mr. Lake?  
 6 A Yes, I do. Here it is. Here it is with the  
 7 award and a copy. There are several. In -- in our  
 8 response to -- to Israel, I think there is a much bigger  
 9 documentation of many -- many additional pictures of  
 10 the -- of the award ceremony. But there is more, more  
 11 that happened.  
 12 Q More that happened with regards to the  
 13 ceremony that you want to talk about?  
 14 A Yes.  
 15 Q Okay. Let's do this real quickly because I  
 16 noticed your -- your -- you've got a different copy of  
 17 the award than what was actually entered in --  
 18 A Oh, they're all identical.  
 19 Q I know it's identical as far as everything  
 20 that's on it, but it was -- it's a different printout.  
 21 So let's just enter this as a separate exhibit. So  
 22 we'll enter this as Exhibit V --  
 23 A As you wish.  
 24 Q -- V130. And we'll enter it as a composite  
 25 exhibit with the award and then the photograph attached.

Page 412

1 Okay?  
 2 A The award is one and only one.  
 3 Q I understand that the award is one. I  
 4 understand that. Just, this is just a separate exhibit  
 5 number for the same award as a different printout.  
 6 A Yes.  
 7 MR. PARRISH: So we'll just -- we'll just  
 8 attach it as V130.  
 9 And, Mr. Lake, there's a copy for you. I did  
 10 take the staple out, so I apologize.  
 11 MR. LAKE: That's all right.  
 12 THE WITNESS: Incidentally, in this picture,  
 13 the signature is not visible because it was very,  
 14 very light, but we have the original --  
 15 MR. PARRISH: Okay.  
 16 THE WITNESS: -- in which you can see -- you  
 17 can see the signature.  
 18 BY MR. PARRISH:  
 19 Q There is a signature -- oh, I can see it a  
 20 little bit, that it's very faint on --  
 21 A It's very, very faint.  
 22 Q Okay.  
 23 A But there are three -- there were three  
 24 signatures, not only one.  
 25 Q Okay. We'll go ahead and put the sticker on

Page 413

1 here.  
 2 A I want to be on record that all -- all three  
 3 of them signed the award.  
 4 (Exhibit V130 was marked for identification.)  
 5 BY MR. PARRISH:  
 6 Q Okay. And who was that -- that one that has  
 7 the really light signature, who is -- who is that?  
 8 A He is one of the organizers.  
 9 Q Do you know the name? It's Svetlin Georgiev?  
 10 A Yes.  
 11 Q Is that how you pronounce it?  
 12 A I think that's his -- that's his picture. His  
 13 picture is here, one of the three organizers. There is  
 14 another -- another award in his hand.  
 15 Q Okay. And also, I just want to ask, how do  
 16 you pronounce this, Svet --  
 17 A Georgiev. Georgiev.  
 18 Q Georgiev?  
 19 A Yes.  
 20 MR. PARRISH: Okay. And that's spelled  
 21 G-E-O-R-G-I-E-V for the record.  
 22 BY MR. PARRISH:  
 23 Q And as a matter of fact, there was a certain  
 24 publication that he had written that talked about some  
 25 of your studies; is that correct?

Page 414

1 A Yes. Yes.  
 2 Q Okay. You don't have to get it out. You  
 3 don't have to get it out.  
 4 A Well --  
 5 Q No, no.  
 6 A Well, I want to show because there is also --  
 7 there is also --  
 8 THE WITNESS: Carla, also there's a --  
 9 MRS. SANTILLI: I'm looking.  
 10 THE WITNESS: -- promotional page.  
 11 MRS. SANTILLI: There it is. There it is.  
 12 THE WITNESS: No. This is --  
 13 MRS. SANTILLI: We already entered this.  
 14 MR. PARRISH: Yes, I think that we did.  
 15 THE WITNESS: The promotional page.  
 16 MRS. SANTILLI: I'm looking for it.  
 17 THE WITNESS: The promotional page. This is  
 18 the first volume of six volumes that he wrote.  
 19 MR. PARRISH: Okay.  
 20 THE WITNESS: He's one of the best published  
 21 in all of scientific in America --  
 22 MR. PARRISH: Okay.  
 23 THE WITNESS: -- on the iso-differential  
 24 calculus. That's my title. I am -- I am the  
 25 originator of -- he had put my name in the title.



Page 415

1 I asked him not to do it because he has made such a  
2 big contribution.  
3 MR. PARRISH: Okay. I appreciate that. We've  
4 already entered this in as evidence, so --  
5 MRS. SANTILLI: Yes, we did, we did.  
6 MR. PARRISH: We don't need to re-enter it.  
7 MRS. SANTILLI: That's what I mean.  
8 MR. PARRISH: We don't need to --  
9 THE WITNESS: But there's something I saw, one  
10 of the organizers of this picture.  
11 MR. PARRISH: Okay. Wait. Stop just a  
12 second.  
13 Carla, I need you to sit here and just let --  
14 MRS. SANTILLI: I know. You just gave me  
15 this.  
16 MR. PARRISH: I understand. But let me  
17 conduct my part of the deposition because you're  
18 still talking over the top of me and she can't take  
19 that down.  
20 MRS. SANTILLI: No, we understand. I'm sorry.  
21 MR. PARRISH: Okay.  
22 THE WITNESS: Thank you. I appreciate it.  
23 BY MR. PARRISH:  
24 Q All right. So just stick with me on just the  
25 questions I'm asking. Okay?

Page 417

1 Institute of Physics.  
2 And prior to that, you should know that I  
3 was -- I was the -- prior to that, you should know some  
4 of the other action by this -- by those people. I don't  
5 know if I have it here. Let me see if I do. Because  
6 the actions I've been suffering by those people are  
7 truly unbelievable, unbelievable.  
8 I don't know where I put it now. It's so  
9 much. But there was another conference and -- I  
10 apologize.  
11 Q Just tell us about it, Dr. Santilli, if you --  
12 if you would.  
13 A Well, there was -- there has been another  
14 series -- series of international meetings in the --  
15 by -- by a scientific organization in -- in -- in the  
16 Island of Rhodes in -- in Greece, and -- and in one of  
17 them attended by Nobel Prize, I was also -- several  
18 times I was the keynote speaker. I gave before the  
19 scheduled talks of that meeting that had all sorts of --  
20 all sorts of -- all sorts of -- well, most of the --  
21 most of the talks had my name in the title.  
22 And, now, van Erp had already contacted --  
23 Israel actually already contacted Robert Brown, and what  
24 Robert Brown did is he trapped the organizer -- of the  
25 organizer of that conference that in the event they will

Page 416

1 A Please.  
2 Q You said that you wanted to expand upon this  
3 award and also have that photograph attached to it, so  
4 we've done that.  
5 Is there anything more that you think is  
6 important that has not already been said about that  
7 award?  
8 A Yes.  
9 Q Okay. Please elaborate on that.  
10 A Per -- per -- per answer to our interrogatory  
11 by van Erp, van Erp, he admitted that van Erp and Israel  
12 contacted Robert -- Robert Brown, the president of the  
13 American Institute of Physics. And as a result of  
14 this -- and they depicted me as a fraud, as slander, and  
15 he depicted in a horrendous way to the -- with the  
16 president of the American Institute of Physics.  
17 As a result of this, the American Institute of  
18 Physics requested officially that this -- that my award  
19 that had already been issued should be replaced with  
20 another award in which the name of the American  
21 Institute of Physics be removed from this list. And  
22 this is a list of all the -- all the -- of all the  
23 sponsors. And the organizer strongly rejected --  
24 rejected this request. That's why I still have -- this  
25 work is listed as is with endorsement by the American

Page 418

1 allow me, after this enormous success of all the  
2 speeches by scientists from three continents, putting my  
3 name in the title, after this enormous success which I  
4 showed to you before, I gave you as part of the -- then  
5 if in the event they allow me as -- for additional -- to  
6 be part of additional meeting, the American Institute of  
7 Physics will stop the publication of the proceedings of  
8 these meetings. And therefore, in this case, the  
9 congress would be closed because it's based on the  
10 publication by the -- of the proceeding by the --  
11 And this was an additional -- an additional  
12 enormous emotional and -- emotional, financial and  
13 scientific damage that I personally received,  
14 organized -- intentionally organized by Israel and by --  
15 by -- and by van Erp depicting me to Robert Brown as a  
16 schemer, a pyramid scheme, a fraud and lie and  
17 horrendous things and -- and -- but this was after --  
18 after I had such an enormous success.  
19 At the last meetings, virtually more than  
20 50 percent of the speeches, if you recall, have my name  
21 in the titles. But that's exactly what the organized  
22 crime did not want. They want me to -- once they saw  
23 the success, they said Santilli has got to be stopped  
24 because it's too damaging to the money, to the -- to the  
25 schemes against America.

Page 419

1 Q All right. I wanted to follow up on  
2 Exhibit V47, and I'm going to help you so that you can  
3 see that.

4 MR. PARRISH: And, Mr. Lake, if you do not  
5 have a copy of that, please let me know.

6 MR. LAKE: I have it.

7 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

8 BY MR. PARRISH:

9 Q And that was what was alleged to be an e-mail  
10 from -- well, it had an e-mail address from  
11 Luca@scientificethics.org.

12 Can you -- right next to it, I'm just going to  
13 read it. It says "From" and then it's got J-O-S-E with  
14 a bracket, H, space, and then P-A-R-R-I-S-H. Now --

15 A How would you pronounce it?

16 Q Well, it's got a symbol in there instead of a  
17 letter, so I'm not real sure, but I think it's attempted  
18 to spell my name, but instead, it's got a bracket and  
19 it's misspelled.

20 And then it goes "To" and then it says the  
21 capital letter "L" J-O-S-E-P-H, space, Parrish, my last  
22 name. And then afterwards, for the e-mail address, it's  
23 got Joseph.Parrish@, and then we don't know what the  
24 after -- after the "@" sign is.

25 But do you recognize that as my e-mail that

Page 421

1 that video?

2 A I think it was the one that was shown before.

3 Q Yes. In there you said there were certain  
4 technical photos that you wanted to show or technical  
5 aspects with photos that you wanted to show that were --  
6 were part of that video that you didn't get a chance to  
7 show. Do you still have those?

8 A I'll try to locate them.

9 Q It might be -- do you know --

10 A Yes, I do. I have found it, yes.

11 Q Okay.

12 A Yes, I do. Here it is. Yes.

13 Q There were certain things that you wanted to  
14 say about those photos. So at this point, let's go  
15 ahead and enter them in as Exhibit V131.

16 A First -- yeah, first I would like to enter  
17 this exhibit and then pass to that comment.

18 Q Okay. So we have -- let me have this so we  
19 can --

20 A There are two exhibits I would like to enter.

21 MR. PARRISH: Okay. We'll attach this as  
22 V131. We have to give a copy to Mr. Lake.

23 THE WITNESS: Yes, of course. This is for  
24 you. Let me make sure this is the one. Yes.

25 MR. PARRISH: Do you have any more stickers?

Page 420

1 you've -- you've communicated to me before?

2 A No, I don't know.

3 Q Okay.

4 A However, remember, you were already our  
5 attorney at that time. The information had been  
6 propagated around.

7 Q Correct. All right. That's all I have about  
8 that.

9 THE WITNESS: Attorney Lake, this is for you  
10 in the case if you want it.

11 MR. LAKE: I've got it.

12 BY MR. PARRISH:

13 Q He's got a copy.

14 A Oh, he's got it?

15 Q Yes, so just leave that. All right.

16 MR. PARRISH: Just give me a second as I go  
17 through my notes.

18 MR. LAKE: Sure.

19 THE WITNESS: It's already a quarter after  
20 four.

21 BY MR. PARRISH:

22 Q Dr. Santilli, there was a video shown to you  
23 and it had -- I believe in that video, it had Dr. Gaines  
24 in that video as well. It was a promotional video by --  
25 or it was a video by Thunder Energies. Do you remember

Page 422

1 MR. LAKE: Yes. Let me just give you those.

2 MR. PARRISH: Okay. Thank you.

3 THE WITNESS: First I need the --

4 MR. PARRISH: Okay. Hold on just a second.  
5 Let me mark it.

6 THE WITNESS: No problem. No problem. No  
7 need to rush.

8 (Exhibit V131 was marked for identification.)

9 MR. PARRISH: So we're going to attach this as  
10 V131. And then we'll take this --

11 THE WITNESS: And this is for Attorney Lake.

12 MR. PARRISH: Okay. And then this will be  
13 V132.

14 (Exhibit V132 was marked for identification.)

15 BY MR. PARRISH:

16 Q So there's V131 and then here is V132. Okay?

17 A Okay.

18 Q All right.

19 A Now, first -- first I would like to bring -- I  
20 want to have it on record that this -- this is the --  
21 this is the bottom of the main page of the website of  
22 Thunder Energies Corporation and the bottom part that  
23 describes some of the literature on ITE -- I, and  
24 Thomas, and E as Eagle -- and invisible terrestrial  
25 entities, and it shows -- first it shows scientific

Page 423

1 literature, and then below, it shows independent --  
 2 independent -- independent certification.  
 3 So just below -- just below my article of  
 4 the -- in the American Journal of Modern Physics on the  
 5 ITE, there was an independent certification that I  
 6 already gave you. This is by -- this is by  
 7 Kyle Brinkman, president of the St. Petersburg -- who  
 8 has detected -- who detected.

9 Now, here I want to be on record of accusing  
 10 Israel and van Erp of trade dishonesty because they --  
 11 they did attack my article, but they completely ignored,  
 12 ignored this independent certification because just the  
 13 mention of this independent certification -- this is  
 14 already on record, remember, with his observatory and  
 15 he's a professional. Just the mention of this  
 16 independent verification and the detection of ITE will  
 17 disqualify the slander and -- will qualify that slander  
 18 and defamation have been a real active scientific crime.  
 19 This is point number one I want to be on record.

20 Point number two, why I want to pass to this  
 21 evidence --

22 Q Okay. Now we're -- now we're going to  
 23 Exhibit V132.

24 A And we go to this article that has been so  
 25 strongly criticized by this -- well, criticized, no --

Page 425

1 And finally, I want to be on record that the  
 2 attack by -- it is one of the reasons that we filed this  
 3 lawsuit. We want to be on record because -- because  
 4 those -- those images, the images of this -- this  
 5 serious object on all those images are over 15 seconds  
 6 of time exposure. So there is a view of 15 seconds of  
 7 what this object was doing. And this was over an  
 8 Italian study that I am not at liberty to disclose here.

9 But -- so, therefore, I believe that  
 10 discredited -- discrediting me from presenting this  
 11 evidence, incontrovertible evidence, is damage -- is a  
 12 direct damage to an issue pertaining of the national --  
 13 national security, direct damage by foreigners paid by  
 14 Americans from California and elsewhere.

15 Q Okay.

16 A And this is -- those are the points I want to  
 17 be -- to be on record and --

18 Q Okay. Great. If you'll just put the exhibits  
 19 back up here so that we can keep those with the record.

20 A Those are -- this is yours or mine?

21 Q No. This is going to stay right here. This  
 22 is going to be with the court reporter.

23 A Okay.

24 Q Okay. There was some discussion about other  
 25 authors that attach your name to the title of their

Page 424

1 this slander, the slander. I want to go to these  
 2 pictures.

3 Q Okay. We're looking at page 51 of V132.

4 A Okay. Now, it is a technical issue -- it is  
 5 the following technical issue, that -- that -- that you  
 6 see those pictures here, any professional photographer  
 7 or optician, even a scientist, any physicist, any  
 8 physicist who knows a minimum -- minimum about light can  
 9 indicate that those -- those -- that those pictures  
 10 cannot be fake. Why so? Because every light has -- has  
 11 a diffraction.

12 So -- so you see -- look at the edge there.  
 13 The edges of the image have no diffraction whatsoever,  
 14 none at all. So any technician in good faith, not those  
 15 guys in bad faith, but any physicist in good faith has  
 16 to admit that this is not ordinary light. This is  
 17 totally unknown in the physics world because of --

18 Let me put it in a different way. When you  
 19 see a shadow, the shadow you see. When there is the  
 20 solar eclipse, the moon becomes blood red. So what does  
 21 that mean? Because light has a diffraction. It goes to  
 22 the back even when it doesn't reach directly, but there  
 23 is a part of light that moves, refracts. So the edges  
 24 are not sharp in the light that we know. These are  
 25 extremely sharp.

Page 426

1 work --

2 A This is what I meant. Sorry for interrupting.

3 Q That's okay.

4 A This is what I mentioned before, yes.

5 Q Yeah, okay. So let me -- Dr. Santilli, let  
 6 me -- let me get there. I know you're excited about  
 7 talking about this. I just need to set the predicate  
 8 for the record.

9 Okay. So you did talk about different  
 10 scientists that include your name in the title of their  
 11 work, correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And you wanted to discuss that in further  
 14 detail, and I think you wanted to attach these different  
 15 things as an exhibit. So I'm going to give these to you  
 16 and I'm going to have you identify them and then what we  
 17 can do is attach them as an exhibit.

18 Do you have a second copy for Mr. Lake?

19 A Yes. We passed it before.

20 Q Did we already provide --

21 A I don't have it anymore, but we already gave  
 22 it to him.

23 Q Okay. I don't know that he -- I don't know if  
 24 he kept it or not.

25 MR. LAKE: I don't think so, but if you want

Page 427

1 to proceed, we'll get it at some point.

2 MR. PARRISH: Okay. We can proceed, then,  
3 without.

4 BY MR. PARRISH:

5 Q So what I'm going to do is -- first of all,  
6 I'll -- we're just going to go ahead and mark these all  
7 separately.

8 So this is -- this is -- which one,  
9 Dr. Santilli, do you think you need to describe first,  
10 this or this photograph?

11 A Well, they are related because this is the  
12 same meeting --

13 Q Okay.

14 A -- the same meeting where this is the  
15 schedule, the list of all talks of these meetings at --  
16 scientific meetings in which I receive a prize, which is  
17 shown here, an award, in the presence of all  
18 participants. And this is the -- and this is the list  
19 of -- list of talks in which most of them contain my  
20 title in the --

21 Q Okay.

22 A This -- this I would like -- sorry to  
23 interrupt. This is also after this enormous success  
24 that --

25 Q Dr. Santilli, let me stop you real quickly

Page 429

1 name of the exhibit, that would be great.

2 A And this is what we discussed before, namely,  
3 after the enormous success, the scientific success  
4 demonstrated by the list of talks in Exhibit --

5 Q V134?

6 A -- 134 in which the scientists from three  
7 continents put my name in the title, this -- this is  
8 what triggered Israel and van Erp to -- to stop the  
9 success. And the way they did it, by -- by contacting  
10 Robert Brown, president of the Medical Institute of  
11 Physics, a known Einstein fanatic. He has a very  
12 significant reputation for that. And so then they --  
13 that's why I am the victim of an organized scientific  
14 crime in -- that includes not only Israel, he's just the  
15 last -- the last known operator, Robert -- and even  
16 Robert Brown, president of the American Institute of  
17 Physics, used -- threatened to organize -- here is the  
18 organizer, Professor Simos, and this is -- and -- and he  
19 threatened this key organizer.

20 Q And you're referring to V135 now, correct, the  
21 photograph?

22 A Yes, the photograph. Thank you.

23 Q Okay.

24 A He threatened Professor Simos so they would  
25 stop -- the American Institute of Physics would stop

Page 428

1 because we're trying to keep a record here. And when  
2 you say "this and this and this," the court reporter  
3 doesn't know which document you're talking about --

4 A I'm sorry.

5 Q -- so it doesn't come out properly. So let's  
6 mark these as an exhibit and then --

7 A Sure.

8 Q -- I can allow you to talk about them so that  
9 we can identify them.

10 A Please.

11 MR. PARRISH: Okay. So we're going to mark  
12 this photograph as V133.

13 (Exhibit V133 was marked for identification.)

14 MR. PARRISH: And then we'll mark this list as  
15 V134. Okay?

16 (Exhibit V134 was marked for identification.)

17 BY MR. PARRISH:

18 Q And so now, whenever you say a certain thing,  
19 you can refer to have it as V133 or V134 and it comes  
20 out on the record. Okay?

21 A Thank you. Very --

22 Q All right.

23 A Very professional. Thank you.

24 Q Okay. So go ahead and continue as you want to  
25 talk, and then just if you could discuss them by the

Page 430

1 then the publication -- the publication of -- of the  
2 proceeding of this meeting.

3 MR. LAKE: I'm sorry. Is it 135 or 133?

4 MR. PARRISH: The photograph is 135.

5 MR. LAKE: Oh, I'm sorry.

6 MR. PARRISH: And the list is 134.

7 MR. LAKE: Thank you.

8 THE WITNESS: Incidentally, the intervention  
9 by the American Institute of Physics is very  
10 illegal abuse. Here is an individual who abuses  
11 the credibility, the power of an American  
12 institution to serve organize scientific crime on  
13 Einstein.

14 That was also prompted -- to my knowledge, the  
15 letter that was mentioned, was indicated before,  
16 that was saying organized Jewish scientific crime,  
17 it was situated -- I do not remember the number  
18 of -- the number of that --

19 And the bottom line, what I want to -- want to  
20 report -- to report is that the American Institute  
21 of Physics eventually got to its senses, at least  
22 in part, and Robert Brown was terminated -- has  
23 been terminated as member -- as president of the  
24 American Institute of Physics because of his  
25 misconduct, namely, an abuse -- an abuse of a

Page 431

1 beautiful American -- American institution, which  
2 is the American Institute of Physics, to serve  
3 strictly un-American interests in suppression of  
4 scientific -- extremely -- the suppression of  
5 scientific democracy for qualified inquiry because  
6 this list of speeches establish incontrovertibly my  
7 qualification and the value of research.

8 The problem, however, none of those articles  
9 was compatible with Einstein, and that's why it  
10 triggered this organized reaction and which is the  
11 problem at the bottom of all of them.

12 BY MR. PARRISH:

13 Q All right. Well, I thank you for expanding on  
14 that.

15 Okay. So now we're going to move to what  
16 we'll mark as Composite V135, and I'll let you go ahead  
17 and explain to us what this composite exhibit is.

18 MR. LAKE: V136?

19 MR. PARRISH: I'm sorry. Are we at -- I think  
20 we're at V135. This was -- the picture was V133.

21 MR. LAKE: Okay.

22 MR. PARRISH: The articles were V134.

23 MR. LAKE: Okay. Thank you. I think -- I  
24 think the record might need to be corrected on  
25 that.

Page 433

1 And -- and the -- and at the end of -- during  
2 the banquet, the three Nobel committee -- the Nobel  
3 laureates as well as the Chinese, they all -- they were  
4 so pleased to hear about my representation, our science.  
5 Also, there was -- our group was present there. It was  
6 the most intense group. We were about -- we worked for  
7 four days in session. But then the nominators decided  
8 to give me a very prestigious prize, which is the Fray  
9 International Awards for -- precisely for worldwide --  
10 problems of worldwide interest.

11 Now, the -- this meeting was dubbed by  
12 Mr. -- Mr. van Erp and Mr. -- this, again, is the  
13 translation from the Dutch. So always Israel first, and  
14 then I don't have the Dutch version, but this is the --

15 Q Well, let me -- let me just clarify for a  
16 second so that we have the record clear. So you --

17 A This is from him, too.

18 Q Yeah. Because you referred to Israel, I want  
19 to make sure that we're not talking about the country.  
20 We're talking about the person, Frank Israel.

21 A Thank you. I'm sorry. I apologize. No, I --  
22 I have very good friends in Israel.

23 Q Yes, of course. So we're talking about  
24 Frank Israel. And it's your understanding that  
25 Frank Israel had published defamatory content about you

Page 432

1 MR. PARRISH: I may have messed that up a  
2 little bit.

3 (Exhibit V135 was marked for identification.)

4 BY MR. PARRISH:

5 Q Okay. Dr. Santilli, this is what's been  
6 marked as V135. It's a composite exhibit, so there's  
7 multiple pages. Can you just kind of go through us --  
8 through that and explain to us the significance of this  
9 exhibit?

10 A Yes. This was an international -- it was  
11 award summit on -- on environmental issue organized by  
12 the -- by the -- by Chinese -- the top of the Chinese  
13 leaders in the field at the Hainan Island and kept in --  
14 at there on November -- I don't remember the exact date,  
15 from 5 -- from 5 to 9, or something like that,  
16 November 5 to 9, 2016, in -- with the presence of some  
17 of the top most members of the Chinese science and  
18 technology as well as -- as well as the Central  
19 Committee, political committee, communist committee  
20 on -- this meeting was attended by three -- three Nobel  
21 Prizes, and they -- they invited me for a keynote --  
22 keynote talk, which is available -- the link is  
23 available to my -- in my -- in my environment issue.  
24 I'm known to be a key world leader on environmental  
25 issue.

Page 434

1 in Dutch on a Dutch publication --

2 A In this Dutch publication.

3 Q And then Pepijn van Erp had taken -- taken  
4 that and converted it --

5 A In English.

6 Q Let me -- let me finish.

7 A Sorry.

8 Q -- converted it into English, and then  
9 Pepijn van Erp basically adopted some of the things that  
10 Frank Israel was responsible for publishing as his own  
11 words. Is that my understanding?

12 A That is correct.

13 Q Okay. And so now you're pointing to a  
14 document in which Pepijn van Erp has some statements on  
15 here. And I noticed that you've even put a little bit  
16 of -- some writing on here to indicate which one you're  
17 talking about. So now go ahead and talk about what you  
18 want to say about this article.

19 A Could you please read what van Erp claims  
20 about this article in China?

21 Q Okay.

22 A This is a congress. Sorry. This is a  
23 congress. It's an international award summit in China.

24 MR. PARRISH: Okay. So, again, we're on V135,  
25 second page, and Dr. Santilli has marked a certain

Page 435

1 portion of this page for reading. And it says:  
 2 Fascinating, exclamation mark -- exclamation point.  
 3 The company looks like a one-stop shop for the  
 4 ambitious fringe scientist. And then it has a  
 5 hyperlink, "http://www.flogen.org/?spage=2."

6 THE WITNESS: And I want to be on record that  
 7 the attempt to defamate (sic), despite extremely  
 8 qualified, and here is a partial list of the -- of  
 9 the -- of the talk delivered -- delivered at that  
 10 speech by scientists invited by -- from various  
 11 continents, and -- and you can see the name -- my  
 12 name in the title of some of the speeches.

13 BY MR. PARRISH:

14 Q Okay. And so this -- these next two pages go  
 15 to help support your statement that there are multiple  
 16 scientists out there that use your name in titles of  
 17 their own publication?

18 A That is correct.

19 Q And I think that there was a point that was  
 20 trying to be made in that line of questioning in that  
 21 there were -- there's quite a bit of citing to your name  
 22 in Mr. Kadelsvili's work; is that correct?

23 A I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question?

24 Q Sure. And I may be -- Mr. Kadeisvili, he has  
 25 some publications out there that have your name in the

Page 437

1 A I provided two lists out of many --

2 Q All right.

3 A -- a list of -- a list of -- this is one in  
 4 China. This is one in Patras.

5 Q Okay. So these -- these different exhibits,  
 6 134 and 135, help to substantiate your -- your  
 7 statement?

8 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.

9 A Yes.

10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, I have to change  
 11 the DVD.

12 MR. PARRISH: Okay.

13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.

14 (Recess from 4:39 p.m. to 4:47 p.m.)

15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record.  
 16 Go ahead.

17 MR. PARRISH: Thank you.

18 BY MR. PARRISH:

19 Q All right. Dr. Santilli, I wanted to -- hold  
 20 on just a second. My microphone has just fallen.

21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It snaps back on.

22 MR. PARRISH: Thanks.

23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It snaps back in there.

24 MR. PARRISH: Thank you.  
 25

Page 436

1 title of his work?

2 A Yes, some, not all.

3 Q Okay. And you were explaining that part of  
 4 the reason of that is that he has been following your  
 5 work and so he was --

6 A Yes.

7 Q -- very interested in it -- let me finish.

8 And then he would publish on your work, and therefore,  
 9 he would be required to put your name in the title?

10 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.

11 A That is very true, yes.

12 BY MR. PARRISH:

13 Q Okay.

14 A It's mandatory in science. If you work on a  
 15 theory that belongs to somebody, you put the name in  
 16 the -- in the title.

17 Q But there are other scientists out there that  
 18 have cited your name in the title of their work on  
 19 multiple occasions as well; is that correct?

20 A And those are true --

21 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.

22 BY MR. PARRISH:

23 Q Just answer my question. Is that correct?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Okay.

Page 438

1 BY MR. PARRISH:

2 Q All right. Okay. Dr. Santilli, there -- I'm  
 3 looking at Exhibit V100, and you had indicated that  
 4 there were some things within this article that you  
 5 wanted to clarify. And just let me tell you real  
 6 quickly, the title of the article is, "Comments on  
 7 "A new gaseous and combustible form of water," by  
 8 R.M. Santilli, and it's dated 4 November of 2006.  
 9 Actually, that's when it was received for publication.

10 Do you remember what you wanted to talk about  
 11 with regards to that article?

12 A Yes. Very quickly.

13 Q Yes. Go ahead.

14 A Very quickly, there are -- according to an  
 15 investigative agent -- agency hired by the International  
 16 Committee of Scientific Ethics, the article by Calo  
 17 attacking the -- my paper on -- on so-called HHO, which  
 18 is Exhibit -- which is --

19 Q Is that this one?

20 A No. This is the scientific --

21 Q This is by you.

22 A This is by me?

23 Q Yes, this is by you.

24 A Calo attacked this article, which is  
 25 Exhibit --

Page 439

1 Q 99, V99.

2 A -- 99. This attack was commissioned by  
3 Arthur Rubin, David Epstein, Mark Bernstein and/or --  
4 and/or Mark Bernstein in support of their criticism that  
5 they had on my -- however, Calo is an engineer, while --  
6 Calo was an engineer who has -- this article is a  
7 post-Ph.D. issue on chemistry based on the magneucle.

8 And I want to bring to the attention that the  
9 dishonesty as the indicated editors of Wikipedia is  
10 given by the fact that -- that they -- they refused to  
11 put in the web jointly with -- with the criticism by  
12 Calo, which is listed in my article in Wikipedia. The  
13 indicated editor refused to list also the -- my U.S. --  
14 United States Patent, which are on -- exactly on  
15 magneucle, and refused to list, for instance, my  
16 technical criticism of this article which is available  
17 in the IBR website. And now -- and they refused to --  
18 to list the support by Professor Erik Trelle from Sweden,  
19 et cetera, et cetera, et cetera, thus confirming --  
20 confirming the conspiracy against me which is on --  
21 which has been going on since day one, since the  
22 inception of the -- of the Wikipedia.

23 Q Okay. Thank you for clarifying on those two  
24 documents. And we'll go ahead and put them over here  
25 for now so that we can get them back in order.

Page 441

1 about as far as the technical aspect of an e-mail that  
2 may come from even another country but look as if it is  
3 coming through your office?

4 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.

5 A Is -- well, this is -- you can have an e-mail.  
6 Russia can have an American server automatically as an  
7 American e-mail and vice versa.

8 BY MR. PARRISH:

9 Q Okay.

10 A So, for Kadeisvili, I think -- however, he not  
11 only had this e-mail, but he also had another e-mail.  
12 To my recollection, it was quoted by Attorney Lake  
13 before as JVKadeisvili@gmail.com. That was his most  
14 usual -- most usual e-mail.

15 I think this -- this e-mail was selected for  
16 some agreement that he had with -- with -- he was -- I  
17 suspect that Kadeisvili was a member of the  
18 International Committee, and this -- so -- so they --  
19 the International Committee recommended -- they agreed  
20 with Kadeisvili to put this -- this looks like an e-mail  
21 of the -- of the International Committee of Scientific  
22 Ethics.

23 Q Okay.

24 A And he elected to -- so that all the comments  
25 on this article reached the committee directly.

Page 440

1 Then I wanted to show you what's been marked  
2 as Exhibit V101, and this was an article that was a  
3 "Rebuttal of J.M. Calo's comments on R.M. Santilli's  
4 HHO paper." And then if you remember, at the bottom  
5 there was this e-mail address, and I think you testified  
6 that you weren't sure who -- where this e-mail address  
7 came from. But there's an e-mail address,  
8 "Luca54321@Verizon.net," and there were some things that  
9 you wanted to clarify about this e-mail whenever you  
10 were testifying. Did you want to go ahead and add to  
11 that?

12 MR. LAKE: I have to put in a quick objection  
13 to form. Mischaracterizes his testimony. But go  
14 ahead.

15 A I -- honestly, I don't remember --

16 BY MR. PARRISH:

17 Q Okay.

18 A -- what was my objection at that time, except  
19 that -- except that it was something selected by -- by  
20 Kadeisvili and accepted by the editor. This -- this  
21 e-mail -- normally when they put an e-mail, the editors  
22 will accept, the editor here, a very reputable  
23 publishing company. So certainly this was a valid --  
24 valid e-mail. That I can say.

25 Q Was there something that you wanted to talk

Page 442

1 Q Okay. Great. Thank you.

2 A Very likely that that e-mail was also on the  
3 VPN and -- outgoing system and the SEA incoming, this  
4 type of very advanced -- very advanced structure,  
5 electronic structure.

6 Q Okay. And that was the technical issues that  
7 you had where potentially e-mails that were used may be  
8 through your IP address, even if they're using the  
9 e-mail somewhere else?

10 A If it is under, for instance, Verizon, no, but  
11 if it is under Pair, yes.

12 Q Okay.

13 A All individual -- all e-mails that have the  
14 same server have the same IP.

15 Q Okay.

16 A But that is the IP of the server. It's not  
17 the IP of the location of -- the location of where the  
18 e-mail was sent out. This is -- current technology  
19 cannot establish that. The only way in which you  
20 establish a physical IP is when you visit the website  
21 and then it goes in the so-called cookies. So the  
22 cookies, they have a record of your actual physical IP  
23 where you actually are, the -- you actually are. But if  
24 you remove all the cookies from -- from your -- from  
25 your computer, there's no record of what you did in all

Page 443

1 those websites.

2 Q Okay. Thank you.

3 You also indicated that you wanted to talk  
4 about the Google complex.

5 A Yes, I did. I have to apologize myself, but  
6 this -- this -- this very cold wind is coming up. I  
7 apologize for the noise, but it was inevitable.

8 Yes, I would like to -- yes, I would like to  
9 dwell on this because after all, we are talking about  
10 all individuals in this room, we are Americans, and I  
11 would like to give you this evidence.

12 Q Okay. So --

13 THE WITNESS: A copy to Attorney Lake if you  
14 want -- if you want one.

15 MR. LAKE: Thank you very much.

16 MR. PARRISH: So we'll just go ahead and mark  
17 this as V -- V136.

18 (Exhibit V136 was marked for identification.)

19 THE WITNESS: So can I --

20 BY MR. PARRISH:

21 Q Sure.

22 A Okay. This evidence, V -- V --

23 Q 136.

24 A -- V136 establish -- establish -- it is a  
25 picture of what has been going on, essentially, for

Page 445

1 technically what they call an anchor. I don't remember  
2 the technical names. But essentially, those  
3 defamating (sic) articles will remain always  
4 systematically at the top to be -- to be -- to be  
5 connected to my article without -- without such  
6 connection.

7 Now, the proof of the -- of the complicity by  
8 Google on this, what is in reality a crime in the  
9 Internet, which I personally would consider a crime  
10 because it's very, very damaging for me, we filed the  
11 lawsuit because of this -- of this view, because this  
12 defamation will appear always systematically at the top  
13 years after years after years, and everybody who look up  
14 Ruggero Santilli will see the defamation instantly on  
15 the top.

16 In the event those defamation were in the  
17 lower -- in the lower of the search, we would not have  
18 filed a lawsuit because the damage would have been much  
19 more moderate.

20 Now, the evidence -- I can establish my point.  
21 I'm told -- I am not an expert on this -- on this  
22 Internet, but the evidence in which that these  
23 technicians are -- those are talking about also the  
24 stockholders of -- of either MagneGas or of -- or of  
25 Thunder Energies, the evidence that they bring is very

Page 444

1 seven years, namely, that -- namely, that the  
2 defamation, the defamation perpetrated by van Erp -- in  
3 this case, there is only the quotation of the English --  
4 the English website and not of the Dutch because the  
5 Google search will not locate it.

6 But the point is that the defamation -- here,  
7 you see two defamations in this picture. There are  
8 several others. But the two defamations -- the  
9 defamation in the URL by van Erp against me  
10 individually, as an individual, and they appear second  
11 after Wikipedia article under this Google search --  
12 Google search under my name.

13 So -- so -- and the -- so the point is that  
14 that defamation has appeared systematically, years after  
15 years after years, second after my article on Wikipedia  
16 under the search of Google.

17 Now, a number of technicians that we are  
18 planning to hire and to have them testify for the jury  
19 will -- will tell you that this is only possible with  
20 such a systematic -- it's only possible if there is the  
21 approval by Google. And that's the demonstration of the  
22 word Wikipedia-Google complex. They are part of the  
23 same organization, the same group of people. I consider  
24 them strictly anti-American because this is a violation  
25 of decency. And -- and -- and it can only be done

Page 446

1 credible, and they object to the visitors of those --  
2 the actual visitors of those two defamating (sic)  
3 websites. They're extremely small, few, three, four,  
4 five visitors and -- well -- but if you look down below,  
5 there is then the Santilli Foundation, Thunder Energies  
6 and so on. You look at the Santilli Foundation, we have  
7 thousands of visitors per week, per week.

8 Despite that, despite that, even though the  
9 visitors are so small, that according to  
10 incontrovertible evidence they are so small, they appear  
11 in the top. This can only be done by the complicity of  
12 Google. It is my opinion that that's why there's a  
13 Wikipedia-Google.

14 Now, Wikipedia has assassinated me in the  
15 article, and Google has assisted Wikipedia to maintain  
16 the assassination by keeping the attacks immediately  
17 after.

18 Q All right. Thank you, Dr. Santilli.

19 With regards to the publications by both  
20 Frank Israel and van Erp that you've alleged in this  
21 lawsuit, there were some questions as to whether or not  
22 you had evidence that Mr. Israel knew it was false when  
23 it was published. Do you remember that questioning?

24 A And which I had problems with, yes.

25 Q Right. Okay.



Page 447

1 With regards to that questioning,  
2 hypothetically, even if Frank Israel didn't know that it  
3 was false, does he have a duty to make sure that what he  
4 is putting out is accurate in the scientific community?

5 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.

6 A Well, yes, but the question -- he was using  
7 slander. The question is, is there any doubt that  
8 Israel was -- was in bad faith by using -- by calling me  
9 stupid, calling me a pyramid and a fraud, pyramid and  
10 liar, pyramid scheme, et cetera, and then other terms  
11 that I don't even -- they are so offensive that I will  
12 not report verbally to this meeting.

13 So only -- only an extremely dishonest --  
14 dishonest person can -- can -- can call a scientist with  
15 my qualification and my age, and my age, my prizes,  
16 including that I carry the title of Sir, called stupid.  
17 Never heard in the history. There have been many, many  
18 scientists who had the order of being nominated as Sir,  
19 as being -- have been knighted. I am one of those. But  
20 calling a scientist that has been knighted stupid? Come  
21 on. Come on. This is extremely vulgar, extremely  
22 vulgar and --

23 BY MR. PARRISH:

24 Q Okay. But Dr. Santilli, let's keep focused  
25 here because we're going to run out of time.

Page 449

1 A No, absolutely it's not. It was false,  
2 absolutely.

3 BY MR. PARRISH:

4 Q Okay. That's all I need.

5 A Absolutely.

6 Q Okay. That's all I need.

7 And then do you believe that when van Erp  
8 published the information that he did about you, that he  
9 thought it was true?

10 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.

11 A No, it was false. Just look at the  
12 documentation. When Kadeisvili -- when Kadeisvili was  
13 corresponding, thinking that van Erp was -- was  
14 indeed -- the serious intent was a scientist, and just  
15 look at documentation on me that Kadeisvili sent to  
16 van Erp. So then you call -- and you call such-and-such  
17 a scientist and such an achievement you call it stupid,  
18 fraud? No. This is all a paid -- paid crime.

19 BY MR. PARRISH:

20 Q Okay. I wanted to real quickly refer back to  
21 Exhibit V123, and I want to -- I'll give you an  
22 indication of what that is again. And V123, it says,  
23 "Documentation of Jewish dishonesty and corruption on  
24 Prof. Santilli's article at Wikipedia," and it was  
25 published by Luca Petronio. And you had talked a little

Page 448

1 A Go ahead.

2 Q So let me keep you focused here.

3 A Please.

4 Q When one scientist criticizes another  
5 scientist, is there a duty to make sure that that  
6 criticism is true?

7 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.

8 A Then if it is a technical criticism, a  
9 technical criticism expressed in proper scientific  
10 language, this is absolutely not -- no, there is no need  
11 for a scientist. This is part of the scientific  
12 process. Every scientist has the right of expressing  
13 critical -- critical criticism of any other technical:  
14 For instance, equation number five or paper number seven  
15 is wrong, absolutely wrong because one, two, three  
16 reason. That is his opinion. He is entitled to express  
17 his opinion without any guarantee that he is correct or  
18 not.

19 BY MR. PARRISH:

20 Q Okay.

21 A Then --

22 Q Thank you. So let's ask it a different way.  
23 Do you believe that Frank Israel believed what he was  
24 publishing was true when he published it about you?

25 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.

Page 450

1 bit about, you know, some of the things in this article  
2 as being accurate.

3 Are you okay with the title being  
4 "Documentation of Jewish dishonesty and corruption?"

5 A No, no, no.

6 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.

7 A This was in an intersection. Even though it  
8 is correct, all editors of all, with no exception, they  
9 are Jewish.

10 BY MR. PARRISH:

11 Q Right.

12 A And that is the truth. But, however, I  
13 object. There is no need to because they're affiliate,  
14 not necessarily Jewish.

15 Q Okay. And again, I just want to make sure  
16 that -- your son-in-law is Jewish, correct?

17 A Yes, indeed. And my best supporters are from  
18 Israel and --

19 Q Okay.

20 A Not only from Israel. I am -- I am writing  
21 now papers with Jewish colleagues and -- yeah,  
22 Professor Norman, a Jewish fantastic physicist.

23 Q And then there was -- in V129, there was this  
24 lawsuit with an Order of Dismissal, and that lawsuit was  
25 Ruggero Maria Santilli vs. Fabio Cardone.

Page 451

1 A Et cetera, yes.

2 Q Yes, et al. And there was some reading from  
3 the last page on that Order. And in there, Mr. Lake had  
4 read the last paragraph that basically said that the  
5 case was dismissed. I wanted to read to you the  
6 reasoning that it was dismissed, and tell me if you  
7 remember this or not. And this is under the Conclusion  
8 on the last page.

9 It says, "A pleading drafted by a pro se party  
10 is interpreted under less stringent standards than a  
11 pleading drafted by an attorney." And then there's a  
12 citation. And it says, "However, even if in the case of  
13 pro se litigants, leniency does not give a court license  
14 to serve as de facto counsel for a party or to rewrite  
15 an otherwise deficient pleading in order to sustain an  
16 action," and then there's another citation. And then  
17 finally it says, "Even under the liberal standard for  
18 interpreting a pro se complaint, the plaintiff fails to  
19 state a claim against any party. Because the plaintiff  
20 fails to state a claim after four chances to amend his  
21 complaint, further leave to amend is unnecessary."

22 Do you remember that dismissal in this case?

23 A No, not -- not really because by the time of  
24 the dismissal, as I indicated before, I had achieved the  
25 totality of my -- I filed the lawsuit pro se because I

Page 453

1 The federal judge -- oh, the federal court is excellent.

2 So they call -- they called me on --

3 Q All right. We're going to run out of time,  
4 Dr. Santilli, so I'm going to try to streamline things.

5 A Okay.

6 Q Thank you. That's my copy.

7 The other thing that I wanted to do, I know  
8 that you had given this to Mr. Lake and there was a copy  
9 of it, but it actually didn't get listed as an exhibit,  
10 and that was your United States Patent. And so I wanted  
11 to go ahead -- and this, I think, is for the magnecule.

12 A Yes.

13 (Exhibit V137 was marked for identification.)

14 BY MR. PARRISH:

15 Q Okay. So we'll attach this as V137.

16 Is there something that you wanted to talk  
17 about with regards to the Patent so that it's entered as  
18 evidence in -- in your deposition?

19 A The only thing I want to -- I want to bring to  
20 the attention of the -- I want to have it on record that  
21 this Patent has been issued only following a rather  
22 massive experimental verification of the existence of  
23 magnecule, only some of which has been reported here on  
24 this Patent.

25 So this is the incontrovertible validity of

Page 452

1 knew -- I had no -- I had no desire in winning. There  
2 was no point in winning a lawsuit against foreigners,  
3 so -- but I achieved in full my objective because --  
4 because Cornell University removed from their archives  
5 extremely plagiarizing several, 10 or 15 -- they removed  
6 10 or 15 plagiarizing papers and -- and they have never  
7 published them since that time because they know that I  
8 will discontinue surveillance, and if they resume  
9 plagiarism, I will sue them again.

10 Q Okay. So let me --

11 A And the other -- and the other two -- the  
12 other, Fabio Cardone, Roberto Mignani was terminated at  
13 the university in Rome. I have achieved the totality in  
14 court. That's why I said before, I did not appeal.

15 Q I understand. So, again, but you wouldn't --  
16 you have no reason to disagree with me that the reason  
17 that this case was dismissed was because they found that  
18 after giving you four chances as a pro se litigant to  
19 properly plead the complaint, it was dismissed?

20 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.

21 A Yes, I knew that my -- my -- my -- was  
22 deficient.

23 BY MR. PARRISH:

24 Q Okay. That's all I need, Doctor.

25 A Also, because the judge was very, very nice.

Page 454

1 the point, namely, that my discovery of this new  
2 chemical species of magnecule should deserve -- at least  
3 should deserve not necessarily acceptance, but should  
4 deserve at least respect, because by calling me stupid,  
5 this means they call stupid the United States Patent  
6 Office. That's my -- I feel offended as an American  
7 because they attack the institution. That's why I filed  
8 this lawsuit.

9 Q All right.

10 A Because it's an attack on our institution to  
11 imply that I'm stupid, a fraud, the deception,  
12 et cetera, et cetera.

13 Q Thank you for expanding on that.

14 I know that you have some other things in  
15 front of you that you wanted to talk about today.

16 A Very quickly.

17 Q So this is your opportunity to do that. What  
18 are we -- what would you -- what is it here that you're  
19 handing me?

20 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.

21 A Yeah, I would like to add this -- this is a  
22 very important Order that I receive and I want to be  
23 on -- I want to have it in writing. As you can see,  
24 this is in Russian. We are talking about the time in  
25 which -- this is from Estonia and it was under control

Page 455

1 by the communists. And the Estonia Academy of Science  
2 listed me among the most illustrious applied  
3 mathematicians of all times.

4 And perhaps, Attorney Parrish, please read --  
5 just read some of the name -- some of the name of this  
6 list. And then at the end -- sorry -- at the end of the  
7 list, on the top you will see my name, Ruggero Maria  
8 Santilli, and the quotation of the paper for which they  
9 nominated me, one of the many papers.

10 Could you read random just two or three names  
11 quickly, some of the names?

12 Q Sure. Let's go ahead --

13 A The name of the letters. There are Newton and  
14 others listed among the most famous --

15 Q Okay. I'll do that. This is --

16 A Just real quickly a couple.

17 (Exhibit V138 was marked for identification.)

18 BY MR. PARRISH:

19 Q Yes. This is V138. And I'll just say  
20 Joseph Wedderburn, Leonard Dickson, Elie Cartan, and it  
21 looks like George Scheppers --

22 A And here it's --

23 Q -- Eduard Study, Theodor Molien.

24 And then on -- on the next page, it has  
25 Ruggero Santilli second from the top.

Page 457

1 Estonia, every morning they go to dine and have a beer,  
2 they will tell you stories and they're all a member of  
3 the same organized scientific crime. They attacked  
4 Estonia because they listed Santilli and they did not  
5 list Einstein, and I wanted to have it on record. But,  
6 now, this means that the Estonia Academy of Science is  
7 stupid, makes a fraud.

8 Another thing, I want to have it on record is  
9 this picture. Please mark it and so we can identify.

10 Q All right. We're going to mark that as V139.  
11 (Exhibit V139 was marked for identification.)

12 A And then I have this topic and that's it.

13 BY MR. PARRISH:

14 Q And that's it. Okay.

15 A Then this is a picture in which it proves that  
16 I'm -- that I am -- I am speaking with -- with the  
17 former President Mikhail Gorbachev, the president of the  
18 former USSR. I'm speaking with -- in the presence of a  
19 Russian interpreter. Gorbachev is one of the most  
20 intelligent persons I ever met in my life. He spoke  
21 English better than me, but he had to speak in Russian.  
22 I don't speak very well in Russian. That's why the  
23 interpreter.

24 But here is the point. This -- this  
25 invitation, extremely -- I received, you should see,

Page 456

1 A Yes.

2 Q Do you have -- do you have another copy for  
3 Mr. Lake?

4 A Yes. Yes, of course. I have two copies, if  
5 he wants it, I'm sure.

6 Q All right. So is there --

7 A Yes. I want to comment very quickly.

8 Q Dr. Santilli, we've got to moved forward, so  
9 you've got to --

10 A Yes. Otherwise, it's better not to even  
11 mention this.

12 Q Okay.

13 A But very quickly, what I want to say here is  
14 the following: That the nominations are for applied  
15 mathematics, among the most important. Now, the  
16 question is that this list does not include  
17 Albert Einstein and for a very good reason. No offense  
18 is intended to Albert Einstein because this -- this  
19 is -- because Einstein never discovered -- science is  
20 Einstein, but he had no discovery in mathematics. I had  
21 lots of discovery in mathematics and then I applied them  
22 in theoretical and experimental.

23 So since this list did not include Einstein,  
24 the Estonia Academy of Science has been attacked by all  
25 the sharks in an unbelievable way. If you go to

Page 458

1 just a red carpet like Yeltsin, the same building that  
2 Yeltsin and Putin, and Putin is there and all the --  
3 anyhow, so there was an invitation following this  
4 nomination. He was a very intellectual person. He  
5 wanted to know why I was nominated, this famous list.

6 And -- and so here is my point. So  
7 President Mikhail Gorbachev, former -- one of the  
8 biggest, most powerful men on earth is stupid because he  
9 invited Ruggero Santilli who is stupid. And I want to  
10 be on record. That's all. To qualify doesn't --

11 Q All right. And you said there was one other  
12 photograph.

13 A This is the last time and perhaps the most  
14 important point of the law.

15 Q Okay.

16 A Because, again, we are American and we should  
17 have a -- have a feeling of the damage caused by those  
18 people to the United States of America.

19 Here, let me go very, very quickly. I want to  
20 have this picture on record, please.

21 Q Okay. We'll mark that as V140.

22 (Exhibit V140 was marked for identification.)

23 A And there's a copy for Attorney Lake, if you  
24 are interested.

25 Now, this picture shows -- shows what is

Page 459

1 called a Directional Neutron Source. Namely, it's a new  
2 equipment that synthesize the flux -- the direction of  
3 flux of neutron from the hydrogen gas. This equipment  
4 is the only equipment capable of detecting concealed  
5 nuclear weapon or nuclear material that can be concealed  
6 in suitcases or -- or in -- or in containers, the reason  
7 being because uranium 235 is a permanent, stable metal.

8 So, now, there is -- we Americans do not have  
9 a mean in the airport to see whether a suitcase arriving  
10 from somewhere abroad contains nuclear material or not  
11 because under X-ray the uranium behaves exactly the same  
12 as a piece of steel.

13 Now, one of -- on the neutron, no, because the  
14 neutron will trigger the decay of some of the uranium,  
15 which will create a cascade, a very violent reaction  
16 with a very clear identification.

17 Am I moving too fast? I apologize because  
18 short of time.

19 BY MR. PARRISH:

20 Q And just to make it clear for the record, you  
21 said uranium 235?

22 A Uranium 235 or plutonium. All of them, they  
23 are permanent, stable metal and can only identified with  
24 the neutron first. This is established. It's not my  
25 word. Established in physics. Anyhow -- anyhow, this

Page 461

1 Q Slow down just a little bit.

2 A I'm sorry. So do I have to repeat?

3 This paper confirms the validity of -- this  
4 also quotes all preceding article. There's a long list  
5 of literature. Okay. I recommend it as a separate --

6 Q Okay. We'll introduce this as 141, V141.

7 (Exhibit V141 was marked for identification.)

8 A And I have three more.

9 BY MR. PARRISH:

10 Q All right. Very quickly, Dr. Santilli. Just  
11 tell me what they are and their significance and  
12 we'll -- we'll move forward.

13 A And then those are three grant applications  
14 filed by Thunder Energies Corporation to our military.  
15 The first one is the Defense Threat Reduction Agency  
16 whose primary objective is precisely that of protecting  
17 America from the smuggling of nuclear weapon.

18 We are all -- we are all American here. You  
19 should know that the biggest danger that we are facing  
20 is that the nuclear weapon is smuggled in somewhere in  
21 America and it is detonated in our country, and we have  
22 no equipment capable of detecting this because, again,  
23 it's a permanent, stable metal. So our technology has  
24 developed this material. I showed the picture before.  
25 It can be inspected by any qualified visitor. The

Page 460

1 is a paper, scientific --

2 Q Now, so is this attached to this paper or --  
3 because I thought this was going to be the last one.

4 A Well, and then I want to go to the -- to  
5 the -- oh, you can have all of them single, but there  
6 are -- I have three -- three or four major -- major. I  
7 would recommend to quote them -- list them as separate,  
8 Attorney Parrish, but your decision, whatever you  
9 decide. But this should be also listed as an attachment  
10 and at least some of those clarifications will be  
11 attached. We're talking about national security,  
12 Attorney Parrish. Spend an extra minute because that's  
13 the reason why we filed the lawsuit.

14 Q Okay. But let's -- let's -- just all I want  
15 you to do, though, is tell me what it is and why it's  
16 important very quickly, and then we'll attach it and  
17 then we'll move on. Okay?

18 A Anyhow, this is -- this is a scientific paper  
19 signed by a bunch of scientists, including Buckley. I  
20 want to bring to the attention there is also Jack --  
21 Jan Rak, Jan Rak. Jan Rak is a group -- to qualify the  
22 caliber of the people. It's a group leader, which is  
23 the biggest physics laboratory on earth.

24 Now, this paper confirms the validity --  
25 yes -- confirms the validity --

Page 462

1 equipment is available now for inspection. So this is  
2 an application to the Defense Threat Reduction Agency.

3 Q And that was turned down, correct?

4 A This was turned down. And I was called by the  
5 office of -- because this is a division of the Pentagon.  
6 I was called by the office, a very nice gentleman, who  
7 explained to me that I am too controversial in the  
8 Internet, and therefore, they are not in a position to  
9 act on that. But he strongly recommended that -- in  
10 writing that we try with -- with the Homeland Security,  
11 and I thanked the officer and --

12 Q Do you have a separate copy for Mr. Lake?

13 A Yes. Yes, of course. I have a copy of  
14 everything. And -- I have it here, so -- here it is.  
15 Yes, here it is.

16 Q Thank you.

17 MR. LAKE: Excuse me. Is that 142?

18 MR. PARRISH: That's 142. Did you get a copy  
19 of 141?

20 MR. LAKE: No.

21 BY MR. PARRISH:

22 Q And then do you have a copy -- another copy of  
23 the experimental confirmation of --

24 A I gave one to you and one to him. I already  
25 gave it to him.

Page 463

1 MR. LAKE: That's all right. Let's deal with  
2 it later, then. Go ahead.  
3 (Exhibit V142 was marked for identification.)  
4 THE WITNESS: Now, the next -- the next one --  
5 the next one is an application to United States Air  
6 Force. This is a copy for you if you are  
7 interested, Attorney Lake. This I would strongly  
8 recommend to list it as evidence.  
9 (Exhibit V143 was marked for identification.)  
10 THE WITNESS: And then the third one, and  
11 that's it, I want to conclude very quickly --  
12 BY MR. PARRISH:  
13 Q Okay. And I'm sorry. Just what -- this says  
14 a Workspace Form. And what is V143?  
15 A This is a comprehensive grant application to  
16 the United States Air Force that at the time of filing  
17 was highly classified, was highly classified because it  
18 includes the proposal of basically new technology of  
19 direct military relevance; such as, for instance, it's a  
20 dramatic enhancement, a substantial enhancement of  
21 the -- of the power, of the efficiency of our jet  
22 fighter by turning the fuel used in the jet fighter into  
23 a molecular structure, and that Patent proves that there  
24 is a dramatic and substantial increase in power, et  
25 cetera, et cetera. There are a number of applications

Page 464

1 I've done of direct military relevance.  
2 Q Okay. And then that was turned down?  
3 A Incidentally --  
4 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.  
5 A Incidentally, one of the co-investigators is  
6 Professor Georgiev, who is regularly -- regular on  
7 the -- on the military secrecy because he's active in --  
8 and a number of my collaborators are indeed.  
9 Anyhow, so this also was turned down and --  
10 from the government. And then finally -- for the same  
11 reason.  
12 MR. LAKE: Objection.  
13 A And finally, I have this equipment --  
14 equipment that I want to --  
15 BY MR. PARRISH:  
16 Q Wait a minute. Wait a minute. I want to slow  
17 down.  
18 So you said "for the same reason." Are you  
19 saying that this grant --  
20 A Because -- because of --  
21 Q Stop. Stop. Let me ask the question.  
22 This grant application was turned down for the  
23 same reason that V142 was turned down?  
24 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.  
25

Page 465

1 BY MR. PARRISH:  
2 Q Is that what you're saying?  
3 A Namely -- I have to specify, Attorney Parrish.  
4 Namely, for what I indicated before, namely, that  
5 picture of -- of the search under this. This is the  
6 reason why this grant application. That's the  
7 complicity by Google, not only by Wikipedia, by Google  
8 to ensure of direct national relevance.  
9 Q Okay. Dr. Santilli, you're talking about  
10 V136.  
11 All right. So the grant application was --  
12 this grant application and this grant application were  
13 turned down. And what was the reason for the grant  
14 application being turned down that you know of?  
15 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.  
16 A Because -- because of -- because I am -- those  
17 are very highly professional -- our military are very  
18 professional people. I am a supporter of Air Force.  
19 BY MR. PARRISH:  
20 Q Mr. Santilli, we don't need to know that.  
21 A Because they say that I am too much -- I have  
22 too many opposition disqualifying me on the Internet.  
23 They refer specifically to the search in the Internet  
24 under my name. They refer specifically to this.  
25 MR. LAKE: Objection to form.

Page 466

1 BY MR. PARRISH:  
2 Q Okay. Thank you. That's all I needed. Thank  
3 you very much.  
4 A And then talk about one final grant  
5 application and that's it. And here's a copy for you  
6 and there's a copy for Attorney Lake.  
7 (Exhibit V144 was marked for identification.)  
8 BY MR. PARRISH:  
9 Q Okay. And we'll attach this as V144. And  
10 what do you have to say about this?  
11 A I want to show a picture. First of all, look  
12 at -- look at -- can you read the title, please,  
13 quickly?  
14 Q The title is, "Proposal to Develop the New  
15 'Neutron' Gun."  
16 A This is a proposal for a fundamentally-new  
17 generation of guns, which are the guns of a third  
18 millennium based on the new mathematics and new physics  
19 and new experiments, and because -- and it's basically  
20 the neutron synthesis that we have developed for  
21 civilian application during the use of particle  
22 accelerator and then the neutrons become extremely  
23 powerful. And this is a gun that can, essentially,  
24 create devastation far away without any collateral  
25 damage. It will go through -- will penetrate -- neutron

Page 467

1 will penetrate through 1 foot of steel for a tank or  
2 a -- so it is extremely powerful. This has been only  
3 possible then to our first-ever synthesis of the neutron  
4 from Fortunato.

5 This -- this was filed at the -- at the DARPA.  
6 DARPA is one of the most important division of the  
7 Pentagon --

8 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can you please  
9 repeat that.

10 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, but I am so emotional  
11 about this for America that I apologize.

12 A This grant application was filed at the  
13 division of DARPA, D-A-R-P-A, which is the most  
14 important -- most important branch of the Pentagon  
15 dealing with basically new -- new military technology  
16 and new military -- new weapons and so on. And it was  
17 processed -- the application was processed by  
18 Victor Tang, Victor Tang, T, Thomas, A-N-G. And this  
19 too was turned down because of -- because of what -- in  
20 that page we filed the lawsuit, as I indicated, because  
21 of this page, which is Exhibit Number --

22 BY MR. PARRISH:

23 Q 136.

24 A 136. And -- and I have -- the conclusion, it  
25 is -- it is -- it is my deep conviction that it is one

Page 469

1 to the Einstein theory. That's what they do not want,  
2 and that's what they are doing all this again. However,  
3 I know the danger, the damage is so severe, so severe  
4 that this is continual -- it can really endanger the  
5 survival of our civilization.

6 That's the post, Attorney Lake, the post 22  
7 that you read was written by true American people who  
8 care about this country.

9 BY MR. PARRISH:

10 Q All right. Thank you.

11 A I just wanted to say -- that's it. That's the  
12 reason why we filed the lawsuit --

13 Q All right. Thank you, Dr. Santilli.

14 A -- is the damage -- not only personal damage,  
15 financial damage, emotional damage, and professional  
16 damage, but damage to our national security. I could  
17 not take it. I want to --

18 In conclusion, I want to thank my wife,  
19 Carla Santilli. Carla, I remove my hat in your honor  
20 because you are a lady with capital L and you are an  
21 American with capital A because you fought and spent  
22 your personal money to fight for the country, and I hope  
23 other -- other American will follow.

24 Q Thank you, Dr. Santilli.

25 A Otherwise, our country is doomed. I'm afraid

Page 468

1 of the reasons that we filed this lawsuit without  
2 ever -- by knowing that we'll never get a penny back.  
3 It is my reason -- it is my documented reason that this  
4 irresponsible and vulgar and slander, systematic slander  
5 and defamation by -- by Victor -- I'm sorry -- by  
6 Frank Israel and Pepijn van Erp, with full complicity,  
7 complicity of -- of Arthur Rubin, David Epstein,  
8 Mark Bernstein, and they are a member of the same -- the  
9 same -- the same cartel after Google, constitute a real  
10 threat to our national security because this is only one  
11 of many cases in which -- in which the new -- new -- new  
12 technology, for direct military reason, cannot be  
13 developed because the theory are in contact with -- with  
14 Einstein.

15 You should know that the synthesis of the  
16 neutron from the hydrogen atom brutally violates  
17 Einstein theory. The Einstein theory is magnificent,  
18 but the structure of the hydrogen atom from the electron  
19 orbiting around the globe, the neutron is then  
20 synthesized when the electron orbit around the globe is  
21 compressed. Einstein did not -- indeed in my paper, I  
22 said Einstein theory are not applicable because it would  
23 be dishonorable to Einstein.

24 But, however, everybody knows that the  
25 synthesis of the neutron sets a clear boundary, a limit

Page 470

1 it's doomed.

2 MR. PARRISH: All right. Thank you,  
3 Dr. Santilli. Now, Dr. Santilli --

4 THE WITNESS: That's it.

5 MR. PARRISH: Okay. But because we've asked  
6 questions now, Mr. Lake does have an opportunity to  
7 ask follow-up questions for those questions I've  
8 asked.

9 THE WITNESS: How many ask? 15, 20 questions?  
10 I'll give you five seconds.

11 MR. PARRISH: Well --

12 MR. LAKE: I don't have any questions. Thank  
13 you.

14 MR. PARRISH: Okay. All right. He didn't  
15 have any questions. So at this --

16 THE WITNESS: You want me to go?

17 MR. PARRISH: Not quite because we have  
18 Thunder Energies to do.

19 THE WITNESS: I know.

20 MR. PARRISH: But you do have the opportunity  
21 to read this transcript if it's ordered. I'm  
22 assuming that you would want to be able to do that.

23 THE WITNESS: I want to have a copy of the  
24 transcript and of the movie.

25 MR. PARRISH: I understand you want --

Page 471

1 THE WITNESS: Of both. Of both.  
 2 MR. PARRISH: I understand you want a copy.  
 3 But what this is is that you get to read it to make  
 4 sure that there's no misspellings or inaccuracies  
 5 where you said something, but that's not what you  
 6 said. So you have a chance to read that. And I  
 7 would just recommend that you do take that  
 8 opportunity to read.  
 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you. I will have somebody  
 10 read it for me, but thank you.  
 11 MR. PARRISH: Okay. All right.  
 12 THE WITNESS: I will.  
 13 MR. PARRISH: We'll read it if it's ordered.  
 14 THE WITNESS: And whatever the cost will be  
 15 the cost.  
 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: No further questions,  
 17 Counsel?  
 18 MR. LAKE: No questions.  
 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. 5:28 p.m. Off the  
 20 record.  
 21 (The videotaped deposition concluded at  
 22 5:28 p.m.)  
 23  
 24  
 25

Page 473

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER  
 2  
 3 STATE OF FLORIDA )  
 4 COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH )  
 5  
 6 I, Ann S. Beilstein, Registered Professional  
 7 Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did  
 8 stenographically report the foregoing deposition; that  
 9 a review of the transcript was reserved; and that the  
 10 transcript is a true record of the testimony given by  
 11 the witness.  
 12 I further certify that I am not a relative,  
 13 employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,  
 14 nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'  
 15 attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am  
 16 I financially interested in the action.  
 17 Dated this 1st day of May, 2018.  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21 \_\_\_\_\_  
 22 Ann S. Beilstein, RPR  
 23  
 24  
 25

Page 472

1 CERTIFICATE OF OATH  
 2 STATE OF FLORIDA )  
 3 COUNTY OF HILLSBOROUGH )  
 4  
 5  
 6 I, the undersigned authority, certify that  
 7 RUGGERO MARIA SANTILLI personally appeared before  
 8 me and was duly sworn.  
 9 WITNESS my hand and official seal this 1st  
 10 day of May, 2018.  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14  
 15  
 16 \_\_\_\_\_  
 17 ANN S. BEILSTEIN, RPR  
 18 Notary Public  
 19 State of Florida  
 20 My Commission Expires 10/31/2020  
 21 Commission No. GG 037843  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25

Page 474

1 PLEASE ATTACH TO THE CONTINUED VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF  
 2 RUGGERO MARIA SANTILLI, TAKEN ON APRIL 18, 2018, IN THE  
 3 CASE OF RUGGERO SANTILLI AND CARLA SANTILLI vs. PEPIJN  
 4 VAN ERP, ET AL.  
 5  
 6 PAGE LINE CORRECTION AND REASON THEREFOR  
 7  
 8  
 9  
 10  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14  
 15  
 16  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20 I HAVE READ THE FOREGOING PAGES AND, EXCEPT FOR ANY  
 21 CORRECTIONS OR AMENDMENTS INDICATED ABOVE, I HEREBY  
 22 SUBSCRIBE TO THE ACCURACY OF THIS TRANSCRIPT.  
 23 \_\_\_\_\_  
 24 RUGGERO MARIA SANTILLI DATE  
 25  
 26 \_\_\_\_\_  
 27 WITNESS TO SIGNATURE DATE